

City of Kalamunda

Dog Exercise Management Areas Plan

Community Engagement Summary 2023



Table of contents

Executive summary	3
Communication	4
Engagement Outcome	4
Survey overview	5
Suburb	6
Age	7
Gender	7
Demographics	8
Question 1: Rate the quality of the City's off-leash, unfenced dog exercise areas	9
Question 2: Are there sufficient off-leash dog exercise areas	10
Question 3: Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds	11
Question 4: Should there be more responsibility by dog owners	12
Question 5: Should there be better signs	13
Question 6: Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas	14
Question 7: Do you and your dog feel safe	15
Question 8: Is stronger enforcement or Ranger presence required	16
Any other comments	17
APPENDIX - survey responses in full	18
Question 2: Are there sufficient off-leash dog exercise areas	19
Question 3: Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds	26
Question 4: Should there be more responsibility	33
Question 5: Should there be better signs	40
Question 6: Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas	47
Question 7: Do you and your pet feel safe	55
Question 8: Is stronger enforcement or Ranger presence required	62
Any other comments	70
Community Submission 1	84

Executive Summary

Dog Exercise Area Management Plan 2023 (phase 2)

The City of Kalamunda is developing its Dog Exercise Area Management Plan to guide the City's approach to promoting responsible dog ownership and management of dog exercise areas throughout the City. The plan will outline the designated off-leash dog exercise areas, fenced dog exercise areas and dog prohibited areas and strategies to promote responsible dog ownership including signage and enforcement.

In April 2021, the City of Kalamunda Dog Local Law was Gazetted. In preparing the draft Dog Exercise Area Management Plan, the City commenced community engagement in September 2021 to understand their aspirations and needs for dog exercise areas in the City. The 2021 survey received 342 responses and was widely advertised via an integrated marketing communications campaign promoted via online, print and outdoor mediums.


In September 2021, the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation finalised their review of the Dog Local Law and found it be invalid, concerning changes made after the public advertising process. The City recommenced the Dog Local Law process and the development of the draft Dog Exercise Area Management plan was temporarily put on hold while the local law was finalised.

The updated Dog Local Law was Gazetted in September 2022. With a significant period of time lapsing between the 2021 feedback, the City opted to seek public comment on the outcomes from the 2021 community engagement, to identify if community sentiment was still the same or if it has changed (Phase 2).

Phase 2 community consultation ran from 8 September, to 9 October 2023 and was delivered via a comprehensive Communications and Engagement Plan and progressed to IAP2 spectrum level 'consult' to 'obtain public feedback to ensure that they are informed (of the phase 1 findings) and listen to their concerns and aspirations.

The engagement attracted 202 survey responses from the community and one (1) written submission. Similar to the 2021 survey, responsible dog ownership emerged as a key theme across the eight (8) questions. There were some shifts in sentiment, particularly with the 'quality rating' of dog exercise areas, with 37% being 'happy' in 2021, compared to 28% in 2023.

Project timeline



Communication

Communications activities were targeted towards respondents to the 2021 survey, as well as the general community.

- **EDM (electronic direct mail):** to participants on the engage.kalamunda portal who responded to the 2021 survey and the Dog Local Law public advertising periods.
- **Print Ad:** in the Echo Newspaper on Friday 8 September 2023.
- **Engage portal:** Project page on engage.kalamunda.wa.gov.au, supported by direct links to dog-related City content and supporting agencies.
- **Social media:** four (4) posts over four (4) weeks, garnering 22,025 total impressions and an average engagement rate of 11%.
- **Print distribution:** A4 survey and flyers distributed to all City centres.

Engagement Outcome

The 2023 survey received 203 survey responses and one (1) written submission. The purpose of the 2023 survey was to identify if there have been any major shifts in the community's needs and aspirations for dog exercise areas in the City.

Sentiment

Community sentiment centered around a desire for dog owners to be responsible with their dogs off-leash, as well as cleaning up after their dogs in public places.

There were some shifts in sentiment, particularly with the 'quality rating' of dog exercise areas, with 37% being 'happy' in 2021, compared to 28% in 2023. The biggest shift was for dogs being allowed on sporting grounds, increasing from 48% in 2021 to 74% in 2023, and 84% felt the City needs more fenced dog areas, compared to only 51% in 2021.

Snapshot of feedback: 2021 compared to 2023



Engage (Survey) | Overview

Survey | [Dog Exercise Area Management Plan 2023

The Survey received 203 responses

Engage Traffic

- 1,008** Total visits
- 275** Maximum visits per day
- 585** Informed Visitors
- 928** Aware Visitors
- 33** Participants downloaded a copy of the FAQs

Profile

- 75%** Resident or Ratepayer
- 5%** Other

The survey was a mixture of rating style questions and open comment questions.

Visitors Summary

Engage Kalamunda from 01 Aug'23 to 11 Oct'23

DAILY MONTHLY



Visits by Channel


Engage Kalamunda from 01 Aug'23 to 11 Oct'23

DAILY MONTHLY




Engage (Survey) | Demographics


Suburb of respondents



Age of respondents





Gender of respondents




Engage (Survey) | Demographics

I am a:




Engage (Survey) | Responses

Question 1: Rate the quality of the City's off-leash, unfenced dog exercise areas



Comparison of 2021 responses to 2023




Analysis

Compared to 2021, sentiment towards the quality off-leash, unfenced dog exercise saw shifted away from mostly positive and neutral towards negative. The biggest shift was the rating of 'Love it' dropping to 5% in 2023, compared to 22% in 2021. The rating for 'unhappy' increased from 13% in 2021 to 26% in 2023 and 'very unhappy' increased to 11% in 2023 compared to 6% in 2021. Neutral had the smallest shift, being 28% in 2023 compared to 22% in 2021.

Engage (Survey) | Responses

Question 2: Are there sufficient off-leash dog exercise areas within the City of Kalamunda?



Sentiment

In 2023 68% of respondents felt that the City does not have sufficient off-leash dog exercise areas, which is a big increase from 36% in 2021. The key theme from responses were that there were not enough dog exercise areas throughout the City, in particular the suburbs of Kalamunda, Lesmurdie, Gooseberry Hill, Wattle Grove and Maida Vale being mentioned. Comments mentioned that most parks required travelling by car.

Key themes

- Need more off-leash areas
- Need more in the hills
- Safety
- Dual use
- Separation
- More space


"I regularly use Elmore dog park - I love that there are separate fenced areas for small and large dogs. PLEASE can we get something like this in the kalamunda/lesmurdie area?!! Flora Terrace reserve might be a suitable area. Jorgeson Park does not suit us as there are too many big dogs that run up on our little ones and scare them".

In Kalamunda/Lesmurdie there are no fenced areas to exercise dogs

"Majority of parks/open spaces are on-leash in High Wycombe".

Engage (Survey) | Responses

Question 3: Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place?



Sentiment

In 2023, 73% of respondents felt that the City should allow dogs on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place. This saw a significant shift from only 48% who agreed with this statement in 2021. The ability to access more areas for walking dogs was cited as important, with respondents acknowledging that most nature reserves/open areas are restricted to dogs. However having owners clean up after their dogs emerged strong, with 31 respondents listing this as important.

Key themes

- More options for dog owners
- Cleaning up after dogs


"Sporting grounds are for just that. Plenty of non-sporting ground areas that could be made dog off lead areas to improve availability of off lead dog areas".

"wide open space where you could run with your dog, but dog owners MUST pick up the poo or be fined".

"The dual use would be perfect, given a lot of the places my dogs can't go due to National Parks, it's actually quite limiting".

As long as dog owners clean up after themselves, I don't see why not.

Question 4: Should there be more responsibility by dog owners?



Sentiment

In 2023 sentiment towards dog owner responsibility remained steady, with 77% agreeing that dog owners should be more responsible. Dog owners not cleaning up after their dog emerged as a key theme, followed by owners ignoring rules or signs (i.e. allowing dogs off-lead in all areas). Dogs that are aggressive or have poor socialisation, as well as poor recall/obedience (i.e. does not return when called) was cited as another issue for most dog owners. On the other end of the spectrum some respondents felt that most dog owners are responsible, with the exception for a small minority who are not.

Key themes

- Cleaning up after dogs
- Ignoring rules
- Aggression/poor socialisation
- Recall/obedience
- Unfair perception of dogs


"Off-leash dog area still need basic recall on their dogs. It's not a free for all and owners are dismissive when their dogs are aggressive or show anti-social behaviour".

"Too many are irresponsible- but more are responsible and do the right thing".

