

Submitter No.	Comment	City Response	Applicant Response
1	Support – I support this redevelopment BUT the drainage must be better than the cheapskate drainage used for the last Dawson's development where the runoff was sent to Virgilia Way Reserve. This ground is flooded every winter and this year it has been particularly bad with water running down Sorrel Court and into Cypress Road. No. 41 Cypress Rd has been sandbagged for weeks because of this. If the Reynolds Rd subdivision goes ahead, I can see excess runoff going down Reynolds Rd, Tillia Court and Cypress Rd if the drainage is not planned and managed more professionally.	Stormwater to be addressed as part of the subdivision application which will follow the adoption of the scheme amendment.	Noted. Stormwater drainage for residential subdivision is required to be designed in accordance with the WAPC's Liveable Neighbourhoods, in addition to the City of Kalamunda design standards, and will be appropriately accommodated in the subdivision design and infrastructure delivery.
2	Support – I support this change and welcome the new housing.	-	Noted.
3	Support – Happy for public open space and playground opposite our property.	-	Noted.
4	Do Not Support – Unwelcome construction noise for months/years	As per applicant's comments.	Disagree. Construction noise will be managed under a construction management plan and will need to comply with the <i>Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations</i> 1997.
5	Do Not Support – Increased traffic on Dawson Avenue. Vinca Way / Dawson Ave is an already dangerous intersection; increased traffic will only make it worse.	Subdivision plan will demonstrate access and traffic management within the subject sites. A TIA will be provided for the subdivision of the subject sites.	Disagree. A subdivision proposal will need to demonstrate that access and egress to the subdivision, and within the subdivision, is appropriately designed for safety and efficiency of vehicle movements, through the preparation of a comprehensive Transport Impact Assessment in accordance with WAPC requirements.



6	Do Not Support – I am writing in concern to this dense living, it will bring unwanted persons into the Forrestfield area.	Dense living provides a range of housing opportunities for future	Disagree. It is important that a variety of lot sizes are introduced
	We already have enough issues with youth on motorbikes on the	populations and aligns with the	to existing communities through
	roads, just to name one! This size lots will allow for cheap investors	City of Kalamunda Local	infill development such as this, as
	to rent out these properties to more troubled groups of people.	Housing Strategy. The density	it makes optimal use of valuable
	Please reconsider, even if they are bigger lots, a minimum of	does not attract a certain 'type'	land within established residential
	400sqm at least.	of person(s) into the area.	areas, and provides opportunities
	Please consider what sort of people you are bringing into the		for downsizing and improved
	community by allowing such high density living.		housing affordability.
7	Comment Only – If this development does go ahead, then I would	Refer to comments for	Noted. A subdivision proposal will
	request that the volume of traffic is considered for Cypress Road. As	submission no. 5.	need to demonstrate that access
	a main connector to Tonkin via Hale Road, there is already difficulty		and egress to the subdivision, and
	getting out of Cypress onto Hale at peak times. Cars use Cypress as		within the subdivision, is
	a rat run through from Dawson to Hale and we frequently		appropriately designed for safety
	experience cars travelling well over the limit of 50km. A few years		and efficiency of vehicle
	ago, there was money in the budget to put in slow points/traffic		movements, through the
	calmers however this has not happened Please ensure that the		preparation of a comprehensive
	exit from Cypress onto Hale is considered with this development		Transport Impact Assessment in
	and the speed of traffic up and down Cypress. Many thanks		accordance with WAPC
C 1 '''			requirements.
Submitter No.	Comment (External Referrals)	City Response	
8	DFES – DFES agree with the application of point 4.4 of the Guidelines and support the need to address bushfire at the earliest stages of planning, in this case to ensure that the site is suitable for intensification.	Under SPP 3.7, a scheme amendr necessitates a BMP to be prepare However, following the scheme ar application will be lodged, which w	mendment a broader subdivision
	This BMP should then be used to inform design decisions made at the subdivision stage.	was appropriate to go against the	he bushfire risk could be a BMP at the subdivision stage so it advice of DFES in this instance and odivision of the site. This is further



		supported by the attach BAL contour map which demonstrates the
	MOMA M. D. I.I. I.	bushfire risk can be appropriately managed.
9	MRWA – Main Roads has no objections to the proposal and provides	Noted.
	the following comments: Roe Highway is a proclaimed Control of	
	Access Road pursuant to Section 28A of the Main Roads Act 1930.	
10	No Access to Roe Highway is permitted.	
10	DoE – Approximately half of the LPS Amendment area falls within the	Noted. Consistent with operational policy and can be effectively
	student enrolment intake area of Dawson Park Primary School	managed by future development trends (e.g. off-site early childhood
	whereas the remainder falls within the optional intake areas of	facilities).
	Dawson Park, Woodlupine and Forrestfield Primary Schools.	
	Currently, Dawson Park Primary School is under student	
	accommodation pressure with the school operating beyond its	
	permanent student accommodation capacity. The potential delivery	
	of increased residential lots in this LPS Amendment area coupled	
	with the cumulative impact from new infill residential development in	
	the locality are expected to exacerbate the enrolment demand of	
	the subject primary school. It is worth noting that every new	
	residential development or intensification of residential density	
	create demand for, or on, public schools. This requires careful	
	planning consideration to ensure that the anticipated student	
	demand balances with the provision of public schools in the locality.	
	The Department will assess the public education needs at future	
	localised planning instruments (e.g. local structure plan or local	
	development plans) and that future augmentation or expansion of	
	the primary school site (e.g. off-site early childhood facility) may be	
	required. In addition, it should be highlighted that any requirement	
	for primary school developer contributions will be assessed and may	
	be applied at the subdivision stage. Whilst the Department has no	
	in-principle objections to the proposed LPS Amendment, the	
	Department will continue to monitor the student enrolment demand	
	as development progresses within the locality. It is requested that	



г		7
	the local government and/or proponents engage with the	
-	1 1 0 0	
	Department at future preparation of localised planning instruments	
	to ensure it can suitably forward plan for the public education needs	
	to ensure it early alternative provided	
	of the locality.	
- 1	for the locality.	