Audit & Risk Committee Meeting

Minutes for Monday 5 August 2013 UNCONFIRMED



shire of **kalamunda**

INDEX

1.0	OFFICIAL OPENING	. 3				
2.0	ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED					
3.0	CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING 4					
4.0	CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS					
5.0	DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS					
6.0	REPORTS TO COUNCIL	. 4				
	 UPDATE OF CAMMS SOFTWARE CONTRACT	8				
7.0	URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY THE PERSON PRESIDING OR BY DECISION	35				
8.0	CLOSURE	35				

MINUTES

1.0 OFFICIAL OPENING

The Chairman opened the meeting at 6.10pm and welcomed Councillors and Staff.

2.0 ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED

2.1 Attendance

Councillors

Sue Bilich Margaret Thomas Simon Di Rosso Noreen Townsend Justin Whitten Allan Morton Geoff Stallard John Giardina Dylan O'Connor

Members of Staff Rhonda Hardy

Darrell Forrest

Rajesh Malde

Michelle Clark Kristy McGuire

Peter Hayes

(Shire President) North Ward North Ward South West Ward South West Ward South West Ward South West Ward South East Ward South East Ward (Chairman) North West Ward

Chief Executive Officer Manager Governance Manager Financial Services Internal Auditor Executive Assistant to the CEO Acting Governance Officer

2.2 Apologies

Councillors

Cr Bob Emery Cr Martyn Cresswell

North West Ward North West Ward

2.3 Leave of Absence Previously Approved

Cr Frank Lindsey

South East Ward

3.0 CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

3.1 That the Minutes of the Audit and Risk Committee Meeting held on 6 May 2013 are confirmed as a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Moved:Cr Geoff StallardSeconded:Cr Margaret Thomas

Vote: CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (9/0)

4.0 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

4.1 **12. CONFIDENTIAL ITEM - Update of CAMMS Software Contract –** (Confidential Attachment 1) Provided under separate cover. <u>Reason for Confidentiality</u> – *Local Government Act 1995* S5.23 (2) (c) a contract entered into, or which may be entered into, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting.

5.0 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

5.1 **Disclosure of Financial and Proximity Interests**

- a. Members must disclose the nature of their interest in matters to be discussed at the meeting. (Sections 5.60B and 5.65 of the *Local Government Act 1995.*)
- b. Employees must disclose the nature of their interest in reports or advice when giving the report or advice to the meeting. (Sections 5.70 and 5.71 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.)
- 5.1.1 Nil.

5.2 **Disclosure of Interest Affecting Impartiality**

- a. Members and staff must disclose their interest in matters to be discussed at the meeting in respect of which the member or employee had given or will give advice.
- 5.2.1 Nil.

6.0 REPORTS TO COUNCIL

Please Note: declaration of financial/conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

12. Update of CAMMS Software Contract

Previous Items Responsible Officer Service Area File Reference Applicant Owner	A&R 05/2013 Chief Executive Officer Corporate Services Nil Nil
Confidential Attachment 1 <u>Reason for</u> <u>Confidentiality</u> – <i>Local</i> <i>Government Act 1995</i> S5.23 (2) (c) a contract entered into, or which may be entered into, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting.	Copy of new Licence Agreement between the Shire of Kalamunda and CAMMS

PURPOSE

1. To advise Council of the final outcome of the negotiations with CA Technology ("CAMMS") concerning cost to the Shire for use of software products.

BACKGROUND

2. A report was presented to the Audit and Risk Committee Meeting on 5 March 2013 outlining the negotiations with CAMMS and providing details of the proposed counter offer to facilitate continued use of a number of the company's software products.

DETAILS

- 3. CAMMS has accepted the offer by the Shire to move to an Annual Licence Fee arrangement for the products it will continue to utilise. Additionally, CAMMS accepted that the Shire is not bound to pay any costs for the SYCLE products.
- 4. The new annual licence agreement includes a fee for the following CAMMS products:

Product	Annual License Fee Cost \$
Interplan	10,288
Integrated Project Manager	6,065
Workforce Planning	4,411
Risk Manager	5,338
Interactive Business Planning	8,725
Performance Evaluation System	6,065
Total Annual Licence Fee Cost	40,892

STATUTORY AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

5. Local Government Act 1995.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

6. Nil.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

7. Nil.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATION

8. Included in 2013/2014 Annual Budget.

STRATEGIC COMMUNITY PLAN

Strategic Planning Alignment

9. *Kalamunda Advancing: Strategic Community Plan to 2023*

OBJECTIVE 6.8 To ensure financial sustainability through the implementation of effective financial management, systems and plans.

Strategy 6.8.4 Provide effective financial services to support the Shire's operation and meet sustainability planning, reporting and accountability requirements.

SUSTAINABILITY

Social Implications

10. Nil.

Economic Implications

11. Nil.

Environmental Implications

12. Nil.

RISK MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

13. The Shire has successfully negotiated a new licence agreement with CAMMS for \$40,892, which excludes the SYCLE products, without incurring any future liability.

OFFICER COMMENT

14.

A claim for \$27,732 by CAMMS for SYCLE has been withdrawn and a three year contract between the Shire and CAMMS has been changed to an annual licence agreement.

A Councillor queried the necessity of these software products. If the Shire was not tied to a contract would we still use the software? The Chief Executive Officer clarified that as we have already bought a number of programs and they have been rather effective with our performance reviews, planning and risk management it was decided not to cancel at this stage. This is why a 12 month contract was negotiated. If it is decided that the Shire is not receiving good value for money then the contract will be re-evaluated.

A Councillor questioned if City of Belmont uses the CAMMS software. The Chief Executive Officer did not believe so but will investigate and report back to Council.

A Councillor queried if this is the total of our involvement or are there other aspects to consider. The Chief Executive Officer confirmed that six software packages have been confirmed. These will be reviewed within 12 months. There are no other hidden expenses.

The Chair wanted to know the dollar value per year difference between the new and previous contracts and if there were any products that CAMMS were providing that may need to be replaced. The Chief Executive Officer confirmed that under the previous contract the Shire was committed to \$130,000 per year over a four year period. However, under the new contract this will now be \$40,000 and no longer have the four year commitment. The only system which could be considered would be the budget and financial systems, however due to the upcoming amalgamation the Shire would have to look at systems in-line with City of Belmont.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION (A&R 12/2013)

That Council:

1. Notes the action taken by management to change the agreement between the Shire and CAMMS from a three year contract to an annual licence agreement with a right to renew.

Moved: Cr Margaret Thomas

Seconded: Cr Justin Whitten

Vote: CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (9/0)

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

13. Internal Audit Report July 2013

Previous Items				
Responsible Officer	Internal Auditor			
Service Area	Corporate Services/Governance			
File Reference				
Applicant	Nil			
Owner	Nil			
Attachment 1	Internal Audit Report July 2013			
Attachment 2	Planning for Adoption of Fair Value			

PURPOSE

1. To provide the Audit and Risk Committee with assurance that the financial and statutory functions of the Shire are being undertaken in accordance with the provisions of the *Local Government Act 1995,* associated regulations, Australian Accounting Standards, *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* and that key controls are operating effectively.