"Very frustrating to see signs indicating no dogs permitted, or dogs on lesh, yet owners ignore, or don't see signs".

"Picking up poo is a big thing. I see it everywhere when walking my dog or taking him to exercise areas".

Question 5: Should there be better signs or information regarding the different zone's rules and regulations that apply to dog owners?



Sentiment

In 2023 sentiment towards having better signage or information remained steady, with 76% agreeing with this statement compared to 64% in 2021. Most respondents felt that having better signage would provide clarity, but should also be simple and easy to understand. Emerging issues also included dog owners allowing their dogs to be off-leash in on-lead areas, as well as dog owners not cleaning up after their dogs. Some respondents felt that more signage would be a waste of time, as some dog owners will ignore the signs anyway.

Key themes


- Clarity on what is allowed
- Ignoring rules
- Cleaning up after dogs

"Clear, simple signage as opposed to a long list of rules may be more effective. Or perhaps in addition to the set of rules signage in dog areas about picking up poo".

"Off-leash does not mean no rules. More signs stating rules of off-leash areas. Owners need to have control over their dogs".

"Too many dog owners do not take responsibility for cleaning up after their dogs. Whether at Jorgensen Park or on street verges; it's appalling. Please place obvious signage stating that fines are applicable".

Question 6: Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas?



Sentiment

In 2023 there was a big shift towards this statement (similar to the question if there are sufficient dog exercise areas) with 83% agreeing the City needs more fenced dog exercise areas, compared to 51% in 2021. Respondents felt that the City needed more, particularly in the suburbs of Kalamunda and Lesmurdie and would provide more equitable access for residents, particularly the elderly who may not be able to travel. Safety emerged as another key theme, fenced areas helping to keep the dogs and public safe (i.e. dogs cannot run up to children or run onto roads). Some respondents suggested having fenced off-leash areas and the benefits of socialisation and exercise were also mentioned.

Key themes


- Need more
- Safety
- Fencing for off-leash areas
- Socialisation benefits
- Exercise benefits
- Recall

"There are none in our suburbs. Older people do not like to drive down & up the hill".

"Many reasons really. We have the space up in the hills to provide these areas, people coming up here from other suburbs over Perth which means more dogs. Keep dogs, owners and kids safe by not having too many dogs in one place and leading to potential dog fights or kids being injured etc".

"Yes- it provides a safer area for the dogs - meaning they can't run into children or on roads".

Question 7: Do you and your dog feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas?



Sentiment

In 2023 there was a big increase in respondents who did not feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas from 17% in 2021 up to 38% in 2023. However 44% of respondents in 2023 did feel safe, a small decrease from 59% in 2021. Poor recall (i.e. not responding to owners when called) emerged as a key factor contributing to dog owners not feeling safe, followed by aggression and socialisation. The need for better fencing emerged as another key issue, as well as the need for double gates, as some dogs are still able to escape fenced exercise areas. Separation of smaller/larger dogs and vandalism/ignoring rules were also mentioned.

Key themes


- Poor recall
- Aggression/socialisation
- Fencing
- Safety
- Separation of small vs large dogs
- Vandalism/ignoring rules

"Not all pet owners are responsible and dog fights have taken place".

"No double gates. So dogs escape when new playmates arrive and open the gate".

"There is a very small number of 'repeat offenders' that walk their dogs off leash knowing they might be a risk to others. Others who think their dogs' rough & loud 'play' which can set off other dogs in defence, is not their issue".

Question 8: Is stronger enforcement or Ranger presence required?



Sentiment

In 2023 there was a big shift away from Ranger presence being required, with 55% responding 'no' in 2023, compared to 38% in 2021. Respondents felt that having Rangers more 'visible' and 'contactable' would be beneficial, while others felt Rangers could be present to educate and inform dog owners, rather than enforce. Some respondents felt that increased Ranger presence would not make a difference, while some unfortunately had a negative experience/perception of Rangers.

Key themes

- Information/education
- Safety
- Visibility/contactable
- Ignore rules
- Negative experience with Rangers

"it can be difficult to get hold of a ranger (for dog problems or other things) on weekends".

"The Rangers have other important tasks to undertake. There needs to be a big push by local government to get dog owners up to speed on the responsibilities of owning a dog, training a dog, handling a dog and exercising a dog".

"could possibly be more contactable after office hours and weekends".

Any other comments?

"There is NO fenced dog area in the Kalamunda/Lesmurdie area. This needs to be improved. Alan Anderson Reserve would be perfect. Anywhere up the hill would be great. Please remedy this".

"Areas need lighting as use after work hours is limited".

You can hardly take a dog anywhere in Kalamunda. So many walk trails all dig [sic] prohibited".

"More fully fenced areas are needed, however there is no need for equipment. People just need safe places to throw a ball and exercise and socialise their dogs".

"more doggy bag locations within the shire footpath locations".

"Double gates. Water in fenced park. Light for night please".

APPENDIX

Survey responses in full

Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

Engage (Survey) | Responses

2. Are there sufficient dog exercise areas within the City of Kalamunda

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



1	No. [No comment]
2	Yes. [No comment]
3	Yes. [No comment]
4	Yes. [No comment]
5	No. I regularly use Elmore dog park - I love that there are separate fenced areas for small and large dogs. PLEASE can we get something like this in the kalamunda/lesmurdie area?!! Flora Terrace reserve might be a suitable area. Jorgeson Park does not suit us as there are too many big dogs that run up on our little ones and scare them.
6	No. I would like to see seperate enclosed off lead dog parks for small and large dogs like elmore park in high wycombe.
7	No. [No comment]
8	No. [No comment]
9	Not sure. Not one in Gooseberry Hill
10	Yes. [No comment]
11	No. [No comment]
12	No. [No comment]
13	Not sure. [No comment]
14	No. I would prefer to have more fenced off-leash areas.
15	No. [No comment]
16	Not sure. [No comment]
17	No. [No comment]
18	Yes. [No comment]
19	No. [No comment]
20	No. [No comment]
21	No. [No comment]
22	No. Too crowded or other dogs not under control - constant issue of many uncontrolled dogs approaching my dog
23	No. Majority of parks/ open spaces are on-leash in high Wycombe.
24	No. [No comment]
25	Yes. [No comment]
26	No. [No comment]
27	No. [No comment]
28	No. [No comment]
29	No. [No comment]
30	No. [No comment]

Engage (Survey) | Responses

2. Are there sufficient dog exercise areas within the City of Kalamunda

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



31	No. [No comment]
32	No. In the Kalamunda/Lesmurdie area there are no fenced areas to exercise dogs.
33	No. [No comment]
34	No. [No comment]
35	No. [No comment]
36	No. [No comment]
37	No. [No comment]
38	Not sure. [No comment]
39	No. There needs to be one or two more spaces where dogs are allowed. City of Belmont has created dual use spaces which worked very well, and most were fenced.
40	No. [No comment]
41	No. [No comment]
42	No. [No comment]
43	[No response selected or entered]
44	No. [No comment]
45	No. Because the off leash dogs are always in on lead areas! I know we have some amazing ones (like Jorgenson!) but when I did use off leash parks, there wasn't too many good ones in my area (Maida Vale). This has improved a little. I personally don't use them due to safety issues with inappropriate dogs being taken to them.
46	No. [No comment]
47	Not sure. [No comment]
48	No. [No comment]
49	No. [No comment]
50	No. [No comment]
51	Yes. [No comment]
52	No. [No comment]
53	No. [No comment]
54	Yes. [No comment]
55	No. There should be more
56	No. The fact that high wycombe has grown exponentially within the last 20 years due to re-zoning (every block has a rear house nowadays) and the sheer amount of families that have moved into the area - parks aren't sufficient to cater to the volume of animals within the suburb, the needs and requirements.
57	No. [No comment]
58	No. [No comment]
59	No. [No comment]

Engage (Survey) | Responses

2. Are there sufficient dog exercise areas within the City of Kalamunda

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



60	No. People have to walk/drive a sustainable distance to get to a location. The location designated other than places like Jorgensen are poorly maintained and neglected. A lot of areas are near bush and increase the chances of exposure to wildlife for example snakes.
61	No. [No comment]
62	No. [No comment]
63	No. Areas such as Scott Reserve are ideal for co-use if there is no other activity in the playing arena. Even if there is an activity, there is no reason why a dog cannot be walked on the periphery of the oval, or attend to watch the kids play football, cricket etc. Scott reserve in particular is not continually used.
64	No. [No comment]
65	No. A lot of the off leash areas are very small or they are caged areas where lots of dogs can socialise. However not all dogs want to socialise in such confined spaces and need their space. It would be great to have larger off leash areas where you can keep your distance if you want to.
66	No. [No comment]
67	Yes. Too many unleashed areas
68	No. [No comment]
69	No. There is a need for more fully fenced areas.
70	No. [No comment]
71	I refuse to take my dog to an off-leash area as I feel all dogs should be on a leash for the safety of our dogs and humans.
72	Yes. [No comment]
73	Yes. [No comment]
74	No. Love Jorgensen park but would love more open walking paths for off lead dogs
75	Yes. [No comment]
76	Yes. [No comment]
77	No. [No comment]
78	Not sure. [No comment]
79	Yes. [No comment]
80	No. [No comment]
81	Not sure. Jorgenson Park is fantastic in Winter (I would be surprised if it wasn't the best dog park in the state in terms of space, interest and "vibe", but there are too many snakes for it to be safe for dogs for about 7 months of the year.
82	No. There are only bush tracks in Kalamunda or areas with dead grass or uneven areas dug up by the shire
83	Yes. [No comment]
84	No. [No comment]

Engage (Survey) | Responses

2. Are there sufficient dog exercise areas within the City of Kalamunda

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



85	No. [No comment]
86	Yes. [No comment]
87	No. Unable to use some of them as they have multiple single gates so unless I take multiple people to monitor the gates, I can't trust that my dogs are safe.
88	No. [No comment]
89	No. [No comment]
90	No. [No comment]
91	No. Not enough. So many dogs and not many places to go
92	No. [No comment]
93	No. [No response]
94	No. [No comment]
95	No. [No comment]
96	Yes. [No comment]
97	No. [No comment]
98	Not sure. [No comment]
99	No. [No comment]
100	No. You need to travel in your car to take your dog for a walk in an off-leash dog exercise areas in all suburbs within the shire
101	No. [No comment]
102	No. [No comment]
103	No. [No comment]
104	No. [No comment]
105	No. [No comment]
106	No. [No comment]
107	No. [No comment]
108	No. [No comment]
109	Not sure. [No comment]
110	No. [No comment]
111	Not sure. [No comment]
112	No. [No comment]
113	No. [No comment]
114	Yes. [No comment]

Engage (Survey) | Responses

2. Are there sufficient dog exercise areas within the City of Kalamunda

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|-----|--|
| 115 | No. [No comment] |
| 116 | No. Would be beneficial to have some fenced off leash areas. |
| 117 | No. They are overcrowded, and the ones they make for small dogs, why make the area smaller? smaller dogs need more exercise than big dogs generally, and more people seem to have small dogs, so areas so be same size. |
| 118 | No. [No comment] |
| 119 | No. [No comment] |
| 120 | No. [No comment] |
| 121 | No. [No comment] |
| 122 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 123 | No. [No comment] |
| 124 | No. Can only find one off leash area in high Wycombe which is way up the other end of the suburb!! Involves crossing Kalamunda rd so no there is not enough to support the residents |
| 125 | No. [No comment] |
| 126 | No. [No comment] |
| 127 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 128 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 129 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 130 | No. [No comment] |
| 131 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 132 | No. [No comment] |
| 133 | No. [No comment]] |
| 134 | No. Offlead dog exercise areas in Maida Vale are limited, with no fenced offlead areas. |
| 135 | No. [No comment] |
| 136 | No. [No comment] |
| 137 | No. [No comment] |
| 138 | No. [No comment] |
| 139 | No. [No comment] |
| 140 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 141 | No. [No comment] |
| 142 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 143 | No. [No comment] |
| 144 | No. [No comment] |

Engage (Survey) | Responses

2. Are there sufficient dog exercise areas within the City of Kalamunda

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



145	No. [No comment]
146	Yes. [No comment]
147	Yes. I think there are sufficient amounts of off lead but it would be nice to have more.
148	No. [No comment]
149	No. [No comment]
150	No. I only know Jorgensen in Kalamunda -
151	No. There aren't any fence dog park near Maida Vale and gooseberry hill
152	No. [No comment]
153	Not sure. [No response]
154	Yes. There is so much land in the bush in Kalamunda where dogs can run freely
155	Yes. [No comment]
156	No. [No comment]
157	No. [No comment]
158	No. [No comment]
159	No. [No comment]
160	Not sure. [No response]
161	No. Too many restrictions for using public spaces
162	No. [No comment]
163	Yes. [No comment]
164	Yes. There are sufficient off-leash areas. However, we need a fenced dog park up in Kalamunda, similar to the one in High Wycombe.
165	No. [No comment]
166	No. [No comment]
167	Not sure. [No comment]
168	No. [No comment]
169	No. [No comment]
170	No. [No comment]
171	Yes. [No comment]
172	No. [No comment]
173	No. [No comment]
174	No. [No comment]

Engage (Survey) | Responses

2. Are there sufficient dog exercise areas within the City of Kalamunda

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



175	Yes. [No comment]
176	No. [No comment]
177	No. [No comment]
178	No. [No comment]
179	Not sure. [No comment]
180	No. [No comment]
181	No. [No comment]
182	Yes. [No comment]
183	No. [No comment]
184	Yes. [No comment]
185	Yes. [No comment]
186	Yes. [No comment]
187	Not sure. [No comment]
188	Yes. [No comment]
189	Yes. [No comment]
190	No. [No comment]
191	Not sure. [No comment]
192	No. [No comment]
193	Yes. [No comment]
194	No. More fenced off-leash areas welcome
195	No. The Hales and High Wycombe parks are great but far to go for Lesmurdie, Walliston suburbs. Jorgenson is not ideal for dogs who don't have good recall.
196	No. [No comment]
197	No. There isn't any in Wattle Grove!
198	Not sure. [No comment]
199	No. [No comment]
200	Yes. [No comment]
201	Not sure. [No comment]
202	Yes. [No comment]
203	Not sure. [No comment]

Engage (Survey) | Responses

3. Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place?



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 2 | Yes. Provided the dog owner's face is rubbed into any poo the owner chooses not to clean up and place in the bins provided. |
| 3 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 4 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 5 | No. [No comment] |
| 6 | No. Poo |
| 7 | No. Sporting grounds are for just that. Plenty of non-sporting ground areas that could be made dog off lead areas to improve availability of off lead dog areas. |
| 8 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 9 | Yes. but only if dog owners are required to pick up after dogs |
| 10 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 11 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 12 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 13 | No. They are sporting areas, not dog park's!! |
| 14 | No. I'd like to say yes, but so many dog owners don't pick up after their dogs. In saying that so many dog owners take their dogs to sporting venues where they're not allowed, anyways. My guess would be they're the same people that don't pick up their dogs poo |
| 15 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 16 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 17 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 18 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 19 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 20 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 21 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 22 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 23 | Yes. Shire needs to supply poo bags in more places though |
| 24 | No. [No comment] |
| 25 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 26 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 27 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 28 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 29 | No. [No comment] |

Engage (Survey) | Responses

3. Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place?



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

- | | |
|----|--|
| 30 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 31 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 32 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 33 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 34 | No. [No comment] |
| 35 | No. [No comment] |
| 36 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 37 | No. Previously I would have said yes. This year my son started soccer. Well the kids stood in dog poo at South Perth grounds, Belmont and Vic Park. Disgusting! People do not pick their dog poo up. It's a nightmare for kids playing sports. I firmly say no to this now. I would say if you provide enough other areas then should not be a problem. Jorgeson park is great but a park that is just grass would be great too cos my dogs are dachshunds and like to hunt things out to kill so I can never walk them off leash at Jorgeson. |
| 38 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 39 | Yes. The dual use would be perfect, given a lot of the places my dogs can't go due to National Parks, it's actually quite limiting |
| 40 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 41 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 42 | No. Why should the footy club have to pick up everyone's dog poo they have left behind before the kids play. Even now this happens very regularly when dogs shouldn't even be there |
| 43 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 44 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 45 | Yes. We need for dog owners to be more educated and compliant in picking up after their dogs.

Sport grounds are often level and accessible to elderly and those with mobility issues as a safe exercise area. They are also safer in snake season!

However, those that fail to pick up after their dogs ruin it for the responsible ones. I also feel those that don't pick up after their dogs are more likely to ignore rules about not being there.