BACKGROUND

- 2. The Internal Auditor examined and reviewed a number of areas in accordance with the Audit Plan and changes in legislative requirements. The areas covered in the Audit report included:
 - Inventory
 - Credit Card Transactions
 - Interest Income
 - Loan Borrowings
 - Bank Reconciliations 30 June 2013
 - Health and Community Care (HACC)
 - Cell 9 Contribution Calculations and Financial Statements
 - eQuote09-2013 IT Infrastructure
 - Planning for Adoption of Fair Value Accounting

DETAILS

3. The following summarises the findings and action being taken by management (Attachment 1):

Inventory

4. The computer generated perpetual inventory records being maintained at the Zig Zag Cultural Centre was inaccurate at the 30 June 2013. This information, although considered immaterial in overall value, will be updated to bring it into line with the actual physical quantities, and training provided to all staff using the computer inventory system.

Corporate Credit Card Transactions

5. In two instances credit card statements had no evidence of authorisation prior to payment. In future credit card statements will not be processed for payment until they have been properly authorised.

Interest Income

6. With the exception of accrued interest on investments yet to be calculated, the interest income recorded in the general ledger was properly brought to account.

Loan Borrowings

7. Loan principal and loan interest payments were confirmed with the loan repayment schedule for each debenture for each loan borrowing. Except for a minor difference on loan interest agreed with the debenture loan register.

Bank Reconciliations

8. Cash at bank at 30 June 2013 is fairly stated.

Home and Community Care

9. The Shire will not be able to spend all of its recurrent grants for the delivery of services by the end of the financial year. This appears to be a common problem with all agencies and also occurred in the previous financial year. This may affect future grant funding, and/or unspent grants may have to be refunded. Management is aware of the problem and will place funds in a HACC Reserve for the unspent portion of recurrent grants at 30 June 2013.

Cell 9 Contribution Calculations and Financial Statements

10. The repayment of the instalments to the Education Department has been put on hold until the GST advice has been received from WALGA's tax advisors.

eQuotes 09-2013 IT Infrastructure

11. The evaluation of electronic quotes for the evaluation of tenders for new IT equipment was conducted in accordance with the purchasing policy and purchasing procedures. The principles of probity were observed and the outcomes achieved were visible, defensible and auditable.

Planning for Adoption of Fair Value Accounting

- 12. Planning for the adoption of Fair Value Accounting is under way to meet the legislative requirements for the 30 June 2013 and future financial years (Attachment 2).
- 13. The basis of valuing Shire easements and the value for construction and landscaping of a golf course needs to be discussed with the external auditors before the assets are recognised at Fair Value at 30 June 2013.

STATUTORY AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

12. Local Government Act 1995 Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 Australian Accounting Standards and Income Tax Legislation

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

13. Purchasing Policy C-PPO1 and Management Procedure CM-PPO1.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

14. Nil.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATION

15. The implementation of the recommendations will reduce exposure to financial risk and loss to the Shire, and ensure it remains compliant with legislation.

STRATEGIC COMMUNITY PLAN

Strategic Planning Alignment

- 16. *Kalamunda Advancing: Strategic Community Plan to 2023*
 - OBJECTIVE 6.3 To lead, motivate and advance the Shire of Kalamunda.
 - Strategy 6.3.2 Regularly review the organisation's governance structure and procedures in response to changing circumstances.

OBJECTIVE 6.8 To ensure financial sustainability through the implementation of effective financial management, systems and plans

Strategy 6.8.4 Provide effective financial services to support the Shire's operations and meet sustainability planning, reporting and accountability requirements

SUSTAINABILITY

Social Implications

17. Nil.

Economic Implications

18. Nil.

Environmental Implications

19. Nil.

RISK MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Corporate Credit Card Transactions

20. Risk of unauthorised and transactions of a private nature being processed.

Home and Community Care

21. Risk that future grants may decline if funded service delivery is not met, and unspent recurrent grants received in advance may have to be refunded.

Cell 9 Contribution Calculation and Financial Statements

22. If GST is payable and not recoverable, it will be an additional cost of approximately \$391,000 payable to the Education Department.

OFFICER COMMENT

23. The issues identified and recommendations were discussed with management for implementation to improve the internal control framework, reduce any exposure to financial risk and ensure the Shire is compliant with its legislative requirements.

The Chair wanted clarification on the wording under point one of the recommendation regarding Corporate Credit Card transactions.

General discussion took place and the Chief Executive Officer proposed that the wording in the officer recommendation be changed from "should" to "will".

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION (A&R 13/2013)

1. That the Audit and Risk Committee Notes the following recommendations included in the Internal Audit Report for July 2013 (Attachment 1).

Inventory

- The computer generated inventory at the Zig Zag Cultural Centre needs to be checked and updated to bring it into line with the physical quantities on hand.
- Training needs to be provided for all staff using the Centaman program at the Zig Zag Cultural Centre.
- That a six monthly review will be undertaken to ensure the stock on the computer is accurate and can be relied upon.

Corporate Credit Card Transactions

• In future no credit card account statements **will** be processed until they have been properly authorised.

Home and Community Care (HAAC)

- Surplus funds will be transferred to HACC Reserves at the 30 June 2013 for any unspent recurrent grants received from the Department of Health.
- Reasons for not being able to deliver on the funded programs needs to be investigated further and if necessary discussed with the Department of Health.

Cell 9 Contributions, Calculations and Financial Statements

• Cell 9 payments to the Education Department will be paid over three instalments once the tax advice on GST has been received from the WALGA tax advisor.

Planning for the Adoption of Fair Value Accounting

- Staff have planned and budgeted to meet future costs to phase in the Fair Value treatment of non-current assets to meet legislative requirements (Attachment 2).
- The basis for valuing specific assets such as easements and land for the construction of golf courses will be discussed with the external auditors as there is insufficient information in the market on how to bring in the Fair Value for these types of assets.

Moved: Cr Noreen Townsend

Seconded: Cr Simon Di Rosso

Vote: CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (9/0)

Internal Audit Report July 2013

Internal Audit Report July 2013 Financial Management Review

Objectives and Scope of the Internal Audit

The objectives and scope of the internal audit is to provide the CEO and the Audit and Risk Committee that the financial and statutory functions of the shire are being undertaken in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995, associated regulations, the Australian Accounting Standards, other legislation and that key controls have operated effectively during the financial year.