There seems to be enough bags/bins, all I can think of is more education and perhaps ranger visibility at peak times? |
| 46 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 47 | No. [No comment] |
| 48 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 49 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 50 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 51 | No. [No comment] |

3. Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 52 **No.** [No comment]
- 53 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 54 **No.** [No comment]
- 55 **Yes.** I don't understand why they shouldn't be.
- 56 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 57 **No.** People don't pick up dog poop
- 58 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 59 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 60 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 61 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 62 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 63 **Yes.** The recreation areas are for everyone. There is room for everyone and all can be catered for.
- 64 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 65 **Yes.** If humans respect the area and clean up after their dog, I do not see the problem with allowing this.
- 66 **Not sure.** Because you can not rely on people to clean up after their dogs. Maybe if only on a lead - same as Stirk park.
- 67 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 68 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 69 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 70 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 71 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 72 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 73 **Yes.** But owners must be responsible for cleaning up any mess
- 74 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 75 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 76 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 77 **No.** I'd like to say yes but then so many people don't pick up their dogs poop and on sporting grounds this is just not okay.
- 78 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 79 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 80 **Yes.** [No comment]

3. Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place?



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

- | | |
|-----|---|
| 81 | Yes. yes, on leash only as these public spaces should be safe for all and comfortable for those people who are nervous around dogs. Unfortunately, I know that some dog owners don't clean up after their dog, so I would understand if the local sports grounds are nor open to dogs - but there needs to be very clear and prominent signage |
| 82 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 83 | No. [No comment] |
| 84 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 85 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 86 | No. [No comment] |
| 87 | Yes. What should the NOT be allowed? If clean up bags are provided then there shouldn't be a reason to stop them. |
| 88 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 89 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 90 | No. [No comment] |
| 91 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 92 | No. Some owners are irresponsible and don't clean up dog mess. |
| 93 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 94 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 95 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 96 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 97 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 98 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 99 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 100 | Yes. As long as dog owners are cleaning up after their dog they should be allowed to walk their dog on the grounds while sports isn't on |
| 101 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 102 | No. [No comment] |
| 103 | No. [No comment] |
| 104 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 105 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 106 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 107 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 108 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 109 | No. [No comment] |

3. Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place?



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

- | | |
|-----|---|
| 110 | No. [No comment] |
| 111 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 112 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 113 | No. I don't believe dogs should be allowed on sporting grounds as there is a small % of owners who don't do the right thing and pick up their dog - and this isn't something I want my children playing in. |
| 114 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 115 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 116 | No. Because people don't pick up dog poo |
| 117 | Yes. The space is catered for, make it around the edges only if need be, and on lead, but most walking tracks in spring summer are full of prickles, grass seeds and the occasional snake, as they are not cared for enough by the council, to overgrown, so we need to use these facilities to keep our fur babies safe |
| 118 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 119 | No. [No comment] |
| 120 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 121 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 122 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 123 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 124 | Yes. So long as people clean up after their dog!! Massive big oval at Scott reserve with paths and I can't walk my dogs close to home |
| 125 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 126 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 127 | No. [No comment] |
| 128 | No. [No comment] |
| 129 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 130 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 131 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 132 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 133 | No. [No comment] |
| 134 | Yes. If bin bags are available dog owners should be responsible enough for cleaning up after their dogs. |
| 135 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 136 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 137 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 138 | Yes. [No comment] |

3. Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 139 **No.** [No comment]
- 140 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 141 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 142 **No.** [No comment]
- 143 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 144 **Yes.** Unused space spread around the city. Provide bags and bins
- 145 **No.** Because there's too many people who fail to pick up after their dogs. Not fair on those engaging in sport when they have to roll in dog poo.
- 146 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 147 **Yes.** I think so but it is hard to police the owners picking up after their dogs so I can understand why there may be a ban on it.
- 148 **No.** [No comment]
- 149 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 150 **Not sure.** If responsible owners picked up poo I don't see why not
- 151 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 152 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 153 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 154 **No.** Owners don't pick up after their dogs
- 155 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 156 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 157 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 158 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 159 **No.** [No comment]
- 160 **No.** [No comment]
- 161 **Yes.** Not everyone can drive to designated dog areas, so access to rate payer funded playing Fields near peoples homes would be ideal. Most dog owners are responsible and would make sure that they clean up after their dogs.
- 162 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 163 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 164 **Yes.** As long as dog owners clean up any mess their dogs make, this would be a welcome addition. Bags need to be supplied at the sporting grounds though.
- 165 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 166 **Yes.** [No comment]

3. Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 167 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 168 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 169 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 170 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 171 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 172 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 173 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 174 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 175 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 176 **No.** [No comment]
- 177 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 178 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 179 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 180 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 181 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 182 **Yes.** They are usually well looked after grasses areas which don't have grass seeds to cause health issues with dogs
- 183 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 184 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 185 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 186 **No.** Because many don't pick up after their dog, some will actually walk their dog while sporting events are taking place.
- 187 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 188 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 189 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 190 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 191 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 192 **No.** [No comment]
- 193 **No.** [No comment]
- 194 **No.** Not 'on' the sporting area but the perimeter only non playing surface
- 195 **Yes.** wide open space where you could run with your dog, but dog owners MUST pick up the poo or be fined

3. Should dogs be allowed on sporting grounds if there is no scheduled sport taking place?



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

- 196 **Yes.** [No comment]
 197 **Yes.** As long as dog owners clean up after themselves, I don't see why not.
 198 **Yes.** [No comment]
 199 **Yes.** [No comment]
 200 **No.** [No comment]
 201 **Yes.** [No comment]
 202 **No.** Purely because some owners do not pick after their dog. Health issue
 203 **Yes.** [No comment]

4. Should there be more responsibility by dog owners



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

- 1 **Yes.** [No comment]
 2 **Yes.** [No comment]
 3 **Yes.** [No comment]
 4 **Yes.** [No comment]
 5 **Yes.** [No comment]
 6 **Yes.** large and/or aggressive dogs can lead to poor socialisation outcomes
 7 **Yes.** Always.
 8 **Yes.** [No comment]
 9 **Not sure.** Regular dog walkers are responsible it's the weekend/casual visitors that tend to cause problems
 10 **Yes.** [No comment]
 11 **No.** [No comment]
 12 [No response selected or comment]
 13 **Yes.** Very frustrating to see signs indicating no dogs permitted, or dogs on lesh, yet owners ignore, or don't see signs
 14 **Yes.** Picking up poo is a big thing. I see it everywhere when walking my dog or taking him to exercise areas
 15 **No.** [No comment]
 16 **No.** [No comment]
 17 **Yes.** [No comment]
 18 **Not sure.** [No comment]
 19 **No.** [No comment]

4. Should there be more responsibility by dog owners

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



20	Yes. [No comment]
21	Not sure. [No comment]
22	Not sure. Need to be more specific. I would like to see more dogs controlled in off-leash areas but realistically it is difficult to find a reliable & experienced dog trainer that can teach people how to achieve this
23	Yes. Off-leash dog area still need basic recall on their dogs. It's not a free for all and owners are dismissive when their dogs are aggressive or show anti-social behaviour.
24	Yes. [No comment]
25	Yes. [No comment]
26	Yes. [No comment]
27	Yes. [No comment]
28	Yes. [No comment]
29	Yes. [No comment]
30	Yes. [No comment]
31	[No response selected or comment]
32	Yes. A very small minority walk their dogs in off-leash areas that are a risk to the safety of people and other dogs.
33	Yes. [No comment]
34	Yes. [No comment]
35	Yes. [No comment]
36	Yes. [No comment]
37	Yes. [No comment]
38	Yes. [No comment]
39	Not sure. [No comment]
40	No. [No comment]
41	Yes. [No comment]
42	Yes. [No comment]
43	No. [No comment]
44	Yes. [No comment]
45	Yes. [No comment]
46	Yes. [No comment]
47	Yes. [No comment]
48	Yes. [No comment]

4. Should there be more responsibility by dog owners

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 49 **No.** [No comment]
- 50 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 51 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 52 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 53 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 54 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 55 **Not sure.** I don't understand what this question relates to. Responsibility for what?
- 56 [No answer selected] Responsibility how? Picking up dog faeces yes.
- 57 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 58 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 59 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 60 **No.** I think there is already a lot of responsibility placed on dog owners. The majority of dog owners are considerate dog owners and there is unfair perception that dog owners are not responsible. An issue caused by a few bad owners, and non owners with their own anxieties that the place on others.
- 61 **Yes.** Too many are walking dogs off lead on footpaths and I've been attacked and harassed multiple times. They also don't pick up after their dogs
- 62 [No answer selected] It's unclear what is meant by this question! I would say that most dog owners are already very responsible.
- 63 **Yes.** Definitely. All dogs need to be properly trained and have those controlling them properly trained and able to physically handle the dog.
- 64 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 65 **No.** I think that owning a dog or even multiple dog is quite restricted at the moment, I don't think we need anymore restrictions.
- 66 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 67 **Yes.** Increase/Create penalties for not picking poo.
- 68 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 69 **Yes.** People still do not pick up pet faeces
- 70 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 71 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 72 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 73 **No.** [No comment]
- 74 **Yes.** With training and recall.
- 75 **Yes.** [No comment]

4. Should there be more responsibility by dog owners

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



76	Yes. [No comment]
77	Yes. [No comment]
78	Yes. [No comment]
79	Yes. [No comment]
80	Yes. [No comment]
81	Yes. [No comment]
82	Yes. [No comment]
83	No. [No comment]
84	Yes. [No comment]
85	[No response selected or comment]
86	Yes. [No comment]
87	Not sure. Unsure what is meant by this question? Owners should be responsible for following the laws and maintaining control of their pets and cleaning up after them, which is already a requirement. If this isn't already happening then it should be enforced by authorities (random patrols of dog areas by Ranger etc)
88	Not sure. [No comment]
89	Yes. [No comment]
90	[No response selected] Responsibilities for what? This is not clear
91	Not sure. [No comment]
92	Yes. [No comment]
93	Yes. [No comment]
94	Yes. [No comment]
95	Yes. [No comment]
96	Yes. [No comment]
97	Yes. [No comment]
98	[No response selected] In regards to what? This is a very broad question
99	No. [No comment]
100	Yes. Because I still see dog poo at the park, not sure how to manage this without seeing the dog owner not doing it at the time
101	Yes. [No comment]
102	Yes. [No comment]
103	Yes. [No comment]
104	Not sure. [No comment]

4. Should there be more responsibility by dog owners

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



105	Yes. [No comment]
106	Yes. [No comment]
107	Yes. [No comment]
108	Not sure. [No comment]
109	Yes. [No comment]
110	Yes. [No comment]
111	Yes. [No comment]
112	Yes. [No comment]
113	Yes. There is always an exception to the rules. I walk our dog around Bill Shaw on a regular basis and there are always dogs off leash in the park - whereby dogs are allowed on leash.
114	No. [No comment]
115	Yes. [No comment]
116	Yes. I am a dog owner but too many people don't pick up their dog poo. Should be on spot fines in dog areas so they get the message!!!
117	Yes. Yes, some still don't pick up poo in these spaces when everything is supplied, and knowingly bring an unsocialized dog and don't supervise.
118	No. [No comment]
119	Yes. [No comment]
120	Yes. [No comment]
121	Yes. [No comment]
122	Not sure. [No comment]
122	Yes. [No comment]
123	Yes. Dog owners need to understand that dogs need to be on leash at all times unless in a specified off leash dog area only. My dog has been attacked multiple times by unsecured dogs.
124	People need to pick up there dog [redacted].
125	Yes. [No comment]
126	Yes. [No comment]
127	Yes. [No comment]
128	Yes. [No comment]
129	Yes. [No comment]
130	[No response selected or comment]
131	Yes. [No comment]
132	Yes. [No comment]

4. Should there be more responsibility by dog owners

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|-----|--|
| 133 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 134 | Yes. If dogs are unsociable then they need to remain on lead. |
| 135 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 136 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 137 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 138 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 139 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 140 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 141 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 142 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 143 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 144 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 145 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 146 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 147 | Yes. I think owners need to be more responsible for picking up after their pets. I really think you should look into another option of dog poo disposal that doesn't involve bags that break down slowly.

Maybe something like a dog poo compost bin that I have seen articles on.

This is one on a quick search https://www.google.com/amp/s/dogtime.com/how-to/pet-safety/72999-composting-green-alternative-plastic-poop-bag/amp

https://www.abc.net.au/news/2018-12-28/dont-waste-your-dogs-poo-compost-it/10668760 |
| 148 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 149 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 150 | Yes. Too many are irresponsible- but more are responsible and do the right thing. |
| 151 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 152 | No. [No comment] |
| 153 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 154 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 155 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 156 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 157 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 158 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 159 | Not sure. [No comment] |

4. Should there be more responsibility by dog owners

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



160	Yes. [No comment]
161	Yes. [No comment]
162	Yes. [No comment]
163	Yes. [No comment]
164	Yes. There are still dog owners around who walk their dogs off-leash, especially on our road.
165	No. [No comment]
166	Yes. [No comment]
167	Not sure. [No comment]
168	Yes. [No comment]
169	Yes. [No comment]
170	Yes. [No comment]
171	Yes. [No comment]
172	Yes. [No comment]
173	Not sure. [No comment]
174	Yes. [No comment]
175	Not sure. [No comment]
176	Yes. [No comment]
177	Not sure. [No comment]
178	Yes. [No comment]
179	Yes. [No comment]
180	Yes. [No comment]
181	Yes. [No comment]
182	Yes. Picking up after your dog
183	Not sure. [No comment]
184	Yes. [No comment]
185	Yes. [No comment]
186	Yes. [No comment]
187	Yes. [No comment]
188	Yes. [No comment]
189	Yes. [No comment]
190	Yes. [No comment]
191	Yes. [No comment]

4. Should there be more responsibility by dog owners

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|-----|---|
| 192 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 193 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 194 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 195 | Yes. picking up dog poo - some owners still lazy. Many folks also still walk their dogs off leash around the neighbourhood which is potentially dangerous for other people and dogs on leash. This also stirs dogs up who are on their own property when off leash dogs walking come onto their property/up the driveway for a sniff and a wee. Sets our dogs off barking which annoys neighbors |
| 196 | No. [No comment] |
| 197 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 198 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 199 | No. [No comment] |
| 200 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 201 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 202 | Yes. Most definitely. More education |
| 203 | Yes. [No comment] |

5. Should there be better signs or information regarding the different zone's rules and regulations that apply to dog owners

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|----|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 2 | No. [No comment] |
| 3 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 4 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 5 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 6 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 7 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 8 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 9 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 10 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 11 | No. [No comment] |
| 12 | No. [No comment] |
| 13 | Yes. [No comment] |

5. Should there be better signs or information regarding the different zone's rules and regulations that apply to dog owners



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

14	Yes. Clear, simple signage as opposed to a long list of rules may be more effective. Or perhaps in addition to the set of rules signage in dog areas about picking up poo.
15	No. [No comment]
16	No. [No comment]
17	[No response selected or comment]
18	Yes. [No comment]
19	Yes. [No comment]
20	Yes. [No comment]
21	Not sure. [No comment]
22	Yes. [No comment]
23	Yes. Off-leash does not mean no rules. More signs stating rules of off-leash areas. Owners need to have control over their dogs.
24	Yes. [No comment]
25	Yes. [No comment]
26	Yes. [No comment]
27	Not sure. [No comment]
28	Not sure. [No comment]
29	Yes. [No comment]
30	Yes. [No comment]
31	No. [No comment]
32	Yes. Perhaps signs that remind owners that it is their responsibility to ensure their dog is safe to walk unleashed and that walking in large packs with rough-playing dogs is not safe.
33	Yes. [No comment]
34	Yes. [No comment]
35	Yes. [No comment]
36	Yes. [No comment]
37	Yes. There still seems to be dogs appear on Maida Vale oval. Not a lot but signage could be better.
38	Yes. [No comment]
39	Yes. [No comment]
40	No. [No comment]
41	Yes. [No comment]
42	Yes. [No comment]

5. Should there be better signs or information regarding the different zone's rules and regulations that apply to dog owners