The report covers areas examined in accordance with the Audit Plan and includes:

- Inventory
- Credit Card Transactions
- Interest Income
- Loan Borrowings
- Bank Reconciliations 30 June 2013
- Health and Community Care (HACC)
- Cell 9 Financial Statements
- eQuote09-2013 IT Infrastructure
- Planning for Adoption of Fair Value Accounting

Inventory

Background

Inventory belonging to the Shire at 30 June 2013 was physically counted by Shire staff. Instructions were issued to Managers in charge of business areas that had closing inventory to ensure the stock take was conducted properly. Actual quantities were entered on stock sheets, quantities checked costed and signed off. Inventory was located at:

- Depot Fuel, pipes, road material
- Zig Zag Cultural Centre Consumables and consignment stock
- Harfield Park Recreation Centre Sporting equipment and consumables
- High Wycolme Recreation Centre- Consumables

Stock on hand is required to be brought in at the lower of cost and net realisable value at 30 June 2013. External auditors require supporting stock take sheets detailing the physical count and inventory values as at 30 June 2013.

Work Performed

Test counts were performed of major stock items and quantities confirmed with the counts entered on the stock take sheets. Copies were taken of all the stock take sheets.

Consignment stock not belonging to the shire was counted separately and excluded from the Shire's inventory.

Where perpetual inventory records were maintained the physical counts were tested against the computer generated inventory records.

The cost price of selected items into store was tested with supplier's invoices to ensure the stock values were not materially misstated and old or damaged stock excluded from the final counts.

Findinas

A comparison of the consignment and non-consignment stock in the visitors centre at the Zig Zag Cultural Centre (ZZCC) revealed many discrepancies between the physical count and the quantities recorded in Centaman (computer generated inventory program). The actual physical stock quantities on the stock sheets were used to establish the closing stock held at the ZZCC.

Stock on hand tested at Hartfield Park in one location differed with the stock sheets and had to be recounted.

Centaman computer generated inventory records could not be relied upon. The physical inventory counts on the stock take sheets were the correct quantities brought in at the 30 June 2013. Inventory excluded consignment stock not belonging to the Shire.

Action Taken by Management

Management were made aware that the computer generated inventory records were inaccurate and that this information needs to be checked and updated to bring it into line with the actual physical closing stock quantities counted.

The problem has arisen due to a lack of training in the use of the stock management module and incorrect information being entered into the computer.

Recommendation

When the computer generated inventory has been brought into line with the physical stock on hand, spot checks should be carried regularly with items on computer with physical stocks and vice versa on a test basis to confirm that the computer generated stock is accurate.

New staff working at the ZZCC should be provided with proper training on how to use the Centaman computer generated inventory program.

Corporate Credit Card Transactions

Background

A new credit card policy was introduced for all employees with a corporate credit card to address the findings of the Forensic audit.

Work Performed

Credit card transactions were tested for May and June 2013 to ensure the nature of payments were for business purposes and conformed to the new policy. Monthly credit card statements were tested with supporting supplier's invoices and receipts and reviewed for independent checking and authorisation for payment.

Findings

Credit card transactions were for business purposes and conformed to the requirements of the new policy. Except for two transactions on one credit card holder's account, all Credit card statements were supported with supplier's invoices

and receipts. The missing supporting invoices appear to fall into the following period (July 2013) to be presented with the July 2013 statement for reimbursement.

With the exception of two statements in June 2013 not properly authorised, all credit card expenditure statements had been properly authorised. This matter has been brought to the attention of management.

Action Taken by Management

In future statements that have not been properly authorised will not be processed for payment until they have been authorised

Recommendation

In future no credit card account should be processed until they are properly authorised by the credit card holder's manager or for the manager by their director or the CEO.

Interest Income

Work Performed

The interest income earned for the year was compared with bank account statements, investment correspondence and loan receivables to establish whether the interest earnings for the year as recorded in the general ledger has been properly brought to account.

Findings

With the exception of accrued interest on investments yet to be calculated, the interest income recorded in the general ledger has been properly brought to account.

Loan Borrowings

Work Performed

A listing of all loan borrowings detailing the principal outstanding at the 30 June 2013 along with the interest paid was confirmed with the loan repayment schedule for each debenture loan borrowing.

Findings

The loan principal outstanding listed at the 30 June 2013 agree with the detail debenture loan register.

Interest paid for the year, except for a minor difference of \$36 on one loan (Loan 217) agreed with the loan repayment schedule and debenture loan register.

Bank Reconciliations

Work Performed

Bank reconciliations prepared for 30 June 2013 were checked to ensure there were no reconciling items that should have been put through the general ledger. Work performed included:

- Confirmed the closing balance with bank statements at 30 June 2013;
- Confirmed outstanding deposits with bank deposits processed pre 30 June 2013;
- Confirmed unpresented cheques with cheques processed as per listing 30 June 2013 and cleared unpresented cheques post 30 June 2013;
- Confirmed the closing cash at bank balance with the balance in the general ledger.
- Ensured bank reconciliations had been signed off by the Manager Financial Services.

Findings/Conclusion

Cash at bank at 30 June 2013 is fairly stated.

Home and Community Care (HACC)

Work Performed

Reviewed the May 2013 Monthly Financial Statements for HACC to ensure the Shire was meeting its service outputs based on the level of funding it receives annually in the form of State Government Grants.

Updated the flowcharts for HACC based on changes in the receipt and delivery of services. The main changes have been in the provision of meals on wheels whereby meals are no longer prepared in-house but purchased from the City of Stirling, and the assessment process is now being conducted by outside agencies such as Silver Chain and Brightwater.

Examined the "Quality Review Report and Improvement Plan" conducted by the Department of Health and Ageing dated 29 November 2012.

Tested approved services by the Regional Assessment Team (RAS) for clients granted services and ensured these had been placed on the computer for programs funded by the Health Department.

Management has acknowledged that it may not be able to spend all its recurring grants by the 30 June 2013, and may have to refund some of the grants it has received. The reason it has not been able to deliver on services is due to referral intakes by Kalamunda HACC being closed to new referrals for a few months due to staffing and recruitment issues. This has affected Kalamunda HACC in the ability to process a new referral and set up services, and to process reassessments of existing clients. The other reason is that assessment agencies such as Silverchain and Brightwater also offer HACC types of services which in many ways is a conflict of interest if they are also an assessing agency affecting the level of support services going to Kalamunda HACC. Management are aware of this issue and will place the balance of unspent recurrent grants at the 30 June 2013 in a HACC Reserve.

The Quality Review and Improvement Plan Report by the Department of Health and Ageing was very positive. It demonstrated that HACC services are provided to approximately 700 users and the organisation demonstrated it has met the 18 expected outcomes under the Community Care Common Standards.

All clients tested had assessments completed by RAS on their files and had been placed on the computer system for funding.

Cell 9 Contribution Calculations and Financial Statements

Work Performed

Attended Cell 9 Committee meetings in May and June 2013 to discuss the contribution calculations and review the latest financial statements to 30 April 2013.

Findings

The contribution calculations to April 2013 agree with the financial statements. The financial statements will require updating to the 30 June 2013 for movements in interest received, contributions and payments made.