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

43	Yes. [No comment]
44	Not sure. [No comment]
45	Yes. [No comment]
46	Yes. [No comment]
47	Yes. [No comment]
48	Yes. [No comment]
49	Yes. [No comment]
50	No. [No comment]
51	Yes. [No comment]
52	Yes. [No comment]
53	No. [No comment]
54	Yes. [No comment]
55	<p>Yes. [The last time I was approached by a ranger at a dog park was at Progress Park which is an off leash park, where I was told by said ranger that the 20 metre leash I had my dog on was not suitable because it was too long. IT IS AN OFF LEASH PARK. More signage might help your staff.</p> <p>Additionally, it is not particularly clear at the parks I frequent - I've had to educate myself by going to your website to double check.</p>
56	<p>No. Do not waste tax payers money on garbage like this. People as it is now see an open, green space and let their dog be free - which I think go for it just be responsible and pick up after it. The rules city of kalamunda have in place regarding which specific bit of grass/park is dog friendly is a joke. It's almost as if someone closed their eyes and pointed to areas and declared "not allowed here I, but allowed here next to a main road and I fenced area". Most frustrating town planning.</p>
57	No. People don't follow the current signs so I don't think more signs will help
58	Yes. [No comment]
59	Yes. [No comment]
60	Yes. For example lots of confusion were you can and can not be off lead at Alan Anderson.
61	Yes. [No comment]
62	[No response selected] No, just more places where we can take our dogs in the open spaces.
63	<p>No. We have enough signs already taking away the visual amenity. Have a look at the new signs installed at Scott Reserve..... I rest my case. The idiots who do gross disservice to the general citizenry of our community either 1) don't read the signs or 2) ignore them.</p>
64	Yes. [No comment]
65	Yes. [No comment]
66	Yes. [No comment]

5. Should there be better signs or information regarding the different zone's rules and regulations that apply to dog owners



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

67	Yes. Specifically Ollie Worel park, people don't know that MGPCS shares it and dogs aren't allowed at certain times
68	No. [No comment]
69	Not sure. [No comment]
70	Yes. [No comment]
71	Yes. [No comment]
72	Yes. [No comment]
73	No. [No comment]
74	Yes. [No comment]
75	Yes. [No comment]
76	Yes. [No comment]
77	Yes. [No comment]
78	Yes. [No comment]
79	Yes. [No comment]
80	Yes. [No comment]
81	Yes. [No comment]
82	Yes. [No comment]
83	No. [No comment]
84	Yes. [No comment]
85	Yes. [No comment]
86	Yes. [No comment]
87	Yes. [No comment]
88	No. [No comment]
89	Yes. [No comment]
90	Not sure. [No comment]
91	Yes. [No comment]
92	Yes. [No comment]
93	Yes. [No comment]
94	Yes. [No comment]
95	Yes. [No comment]
96	Yes. [No comment]
97	Yes. [No comment]

5. Should there be better signs or information regarding the different zone's rules and regulations that apply to dog owners



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

98	Not sure. [No comment]
99	No. [No comment]
100	Yes. [No comment]
101	No. [No comment]
102	Yes. [No comment]
103	Yes. [No comment]
104	Yes. [No comment]
105	Yes. [No comment]
106	Yes. [No comment]
107	Yes. [No comment]
108	Yes. [No comment]
109	Yes. [No comment]
110	Yes. [No comment]
111	Yes. [No comment]
112	Yes. [No comment]
113	Yes. [No comment]
114	No. [No comment]
115	Yes. [No comment]
116	Yes. Dog poo bags at kostera oval but you not supposed to be on there. It gives wrong message. There are not enough signs round the oval to say no dogs.
117	Yes. [No comment]
118	Yes. [No comment]
119	Yes. [No comment]
120	Yes. [No comment]
121	Yes. [No comment]
122	No. [No comment]
123	Yes. People need to know the rules relating to dogs and leashes
124	Yes. Got a neighbour on butcher rd who thinks the streets are free for all and continues to walk their dog off leash in the area with it running and [redacted] on other people's properties!! Complain to the shire who claim there's nothing that can be done even with camera footage!!
125	Yes. [No comment]
126	Yes. [No comment]

5. Should there be better signs or information regarding the different zone's rules and regulations that apply to dog owners



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

127	Yes. [No comment]
128	No. [No comment]
129	Yes. [No comment]
130	Yes. [No comment]
131	Yes. [No comment]
132	Yes. [No comment]
133	Yes. [No comment]
134	Yes. [No comment]
135	Not sure. [No comment]
136	Yes. [No comment]
137	Yes. [No comment]
138	Yes. [No comment]
139	Yes. [No comment]
140	Yes. [No comment]
141	Yes. [No comment]
142	Yes. [No comment]
143	Yes. [No comment]
144	Not sure. [No comment]
145	Yes. [No comment]
146	Yes. [No comment]
147	Not sure. I mostly go to Jorgenson Park, Ledger Rd and the railway track, I don't know what is at other areas
148	Yes. [No comment]
149	Yes. [No comment]
150	Yes. It would make sense to have the regulations in view
151	Yes. [No comment]
152	Yes. [No comment]
153	Yes. [No comment]
154	Yes. [No comment]
155	No. [No comment]
156	Not sure. [No comment]
157	Yes. [No comment]

5. Should there be better signs or information regarding the different zone's rules and regulations that apply to dog owners



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

- | | |
|-----|---|
| 158 | No. [No comment] |
| 159 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 160 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 161 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 162 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 163 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 164 | Yes. Some dog owners still walk their dogs off-leash on public footpaths. This causes unnecessary angst for older people walking their own dogs. |
| 165 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 166 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 167 | No. [No comment] |
| 168 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 169 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 170 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 171 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 172 | No. [No comment] |
| 173 | No. [No comment] |
| 174 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 175 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 176 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 177 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 178 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 179 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 180 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 181 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 182 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 183 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 184 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 185 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 186 | Yes. Signage is good, but often ignored. More ranger presence is required in areas, school ovals, sporting grounds |
| 187 | Not sure. [No comment] |

5. Should there be better signs or information regarding the different zone's rules and regulations that apply to dog owners



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

- | | |
|-----|--|
| 188 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 189 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 190 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 191 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 192 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 193 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 194 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 195 | No. [No comment] |
| 196 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 197 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 198 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 199 | No. [No comment] |
| 200 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 201 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 202 | Yes. As a reminder and always have something to refer back to when in conversation with another owner |
| 203 | [No response selected or comment] |

6. Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas?



Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 2 | Yes. See reason at 6. |
| 3 | No. [No comment] |
| 4 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 5 | Yes. Yes!! And especially with suitable water, shade, shelter and seating - especially for the elderly. It is often pet owners that are physically unable to walk their dogs that use these facilities. So the areas need to accommodate people of all ages and capabilities. |
| 6 | Yes. there is not a separate small dog only exercise area in the kalamunda, lesmurdie areas. |
| 7 | Yes. Juniper Park presents a fantastic opportunity to section off a large portion or a largely unused park area for use as an unleashed dog area. Especially considering the recent upgrades at this park. |
| 8 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 9 | Not sure. would possibly make the more nervous dog owners feel safer |
| 10 | Yes. [No comment] |

6. Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|----|---|
| 10 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 11 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 12 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 13 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 14 | Yes. Safety for the dogs and the public. |
| 15 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 16 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 17 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 18 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 19 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 20 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 21 | No. [No comment] |
| 22 | No. [No comment] |
| 23 | No. [No comment] |
| 24 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 25 | Yes. Yes- it provides a safer area for the dogs - meaning they can't run into children or on roads |
| 26 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 27 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 28 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 29 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 30 | No. [No comment] |
| 31 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 32 | Yes. There are none in our suburbs. Older people do not like to drive down & up the hill. |
| 33 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 34 | [No response selected or comment] |
| 35 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 36 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 37 | Yes. Then ppl won't want to take them to the sporting grounds. |
| 38 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 39 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 40 | Yes. [No comment] |

6. Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|----|--|
| 41 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 42 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 43 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 44 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 45 | Yes. But there needs to be education about appropriate supervision. I personally wouldn't go to most off leash areas due to dogs who are not appropriate to be there!

There are some amazing signs about body language and dog park etiquette around if finances permitted.

I like more though as that may encourage people with no control over their dogs to go there and not around the streets or on lead parks |
| 46 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 47 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 48 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 49 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 50 | [No response selected or comment] |
| 51 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 52 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 53 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 54 | No. [No comment] |
| 55 | Yes. I cannot understand why you don't fully enclose all off-leash parks to ensure safety for dogs from passing vehicles. You seem to enjoy wasting our rate money on useless projects and stuffing your pockets - maybe time to look at putting it to better use? |
| 56 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 57 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 58 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 59 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 60 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 61 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 62 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 63 | No. [No comment] |
| 64 | No. [No comment] |
| 65 | No. [No comment] |
| 66 | Yes. [No comment] |

6. Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|----|--|
| 67 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 68 | No. [No comment] |
| 69 | Yes. The high. Wycombe large dog park is heavily used and showing signs of wear |
| 70 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 71 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 72 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 73 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 74 | Yes. It would be great to have a large enclosed dog area example Whitman park or carine dog park.
Would be great at Stirk park. |
| 75 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 76 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 77 | Yes. There are none in Kalamunda or Lesmurdie that I'm aware of. Everything is always down the hill. |
| 78 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 79 | No. [No comment] |
| 80 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 81 | Yes. I am not aware of any LARGE fenced dog parks in Kalamunda / Lesmurdie area. If there are any, they are not clearly identified on the list at https://www.kalamunda.wa.gov.au/residents/pets-livestock/dogs-cats |
| 82 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 83 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 84 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 85 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 86 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 87 | Yes. More fenced off dog areas means less crowding with other dogs so sensitive dogs who don't cope with the rough and tumble play could have off lead time peacefully. |
| 88 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 89 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 90 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 91 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 92 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 93 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 94 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 95 | No. [No comment] |

6. Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



96	Yes. [No comment]
97	Yes. [No comment]
98	No. [No comment]
99	Yes. [No comment]
100	Yes. [No comment]
101	Yes. [No comment]
102	Yes. [No comment]
103	Yes. [No comment]
104	Yes. [No comment]
105	Yes. [No comment]
106	Yes. [No comment]
107	Yes. [No comment]
108	Yes. [No comment]
109	Yes. [No comment]
110	Yes. [No comment]
111	Yes. [No comment]
112	Yes. [No comment]
113	Yes. I really enjoy taking our puppy/dog down to The Hales Dog Park where is is two areas. We have had a number of Shenton Park Dogs Home Puppy playdate at this park - especially as/when the puppies are young as they don't have the best recall but they need to be socialised so they grow up balanced / behaved / tolerant dogs.
114	Yes. [No comment]
115	Yes. [No comment]
116	Yes. [No comment]
117	Yes. [No comment]
118	Yes. [No comment]
119	Yes. [No comment]
120	Yes. [No comment]
121	Yes. [No comment]
122	Yes. [No comment]
123	Yes. We have none in Kalamunda. High Wickham has two and they are fantastic.
124	Yes. Because dogs need socialising and the limited amount of areas and small areas are not the best
125	Yes. [No comment]

6. Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



126	Yes. [No comment]
127	Yes. [No comment]
128	Not sure. [No comment]
129	Yes. [No comment]
130	Yes. [No comment]
131	Yes. [No comment]
132	Yes. [No comment]
133	Yes. [No comment]
134	Yes. [No comment]
135	Yes. [No comment]
136	Yes. [No comment]
137	Yes. [No comment]
138	Yes. [No comment]
139	Yes. [No comment]
140	Yes. [No comment]
141	Yes. [No comment]
142	Yes. [No comment]
143	Yes. [No comment]
144	Not sure. [No comment]
145	Yes. It would be great to have a smaller, more controlled area to safely allow our dogs off lead especially whilst learning recall skills
146	[No response selected or comment]
147	Yes. Many reasons really. We have the space up in the hills to provide these areas, people coming up here from other suburbs over Perth which means more dogs. Keep dogs, owners and kids safe by not having too many dogs in one place and leading to potential dog fights or kids being injured etc.
148	Yes. [No comment]
149	Yes. [No comment]
150	Not sure. I do not like fenced areas, but open parks are great - Jorgensen is good Leashed areas such as Whistlepipe are great and we need more like this
151	Yes. [No comment]
152	Yes. [No comment]
153	Yes. [No comment]

6. Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 154 **No.** You'll just cut down more trees. Already ruined the bush outside Ray Owen. No more cutting trees
- 155 **No.** [No comment]
- 156 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 157 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 158 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 159 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 160 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 161 **No.** Some people can't control the dogs big or small, and they're the ones who's dogs cause problems in these areas
- 162 **No.** [No comment]
- 163 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 164 **Yes.** Currently we have to drive to either High Wycombe, Forrestfield, or Gosnells to access a fenced dog park. Why??? There are a lot of elderly residents in Kalamunda who would benefit from having a fenced dog park right here in Kalamunda or Lesmurdie.
- 165 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 166 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 167 **No.** [No comment]
- 168 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 169 **Yes.** Site hounds require fully fenced dog areas to be off leash, they need exercise too.
- 170 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 171 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 172 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 173 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 174 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 175 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 176 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 177 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 178 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 179 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 180 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 181 **Yes.** larger ovals, with well-kept grass so we can avoid other dogs.
- 182 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 183 **Yes.** [No comment]

6. Should there be more fenced dog exercise areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 184 **No.** [No comment]
- 185 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 186 **No.** Poorly controlled dogs are an issue in an unfenced or fenced area.
- 187 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 188 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 189 **No.** [No comment]
- 190 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 191 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 192 **No.** [No comment]
- 193 **No.** [No comment]
- 194 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 195 **Yes.** Current unfenced areas other than Jorgenson are not suitable for dogs who are being trained in recall as they are too close to roads. To find a safe fenced off leash park for a Lesmurdie resident you have to trek down the hill to the Hales or High Wycombe. This is too far from Lesmurdie, Kalamunda and Walliston to be practical. We need a modern fenced fit-for purpose area similar to the Hales or High Wycombe in the Lesmurdie/Wallison area.
- 196 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 197 **Yes.** Add some in Wattle Grove please!
- 198 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 199 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 200 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 201 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 202 **Yes.** An area that does not need any fancy stuff in it and a low fence would be great for practicing recall and other off-leash dog training. Also for someone who wants to bring their own equipment for agility or hoopers.
Alan Anderson reserve would be great.
- 203 **Yes.** [No comment]

7. Do you and your pet feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



1	No. [No comment]
2	Yes. Just a suggestion re Ray Owen now that the only path to complete a dog walk circuit is between the new Primary School courts and the southern end of the Ray Owen netball courts, which means going along the eastern edge of the BMX track with your dog. I think this could lead to an incident between dog off leash and BMX cyclist, so suggest the path along the southern edge of the BMX track when heading towards the Primary School courts be extended (from the tree on left with very large hole in side) straight up to the Primary School courts and that a new section of dog path be constructed turning north along the edge of the Primary School courts to the north-west corner of the Primary School courts. A fence can then be constructed along the eastern edge of the BMX track leaving plenty of room for marquees along that eastern edge for BMX carnivals. That way, a dog walker with dog off leash coming round the north-west corner of the Primary School courts won't be taken by surprise, if there is activity on the BMX track, and see their dog in an incident with a cyclist. Just a suggestion, but I can see it happening.
3	Yes. [No comment]
4	Yes. [No comment]
5	Yes. Yes in Elmore park. No at Jorgeson park.
6	No. large dogs should be seperate from small dogs.
7	Yes. [No comment]
8	Yes. [No comment]
9	Yes. Generally we are safe but you can't manage for the odd rogue animal
10	Not sure. [No comment]
11	Yes. [No comment]
12	Yes. [No comment]
13	Not sure. [No comment]
14	No. I don't take my dog to Jorgensen Park or the Hartfield Park Rec areas as I've heard bad stories. The fenced Hales and High Wycombe parks I feel fairly safe in. The off leash area near Winjana I will take my dog, but won't have him off leash as it's too close to roads.
15	Yes. [No comment]
16	No. [No comment]
17	Not sure. [No comment]
18	Yes. [No comment]
19	Yes. [No comment]
20	No. [No comment]
21	Yes. [No comment]
22	No. Same reasons as I have mentioned above. Too many people let their dogs charge up and approach my dog with no control- my dog does not like this and it is a constant hassle so we avoid the dog parks during peak times

7. Do you and your pet feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|----|--|
| 23 | No. Would not go near a fenced area again if there's dogs in there as owners are irresponsible and don't have control of their dogs. |
| 24 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 25 | No. Too many uncontrollable dogs off leash. My dog was attacked by an off lead dog whilst my dog was on lead- the owner was oblivious. |
| 26 | [No response selected or comment] |
| 27 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 28 | No. Off lead at High Wycombe is safe. Jorgensen is not. Owners let their dogs off lead and not controlled and don't pick up their poo. I don't go there anymore after two attacks |
| 29 | No. [No comment] |
| 30 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 31 | No. There are no fenced off-leash in kalamunda |
| 32 | No. There is a very small number of 'repeat offenders' that walk their dogs off leash knowing they might be a risk to others. Others who think their dogs' rough & loud 'play' which can set off other dogs in defence, is not their issue. |
| 33 | No. [No comment] |
| 34 | No. [No comment] |
| 35 | No. [No comment] |
| 36 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 37 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 38 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 39 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 40 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 41 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 42 | No. [No comment] |
| 43 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 44 | No. [No comment] |
| 45 | No. [No comment] |
| 46 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 47 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 48 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 49 | No. Too close to bushland and snakes |
| 50 | Yes. [No comment] |

7. Do you and your pet feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|----|--|
| 51 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 52 | No. [No comment] |
| 53 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 54 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 55 | Not sure. Most of the time yes but there are some dog owners that don't have complete control over their dogs that may negatively affect mine.