The Manager Development Services has been liaising to refund the amount owed to the Education Department. Payment of approximately \$3.9 million excluding GST will be made over three instalments out of approximately \$9 million held in trust at 30 April 2013. There is an issue regarding GST to be resolved with the Education Department and tax advice is being sought on this matter with WALGA's tax advisors.

A new valuation of the existing lots for development has been requested from an independent valuer to establish a new contribution rate by developers for public open space.

eQuote9-2013 IT Infrastructure

Background

A request for eQuotes for IT infrastructure was issued on 6 June 2013 closing on the 13 June 2013. The cost for this procurement included in the 2013/2014 Annual Budget was estimated at \$100,000 for Enterprise class 2U rack mounted servers and suitable dual head LTO 6 backup tape drive that is rack mounted that supports 48 slots . The quote was made available to all 5 of WALGA's panel of providers to respond.

Tender submissions were received from the following organisations:

- Datacom
- Dell
- Red 11
- Staples

Work Performed

The internal auditor was invited to sit in as a member of the evaluation panel to ensure that proper procedures and processes were followed. Members of the evaluation panel were:

Mr Gerard Thomas – Manager Technology and Corporate Support Mr Sandun Patenbenige – Coordinator IT Mr Peter Hayes – Internal Auditor

Each member signed a declaration of Confidentiality and Interest Form indicating they had no potential conflicts of interest prior to assessing each of the tender submissions.

Tenders were assessed against the selection criteria, ensuring procedures were in compliance with the purchasing policy and tender guide and prescribed evaluation worksheets were used for assessing and scoring each tender.

An evaluation report was prepared by the Coordinator Procurement Services and presented with the assessments recommendation for the awarding of the tender.

Findings

The evaluation process used for the calling of tenders and the evaluation process for recommending and awarding the tender complied with the purchasing policy principles and procedures outlined in the tender guideline.

The principles of probity were observed and the outcomes that were achieved were visible, defensible and auditable.

The quotes were within budget estimates for 2013/2014.

Planning for the Adoption of Fair Value Accounting

Background

In May 2013 the Audit and Risk Committee were informed that the Fair Value Measurement of non-current assets will be phased in due to legislative changes.

These amendments also require:

- Structures and improvements placed on Crown land to be recognised as an asset where the land is operated by the local government as a golf course, showground, racecourse or other major sporting or recreational facility of State or regional significance.
- An easement granted in favour of the local government over any land to be recognised as an asset.

The basis for recognition of these types of assets is that although the land is not owned freehold but vested in the Shire, it is under the control and management of the local government. Legislation however exempts Crown Land for public thoroughfares and parks vested in a local government.

The Hartfield Park Golf Course although leased to an external party by the Shire would be classed an asset of the Shire and recognised as an asset under its control. Improvements such as buildings constructed on the land are already recognised as Shire assets. However landscaping, design and construction of the golf course are land improvements that are not recognised as Shire asset and need to be brought in based on the legislative changes.

Planning for the recognition of easements by the 30 June 2013 is already underway in order to comply with the new legislative requirements.

Work Performed

The gathering of information and the planning on how to bring in the fair value of assets of this nature is being undertaken.

Discussions were held with the External auditors on the most appropriate method of valuation of certain classes of new assets that would meet with their approval.

An overall strategy and plan on how to recognise each class of asset and meet the legislative requirements and accounting standards has been prepared (refer attachment A).

Fair Value Measurement

Asset Classes Fair Value Completed 30 Method of Valuation Reason Plant and Equipment Furnhume 2013 Image: Control Support Cont	Fair Value Measurement						
Pinut and Equipment 2013 2013 Office Equipment 2013 2013 Cost approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost Minor item of furniture [Level 2] Inputs AASB 13] Mater Value Specialised Plant - Swimming pool etc 2013 Market Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost Active market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13) Land Ana Buildings 2014 Cost Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost Active market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13) Land Heid for Sale 2014 Cost Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost Active market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13) Cost Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost Market Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost Active market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13) Land Heid for Sale 2014 Market Approach - Independent valuation Income approach or depreciated replacement cost Based on market value Mor rease Mor rease Mor rease Mor rease Mor rease Mor rease More rease	Asset Classes		Fair Value Completed 30		Method of Valuation		Reason
Function20132013Cost apprach or Depreciated Replacement CostFMinor item of furniture (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)Moor Vehicles20132013VehiclesAnket Approach or Depreciated Replacement CostVehiclesActive market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)Moor Vehicles20132013VehiclesActive market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)Moor Vehicles2013VehiclesCost Approach or Depreciated Replacement CostActive market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)Market Approach or Depreciated Replacement CostImage Approach or Depreciated Replacement CostActive market tor analor items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)Land Reserves Equipment2013VehiclesNarket Approach or Depreciated Replacement CostHow market tor analogit (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)Land Held for SaleCost Approach - Independent valuationBased on existing use and determined on future and highestCost Approach Corum Eventing (recognise 1023)Vehicles2014VehiclesGolf course holding (recognise 2013) frot2014Cost Approach - Independent valuationBased on existing use and determined on future and best useSwimming Pool2014Cost Approach - Independent valuationBased on Event value - Nighest and best useSwimming PoolCost Approach - Independent valueVehiclesNo market based evidence of fair value - Nighest and best useCrown land for significant sporting facilitiesCost Approach - Independent valueVehiclesNo market based evidence of fair value - Nighest and best use <th></th> <th></th> <th>June</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th>			June				1
Office Equipment20132013Cost approach or Deprecised Replacement CostMinor term of furniture (Level 2 Inputs ASB 13)Heavy Plant and Equipment20132013Market Approach or Deprecisted Replacement CostActive market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs ASB 13)Specialised Plant - Swimming pool etc20132013Cost Approach or Deprecisted Replacement CostActive market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs ASB 13)Land Arethod20132013Market Approach or Deprecisted Replacement CostMarket not available (Level 2 Inputs ASB 13)Land Arethod20132014Market Approach or Deprecisted Replacement CostMarket not available (Level 2 Inputs ASB 13)Land Arethod20132014Market Approach or Deprecisted Replacement CostBased on existing us and determined on future and highestGolf course building (recognise 1013)2014Market Approach - Indeprecisted Replacement costBased on navet valueGolf course building (recognise 2013) if not recognise in 2013)2014Cost Approach - Indeprecisted Replacement costNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useSwimming Pool20142014Cost ApproachNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useCrown land for significant sporting facilities2014Cost ApproachNo market based on highest and best useCrown land for significant sporting facilities2014Cost ApproachNo market based on highest and best useFreezenice2014Cost ApproachSupproachNo market based on highest and best useFreeze							
Heavy Plant and Equipment20132013And the Approach or Depreciated Replacement CostActive market for majoritems of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)Motor Vehicles20132013201320132013Active market for majoritems of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)Park and Reserves Equipment201320132013Cost Approach or Depreciated Replacement CostActive market for majoritems of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)Land Held for Sale20132014VehiclesMarket Approach or Depreciated Replacement CostBased on existing use and determined on future and highestGolf course building (recognise 2013 if not recognise)20142014Market Approach - Independent valuationBased on market valueGolf course building (recognise 2013 if not recognise)20142014Cost Approach - Independent valuationBased on market based evidence of fair value - leaseSwimming Bool20142014Cost Approach - Income or depreciated replacement costNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useGolf course building (recognise 2013 if not recognise)2014Cost ApproachNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useGord course building (recognise 2013 if not recognise)2014Zo14Cost ApproachNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useGord course building (recognise 2013)2014Zo14Cost ApproachNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useCrown land for significant sporting facilities2014Zo15Cost ApproachNo active market but has a future econom							
Motor Vehicles 2013 2013 2013 Atter parces Active market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13) Dark and Reserves 2013 2014 Cost Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13) Land melbidings 2014 Amarket not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13) Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13) Land rehefold 2014 Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13) Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13) Golf course land-Crown Land (recognise in 2013) 2014 Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13) Golf course land-Crown Land (recognise n2013) 2014 Income approach no depreciated replacement cost Based on market value Building (recognise 2013) if not recognise 2013) 2014 Cost Approach or depreciated replacement cost Based on future highest and best us - lease Specialised) 2014 Cost Approach income or depreciated replacement cost No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best us - lease Specialised) 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Crown land for significant sporting facilities 2014 Cost Approach No market based vidence of fair value -	Office Equipment						
Specialised Plant - Swimming pool etc. 2013 2013 2013 Cost Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost A Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13) Land and Buildings 2014 2014 Value Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13) Land Held for Sale 2014 Value Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13) Golf course building (recognise in 2013) 2014 Market Approach based on future and highest best use Based on existing use and determined on future and highest Golf course building (recognise 2013) f not recognise) 2014 Market Approach - Income of depreciated replacement cost Found the aligned with future and best use - lease Buildings (specialised) 2014 Cost Approach - Income of depreciated replacement cost No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Recreation Centres 2014 Cost Approach No No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Crown land for parks and reserves 2014 Cost Approach No No No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Crown land for sparing facilities 2014 Cost Approach No No No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use </td <td colspan="2">Heavy Plant and Equipment</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Market Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost</td> <td></td> <td>Active market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)</td>	Heavy Plant and Equipment				Market Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost		Active market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)
Park and Reserves Equipment 2013 Image of the second seco	Motor Vehicles				Market Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost		Active market for major items of plant (Level 1 Inputs AASB 13)
Land Buildings Land FreeholdLand FreeholdBasedDescriptionBased on existing use and determined on future and highest best use.Land Held for Sale Goff course building (recognise 12013) 	Specialised Plant - Swimming pool etc	2013			Cost Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost		Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13)
Land Held for Sale2014Narket Approach based on future and highest best useBased on existing use and determined on future and highestLand Held for Sale20142014Market Approach based on lease of assetBased on market valueGolf course building (recognise 2013 if not2014Narket Approach or depreciated replacement costBased on market valueBuildings (specialised)2014Cost Approach - Income or depreciated replacement costNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useBuilding (specialised)2014Cost Approach - Income or depreciated replacement costNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useRecreation Centres2014Cost ApproachCost ApproachNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useCrown land freightfact sporting facilities2014Cost Approach based on Value in useValue based on highest and best useCrown land for parks and reserves2014Cost Approach based on Value in useValue based on highest and best useInfarstructureRecreation Centres2014Cost Approach - Los more or depreciated replacement costNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useCrown land for parks and reserves2014Cost Approach based on Value in useValuation based on highest and best useInfarstructureRecreation Centres2014Cost Approach - Use industry unit costsNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetDrainage2014Cost Approach - Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit at		2013			Cost Approach or Depreciated Replacement Cost		Market not available (Level 2 Inputs AASB 13)
Land Held for Sale 2014 2014 Based on faxes or depreciated replacement cost Based on market value Golf course building (recognise 2013) if not recognise) 2014 2014 Income approach based on lease of asset Based on market value Buildings (specialised) 2014 2014 Cost Approach - income or depreciated replacement cost approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use lease Swimming Pool 2014 Cost Approach Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Recreation Centres 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Crown land for parks and reserves 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Infrastructure No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Crown land for significant sporting facilities 2014 Cost Approach Value Infrastructure Roads 2014 Cost Approach - luce industry unit costs No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Drainage 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	5						
Golf course land - Crown Land (recognise 12013) 2014 Income approach based on lease of asset Based on future highest and best use - lease Golf course building (recognise 2013) f not 2014 2014 Solf course approach or depreciated replacement cost No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use - lease Buildings (specialised) 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Swimming Pool 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Recreation Centres 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Crown land for significant sporting facilities (recognise 2013) 2014 Cost Approach based on Value in use Valuation based on highest and best use Infrastructure Roads 2014 Cost Approach - Use industry unit costs No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parinage 2015 Cost Approach - Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No a			2014		Market Approach based on future and highest best use		
Golf course building (recognise 2013 if not recognised)2014Income approach approachIncome approach or depreciated replacement cost approachShould be aligned with future and best use - leaseBuildings (specialised)20142014Cost ApproachNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useSwimming Pool2014Cost ApproachNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useCrown land for significant sporting facilities (recognise 2013)2014Cost ApproachNo market based evidence of fair value - highest and best useCrown land for significant sporting facilities (recognise 2013)2014Cost ApproachValue in useLand Improvements to sports grounds2014Cost ApproachValue in useInfrastructure Roads2014Cost Approach - Use industry unit costsNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetParks and Reserves2015Cost Approach - Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetParks and Reserves2014Cost Approach - Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets2013Cost Approach - Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetParks and Reserves2015Cost Approach - Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets2013Cost Approach - Depreciated replacement costNo active market but ha	Land Held for Sale		2014		Market Approach - Independent valuation		Based on market value
recognised) 2014 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Buildings (specialised) 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Recreation Centres 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Crown land for significant sporting facilities 2014 Cost Approach based on Value in use Treated similarly to land under roads (accounting policy note recipred) (recognise 2013) Land Improvements to sports grounds 2014 Cost Approach - Use industry unit costs No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset No taste Souther market but has a future economic benefit attributable To asset No astive market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Other Assets 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Art collection 2014 Zott	Golf course land - Crown Land (recognise in 2013)		2014		Income approach based on lease of asset		Based on future highest and best use - lease
Swimming Pool 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Recreation Centres 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Crown land for parks and reserves 2014 Exclude Treated similarity to land under roads (accounting policy note required) Crown land for significant sporting facilities (recognise 2013) 2014 Cost Approach based on Value in use Valuation based on highest and best use Drainage 2014 Cost Approach -Use industry unit costs No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Postpaths 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Poter Assets 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Art collection 2014 Foot Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Art collection 2014 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable			2014				Should be aligned with future and best use - lease
Recreation Centres 2014 Cost Approach No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use Crown land for parks and reserves 2014 Exclude Treated similarly to land under roads (accounting policy note required) Crown land for significant sporting facilities (recognise 2013) 2014 Cost Approach based on Value in use Valuation based on highest and best use Infrastructure Roads 2014 Cost Approach -Use industry unit costs No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Drainage 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Portpaths 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Other Assets 2013 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Art collection 2014 Easements (recognise 2013) Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Art collection 2014 Easements (recognise 2013) Market Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the	Buildings (specialised)		2014		Cost Approach - Income or depreciated replacement cost	-	No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use
Crown land for parks and reserves2014ExcludeTreated similarly to land under roads (accounting policy note required) Valuation based on highest and best use required) Valuation based on highest and best useCrown land for significant sporting facilities (recognise 2013) Land Improvements to sports grounds2014Cost Approach based on Value in useValuation based on highest and best useInfrastructure Roads2014Cost Approach -Use industry unit costsNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetDrainage2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetPotpaths2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetParks and Reserves2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets20132014ValueCost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetArt collection2014ValueSot Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetArt collection2014ValueSot Approach -Intangible asset?No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetArt collection20152014ValueCost Approach -Intangible asset?No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetArt collection2015 </td <td>Swimming Pool</td> <td></td> <td>2014</td> <td></td> <td>Cost Approach</td> <td></td> <td>No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use</td>	Swimming Pool		2014		Cost Approach		No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use
Crown land for significant sporting facilities (recognise 2013) 2014 Cost Approach based on Value in use required) Land Improvements to sports grounds 2014 Cost Approach Value based on highest and best use Infrastructure Roads 2015 Cost Approach -Use industry unit costs No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Drainage 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Portpaths 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Other Assets 2013 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Easements (recognise 2013) 2014 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Other Assets 2015 Cost Approach -Intangible asset? Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement from a person's property An easement is an intangible asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself. Art collection 2015 Warket Approach Active market	Recreation Centres		2014		Cost Approach		No market based evidence of fair value - highest and best use
Crown land for significant sporting facilities (recognise 2013) 2014 Image 2014 Image Cost Approach based on Value in use Value based on highest and best use Infrastructure Roads 2014 Image Cost Approach -Use industry unit costs No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Drainage 2015 Image Cost Approach -Use industry unit costs No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Footpaths 2015 Image Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Image Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Other Assets 2013 Image Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Easements (recognise 2013) 2014 Image Image Image Image Image Art collection 2015 Zo15 Image Image Image Image Image Art collection Image 2014 Image Image Image Image Image Image	Crown land for parks and reserves		2014		Exclude		Treated similarly to land under roads (accounting policy note
(recognise 2013) Land Improvements to sports grounds20142014Cost ApproachValue based on highest and best useInfrastructure Roads2015Cost Approach -Use industry unit costsNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetDrainage2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetFootpaths2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetParks and Reserves2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetEasements (recognise 2013)2014LCost Approach -Intangible asset?Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement from a person's property An easement is an intangible asset a it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land tiself.Active market							required)
Land Improvements to sports grounds20142014Cost ApproachImprovements to sports groundsValue based on highest and best useInfrastructure Roads2015Cost Approach -Use industry unit costsNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetDrainage2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetFootpaths2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetParks and Reserves2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets Easements (recognise 2013)2014Foot Approach -Intangible asset?No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetArt collection20152015Market ApproachBring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement if rom a person's property An easement is an intangible asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself.			2014		Cost Approach based on Value in use		Valuation based on highest and best use
Infrastructure No No No No Roads 2015 Cost Approach -Use industry unit costs No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Drainage 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Footpaths 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Other Assets 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Easements (recognise 2013) 2014 V Cost Approach -Intangible asset? Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement from a person's property An easement is an intangible asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself. Art collection 2015 V Market Approach Market Approach Active market							
Roads2015Cost Approach -Use industry unit costsNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetDrainage2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetFootpaths2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetParks and Reserves2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetEasements (recognise 2013)2014Cost Approach -Intangible asset?Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement if confers a right of access only and no ownership over the latific directionArt collection2015Varket ApproachMarket ApproachAtproach			2014		Cost Approach		Value based on highest and best use
Drainage2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costto assetFootpaths2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetParks and Reserves2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetEasements (recognise 2013)2014Cost Approach - Intangible asset?Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement if rom a person's property An easement is an intangible asset as in toong asset asset is an intangible asset as in toong asset asset is in the disterif.Art collection20152015Market ApproachAttive market Approach				2015	Contractor Harrison in the state		
Drainage 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Footpaths 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Other Assets 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Easements (recognise 2013) 2014 Cost Approach -Intangible asset? Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the asset - AASB 138 The value may be attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement from a person's property An easement is an intangible asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself. Art collection 2015 Market Approach Market Approach Active market	Roads			2015	Cost Approach -Use industry unit costs		
Footpaths 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost to asset Parks and Reserves 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to asset Other Assets 2013 2014 Footpath - Depreciated replacement cost Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the asset - AASB 138 The value may be attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement from a person's property An easement is an intangible asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself. Art collection 2015 Market Approach Market Approach Active market	Drainage			2015	Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost		
Footpaths2015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetParks and Reserves20152015Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costNo active market but has a future economic benefit attributable to assetOther Assets20142014Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement costBring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the asset - AASB 138 The value may be attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement is an intangible asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself.Art collection2015Market ApproachAttrip	Dramage			2015	cost approach oppreciated replacement cost		
Parks and Reserves 2015 2015 Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost to asset Other Assets Easements (recognise 2013) 2014 2014 Cost Approach - Intangible asset? Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the asset - AASB 138 The value way be attributable to the asset - AASB 138 The value may be attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement from a person's property An easement is an intangible asset? Art collection 2015 Market Approach Market Approach Attributable Active market	Footpaths			2015	Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost		
Other Assets 2014 2014 Cost Approach - Intangible asset? Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the asset - AASB 138 The value may be attributable to the caset - AASB 138 The value may be attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easter as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself. Art collection 2015 Market Approach Attributable Active market							to asset
Other Assets 2014 2014 2014 Cost Approach - Intangible asset? Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the asset - AASB 138 The value may be attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement from a person's property An easement is an intangible asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself. Art collection 2015 Market Approach Active market	Parks and Reserves			2015	Cost Approach -Depreciated replacement cost		No active market but has a future economic benefit attributable
Easements (recognise 2013)20142014Cost Approach - Intangible asset?Bring in if the asset can be reliably measures and there is a future economic benefit attributable to the asset - AASB 138 The value may be attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement from a person's property An easement is an intangible asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself.Art collection2015Market ApproachActive market							to asset
Art collection 2015 Market Approach Attive account of the transmission of transmissing transmissing transmit and transmission of transmiteration of tr							
Art collection 2015 Market Approach value may be attributable to the cost of extinguishing an easement from a person's property An easement is an intangible asset as it confers a right of access only and no ownership over the land itself.	Easements (recognise 2013)		2014		Cost Approach - Intangible asset?		-
Art collection 2015 Market Approach 2015 Market Approach Active market							
Art collection 2015 Market Approach Active market							,
Art collection 2015 Market Approach Active market							
Art collection 2015 Market Approach Active market							
Library books 2015 Cost Approach Minor in nature	Art collection			2015	Market Approach		
	Library books			2015	Cost Approach		Minor in nature

Australian Accounting Standards (AASB)

AASB 13 - Fair Value Measurement

AASB 138 - Intangible Assets

AASB 116- Property, Plant and Equipment

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

14. Amendment To Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes – 17 May 2010

Previous Items Responsible Officer Service Area	Manager Governance Office of the CEO
File Reference Applicant	N/A
Owner	N/A
Attachment 1	Extract from Agenda of Ordinary Council Meeting 19 April 2010
Attachment 2	Extract from Minutes of Ordinary Council Meeting 19 April 2010
Attachment 3	Extract from Agenda of Ordinary Council Meeting 17 May 2010
Attachment 4	Extract from Minutes of Ordinary Council Meeting 17 May 2010
Attachment 5	Details of the recording of the proceedings at the Ordinary Council Meeting of 17 May 2010

PURPOSE

5. For Council to authorise an amendment to the Minutes for the Ordinary Council Meeting ("OCM") of 17 May 2010 to ensure that they are in compliance with the requirements of Regulation 11 (c) and (d) of *the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*.

BACKGROUND

6. During the conduct of research for the provision of information to Councillors with respect to the recognition of Local Government in the Constitution of Australia, it was identified that the Minutes for the OCM of 17 May 2010 did not properly record the proceedings of the meeting.

DETAILS

- 7. The Agenda for the OCM of 19 April 2010 records the details of a Notice of Motion provided by Cr Lindsey, for consideration by Council (Attachment 1).
- 8. The Minutes for the OCM of 19 April 2010 record that Cr Lindsey requested that consideration of the Notice of Motion be deferred until the May 2010 OCM (Attachment 2).
- 9. The Notice of Motion was relisted on the Agenda for the OCM of 17 May 2010 (Attachment 3).
- 10. The Minutes for the OCM of 17 May 2010 do not include a record of the proceedings of the meeting with respect to the consideration by Council of the Notice of Motion put forward by Cr Lindsey (Attachment 4).

- 11. The details that are required to be recorded in the Minutes have been recovered from the tape recording of the meeting and are set out in (Attachment 5).
- 12. During the confirmation of the Minutes at the OCM of 21 June 2010 there is no recording in the Minutes of a request for an amendment to those Minutes.

STATUTORY AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. Compliance with Regulation 11 (c) and (d) of *the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.* This requires Minutes of Meetings to contain details of each motion moved at the meeting, the mover and the outcome of the motion; and details of each decision made at the meeting.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

14. Nil.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

15. Not required for this issue.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATION

16. There are no financial considerations arising from this matter.

STRATEGIC COMMUNITY PLAN

Strategic Planning Alignment

17. Nil.

SUSTAINABILITY

Social Implications

18. Nil.

Economic Implications

19. Nil.

Environmental Implications

20. Nil.

RISK MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATONS

21. Procedures and processes have been improved since that time, particularly through the establishment of a senior position within the Chief Executive's Office responsible for governance issues and the recruitment of a Manager to that position.

OFFICER COMMENT

- 22. Whilst it is of utmost concern that such an omission occurred in these particular OCM minutes, there have been constant improvements in procedures and processes aimed at eliminating these occurrences.
- 23. A significant milestone in this process was the recent adoption by Council (OCM 60/2013) of the Governance and Policy Framework.

A Councillor wanted clarification that this motion is only authorising an amendment to the minutes and has nothing to do with the original voting of the item. Manager Governance confirmed that all Councillors are doing is authorising an amendment to the minutes and correction of the public record.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION (A&R 14/2013)

That Council:

1. Authorises an amendment to the Minutes for the Ordinary Council Meeting of 17 April 2010 by the inclusion of the following record of the proceedings of that meeting:

11.0 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

11.1 <u>Proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth</u> <u>Constitutional Recognition of Local Government</u>

This notice of motion was originally listed for consideration at the Ordinary Council Meeting of 19 April 2010. At the request of the mover it was deferred for consideration at the Ordinary Council Meeting of 17 May 2010.

The Chairman read out the motion:

Cr Lindsey indicated that he wished to make some minor changes involving the rearranging of the third and fourth dot point from Part 1 of the proposed motion.

Cr Lindsey provided an in-depth commentary and analysis of the issues he considered pertinent to the question of recognition of local government in the Constitution of the Commonwealth. In particular issues arising from the *Pape Case* that went to the High Court in 2009.

MOTION:

- 1. That this Council, recognising the:
 - Constitutional recognition already afforded local government under Sections 2, 52, 53 and 57 of *WA's Constitution Act 1889*;
 - The undesirability of having overlapping state and commonwealth constitutional jurisdiction of local government; and

- The implied constitutional recognition of local government afforded by Sections 106, 107 and 108 of the *Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900*.
- The existing ability of the Commonwealth Government to provide funding to local government by way of tied grants via the states; holds that the proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth Constitutional recognition for local government is both unnecessary and undesirable.
- 2. That Council convey its views on the proposed Commonwealth Constitutional recognition of local government to the WA Minister for Local Government; the Prime Minister; Leader of Federal Opposition; President of WALGA and the President of the ALGA.

Moved: Cr Lindsey

Seconded: Cr Stallard

Vote:

For Cr Everett Cr Lindsey Cr Stallard Cr Morton Cr Townsend Cr Cresswell Cr O'Connor Cr Thomas Cr McKechnie

Against Cr Bilich CARRIED (9/1)

Moved: Cr Margaret Thomas

Seconded: Cr Noreen Townsend

Vote: CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (9/0)

Extract from Agenda of Ordinary Council Meeting 19 April 2010

10.2 <u>Proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth Constitutional</u> <u>Recognition of Local Government</u>

<u>MOTION</u>

- 1. That this Council, recognising the:
 - constitutional recognition already afforded local government under sections 2, 52, 53 and 57 of WA's Constitution Act 1889;
 - the undesirability of having overlapping state and commonwealth constitutional jurisdiction of local government; and
 - the existing ability of the Commonwealth Government to provide funding to local government by way of tied grants via the states; holds that the proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth Constitutional recognition for local government is both unnecessary and undesirable.
- 2. That Council convey its views on the proposed Commonwealth Constitutional recognition of local government to the WA Minister for Local Government; the Prime Minister; Leader of Federal Opposition; President of WALGA and the President of the ALGA.

Moved: Cr Lindsey

Extract from Minutes of Ordinary Council Meeting 19 April 2010

10.2 <u>Proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth Constitutional</u> <u>Recognition of Local Government</u>

Cr Lindsey advised the meeting he wished to defer this Motion to the May Ordinary Council Meeting.

<u>MOTION</u>

- 1. That this Council, recognising the:
 - constitutional recognition already afforded local government under sections 2, 52, 53 and 57 of WA's Constitution Act 1889;
 - the undesirability of having overlapping state and commonwealth constitutional jurisdiction of local government; and
 - the existing ability of the Commonwealth Government to provide funding to local government by way of tied grants via the states; holds that the proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth Constitutional recognition for local government is both unnecessary and undesirable.
 - the implied constitutional recognition of local government afforded by sections 106, 107 and 108 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900.

That Council convey its views on the proposed Commonwealth Constitutional recognition of local government to the WA Minister for Local Government; the Prime Minister; Leader of Federal Opposition; President of WALGA and the President of the ALGA.

Moved: Cr Lindsey

Extract from Agenda of Ordinary Council Meeting 17 May 2010

11.0 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

11.1 <u>Proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth Constitutional</u> <u>Recognition of Local Government</u>

MOTION

- 1. That this Council, recognising the:
 - constitutional recognition already afforded local government under sections 2, 52, 53 and 57 of WA's Constitution Act 1889;
 - the undesirability of having overlapping state and commonwealth constitutional jurisdiction of local government; and
 - the existing ability of the Commonwealth Government to provide funding to local government by way of tied grants via the states; holds that the proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth Constitutional recognition for local government is both unnecessary and undesirable.
 - the implied constitutional recognition of local government afforded by sections 106, 107 and 108 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900.
- 2. That Council convey its views on the proposed Commonwealth Constitutional recognition of local government to the WA Minister for Local Government; the Prime Minister; Leader of Federal Opposition; President of WALGA and the President of the ALGA.

Moved: Cr Lindsey

Extract from Minutes of Ordinary Council Meeting 17 May 2010

Minutes - Ordinary Council Meeting- 17 May 2010 11

10.2.8 PS 31 Shire of Kalamunda Health Local Laws 2001 Review

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

That Officers amend the draft Control of Animals and Nuisance Local Law 2010 for the Ordinary Council Meeting of 17 May 2010 and provided advice of any consequential impacts on the intent of the Local Law.

A motion was put to defer the Item to allow advice on the amendments to the Local Law to be sought.

RESOLVED OCM 77/2010

That the item be deferred to the July Planning Services Committee meeting subject to further advice being obtain and a Councillor forum being held.

Moved: Cr Margaret Thomas

Seconded: Cr Sue Bilich

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (10/0)

10.2.9 PS 32 Nominations for Membership to the Shire of Kalamunda Community Sustainability Advisory Committee

Cr Everett declared an interest affecting impartiality as she sits on this Committee

RESOLVED OCM 78/2010

That Council endorses the following list of nominees as members of the Community Sustainability Advisory Committee.

Mr Steve Gates Mr Wes Bancroft Mr Barry Goldspink Mrs Aileen Reid Mrs Sharon Arasi Mr Annie Mitchell Mrs May Carter Mr Max Jones Mr Brendan McKenzie Mrs Elise Clegg

Moved: Cr Allan Morton

Seconded: Cr Margaret Thomas

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY/ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (10/0)

10.3 Chief Executive Officers' Report (Refer Purple Pages)

10.3.1 Not Applicable

Shire of Kalamunda

 Minutes - Ordinary Council Meeting- 17 May 2010
 12

 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS WITHOUT NOTICE 12

 12.1
 Nil.

Shire of Kalamunda

Minutes - Ordinary Council Meeting- 17 May 2010

13

13.0 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

- 13.1 Questions relating to General Services Committee Item 46 Leases and Licenses Terms and Conditions (Cr Frank Lindsey)
 - 1. How many License Holders are there in Group 2, 3 and 4 respectively
 - 2. What is the annual income foregone to the Shire for the subsidization of rates to License Holders in Group 2.
 - The Annual Income foregone to the Shire for the subsidization of rates, the ESL, rental and building insurance respectively to the License Holders in Group 3.
 - The Annual Income foregone to the Shire for the subsidization of rates, the ESL, rental and building insurance respectively to the License Holders in Group 4.

Answers to these questions will be provided at the Ordinary Council Meeting to be held on 21 June 2010.

14.0 URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY THE PERSON PRESIDING OR BY DECISION

14.1 Nil.

15.0 MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

15.1 Nil.

16.0 CLOSURE

2

16.1 There being no further business the Chairman declared the meeting closed at 7:31 pm.

I confirm these Minutes to be a true and accurate record of the proceedings of this Council.

Signed Dealer Mullelin Chairman

Shire of Kalamunda

Details of the recording of the proceedings at the Ordinary Council Meeting of 17 May 2010

11.0 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

11.1 <u>Proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth Constitutional</u> <u>Recognition of Local Government</u>

This notice of motion was originally listed for consideration at the Ordinary Council Meeting of 19 April 2010 at the request of the mover it was deferred for consideration at the Ordinary Council Meeting of 17 May 2010.

The Chairman read out the motion

Cr Lindsey indicated that he wished to make some minor changes involving the rearranging of the third and fourth dot point from part 1 of the proposed motion.

Cr Lindsey provided an in-depth commentary and analysis of the issues he considered pertinent to the question of recognition of local government in the Constitution of the Commonwealth. And in particular issues arising from the *Pape Case* that went to the High Court in 2009.

- 3. That this Council, recognising the:
 - Constitutional recognition already afforded local government under sections 2, 52, 53 and 57 of WA's Constitution Act 1889;
 - The undesirability of having overlapping state and commonwealth constitutional jurisdiction of local government; and
 - The implied constitutional recognition of local government afforded by sections 106, 107 and 108 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900.
 - The existing ability of the Commonwealth Government to provide funding to local government by way of tied grants via the states; holds that the proposal by WALGA and the ALGA to seek Commonwealth Constitutional recognition for local government is both unnecessary and undesirable.
- 4. That Council convey its views on the proposed Commonwealth Constitutional recognition of local government to the WA minister for Local Government; the Prime Minister; Leader of Federal Opposition; President of WALGA and the President of the ALGA.

Moved:

Cr Lindsey

Seconded: Cr Stallard

Vote:

For Cr Everett Cr Lindsey Cr Stallard Cr Morton Cr Townsend Cr Cresswell Cr O'Connor Cr Thomas Cr McKechnie <u>Against</u> Cr Bilich CARRIED (9/1)

7.0 URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY THE PERSON PRESIDING OR BY DECISION

7.1 Nil.

8.0 CLOSURE

8.1 There being no further business, the Chairman declared the meeting closed at 6.26pm.

I confirm these Minutes to be a true and accurate record of the proceedings of this Committee.

Signed: _____Chairman

Dated this _____ day of _____ 2013