I cannot understand why you don't fully enclose all off-leash parks to ensure safety for dogs from passing vehicles. You seem to enjoy wasting our rate money on useless projects and stuffing your pockets - maybe time to look at putting it to better use? |
| 56 | No. Progress park is un-fenced on a main road - the Bandalong way edge is accessible to cars who frequently drive onto the grounds! It's RIDICULOUS that this has never been adjusted to suit the purpose of this space - a dog exercise area. |
| 57 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 58 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 59 | No. [No comment] |
| 60 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 61 | No. The small dog park in the Hales needs a double entrance as the dogs can easily escape when a new person tries to enter. Look at the one in Bushmead. |
| 62 | [No response selected] I don't know of any off leash or fenced areas near me for us to use so the question is not relevant. |
| 63 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 64 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 65 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 66 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 67 | No. There should be information on the Kalamunda website regarding what constitutes as dog under control even when off leash like other shires. |
| 68 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 69 | No. We have had continual problems in the high Wycombe large dog park with gates being left propped open, locks vandalised etc. Locks have been replaced. Numerous times but nothing is being done to address the real problem. I have written to the councillor about this but have had no response |
| 70 | No. [No comment] |
| 71 | [No response selected] I don't even know where the off-leash or fenced areas are located. |
| 72 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 73 | Yes. [No comment] |

7. Do you and your pet feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 74 | Yes. [No comment] | 75 |
| 76 | Yes. [No comment] | |
| 77 | Not sure. [No comment] | |
| 78 | No. [No comment] | |
| 79 | Yes. [No comment] | |
| 80 | No. [No comment] | |
| 81 | [No response selected]Snakes are a concern. | |
| 82 | Not sure. [No comment] | |
| 83 | Yes. [No comment] | |
| 84 | Not sure. [No comment] | |
| 85 | No. [No comment] | |
| 86 | No. Because we have small and large designated areas but the council doesn't specify this so big dog owners think they can come into the small dog area and this is dangerous. | |
| 87 | No. See previous comment re: no double gates | |
| 88 | Not sure. [No comment] | |
| 89 | No. [No comment] | |
| 90 | Not sure. [No comment] | |
| 91 | Not sure. [No comment] | |
| 92 | Yes. [No comment] | |
| 93 | No. [No comment] | |
| 94 | No. [No comment] | |
| 95 | No. [No comment] | |
| 96 | No. [No comment] | |
| 97 | No. [No comment] | |
| 98 | Yes. [No comment] | |
| 99 | Yes. [No comment] | |
| 100 | Yes. I go to the same park each time and know the regular dogs / owners. If I went to a different one I'm not sure. I heard the one on Chipping drive High Wycombe can sometimes not be safe but haven't been there many times to comment | |
| 101 | Yes. [No comment] | |
| 102 | Yes. [No comment] | |
| 103 | No. [No comment] | |

7. Do you and your pet feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|-----|---|
| 104 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 105 | No. [No comment] |
| 106 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 107 | No. [No comment] |
| 108 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 109 | No. To close to roads, people letting dogs off leash who aren't friendly |
| 110 | No. Some dogs are aggressive and not social. |
| 111 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 112 | No. [No comment] |
| 113 | No. I understand that the area in Flora Reserve is an off-leash dog area - but I don't take my dog there (and I also hate driving past the park when dogs are there) - as there is no fence and if something happens (dogs decide they don't like each other) the dogs can run and no matter how good your recall is they can be off - and driving past the park you don't want to be the one to hit the dog as there is no fence.

Also read on social media about dog attacks in the area / parks. |
| 114 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 115 | No. [No comment] |
| 116 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 117 | No. have to be on your ball (pardon the pun) |
| 118 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 119 | No. Dogs with poor recall, uneducated dog owners |
| 120 | No. [No comment] |
| 121 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 122 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 123 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 124 | No. Areas are too confined and people pay no attention to the signage |
| 125 | No. [No comment] |
| 126 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 127 | No. No double gates. So dogs escape when new playmates arrive and open the gate |
| 128 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 129 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 130 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 131 | No. [No comment] |

7. Do you and your pet feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 132 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 133 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 134 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 135 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 136 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 137 **No.** [No comment]
- 138 **No.** [No comment]
- 139 **No.** [No comment]
- 140 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 141 **No.** Personal safety when walking dog
- 142 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 143 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 144 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 145 **No.** No when it comes to the likes of Jorgensen Park. There is dog fights/attacks daily. We have been attacked too many times to count ourselves over the last 30 years.
- 146 **No.** [No comment]
- 147 **No.** Unfortunately I have had a few bad experiences with owners who haven't trained their dogs well and/or aren't social. I wonder if the shire could offer a training session to locals on some basic training techniques such as recall and good doggy manners/etiquette
To avoid dogs rushing up into others, understanding dog body language etc
- 148 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 149 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 150 **Not sure.** Not all pet owners are responsible and dog fights have taken place.
- 151 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 152 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 153 **No.** [No comment]
- 154 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 155 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 156 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 157 **No.** [No comment]
- 158 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 159 **Yes.** [No comment]

7. Do you and your pet feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 160 **No.** [No comment]
- 161 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 162 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 163 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 164 **No.** There are still some dogs that are not friendly to other dogs. Therefore I do not take my dogs to off-leash areas. Many dog owners don't seem to know which parts of the Railway Track are off-leash and which are on-leash. Prominent signage is needed.
- 165 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 166 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 167 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 168 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 169 **No.** [No comment]
- 170 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 171 **No.** [No comment]
- 172 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 173 **No.** [No comment]
- 174 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 175 **No.** My chihuahua can easily fit under most of the fences in the small dog park in The Hales. The gaps at the bottom of the fences need to be fixed before we can return
- 176 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 177 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 178 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 179 **No.** Massive gaps at the bottom of the fences, lack of two gates and fences are missing screws therefore the integrity is poor.
- 180 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 181 **No.** Owners cannot control their dogs. My dog loves to chase the ball but isn't fond of other dogs. We don't really go to off leash areas because other dogs run up to her, which gets her scared. if owners were able to call their dog back, or larger areas where we can avoid other dogs, I might go to off leash areas more often.
- 182 **Not sure.** [No comment]
- 183 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 184 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 185 **No.** [No comment]
- 186 **No.** Unregistered, poorly controlled dogs.

7. Do you and your pet feel safe in the City's off-leash or fenced areas?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



187	Yes. [No comment]
188	Not sure. [No comment]
189	Yes. [No comment]
190	Yes. [No comment]
191	Not sure. [No comment]
192	Yes. [No comment]
193	No. [No comment]
194	Yes. [No comment]
195	Not sure. there are too many factors in this question. Safe in fenced areas but not safe in off-leash areas that are not fenced due to nearby road hazards.
196	Yes. [No comment]
197	Yes. [No comment]
198	No. [No comment]
199	Yes. [No comment]
200	No. [No comment]
201	Yes. [No comment]
202	No. I have previously been to one of the off-leash parks and my dog has been rushed and rolled by other dogs. The owners were unable to recall their dog but I managed to get between them. I then attached his leash and left.
203	Yes. [No comment]

8. Is stronger enforcement and/or Ranger presence required?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



1	Yes. [No comment]
2	Not sure. Occasional observation and lecture to any offending dog owners with warning of ability to fine
3	No. [No comment]
4	No. [No comment]
5	Yes. On a couple of occasions I have felt unsafe at Elmore park due to people sitting near the dog areas drinking.
6	No. [No comment]
7	No. [No comment]
8	Yes. [No comment]
9	No. could possibly be more contactable after office hours and weekends
10	No. [No comment]

8. Is stronger enforcement and/or Ranger presence required?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|----|--|
| 11 | No. [No comment] |
| 12 | No. [No comment] |
| 13 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 14 | No. I can't see it making much difference unless there was a huge increase of Ranger presence. Not sure it's worth it financially. |
| 15 | No. [No comment] |
| 16 | No. [No comment] |
| 17 | No. There is 1 in the whole suburb & is not walking distance, there are plenty of big parks and spaces (Peter Hegney Park, Flemming Reserve, Range View) all with lots of space to suit a fenced dog area |
| 18 | No. [No comment] |
| 19 | No. [No comment] |
| 20 | No. [No comment] |
| 21 | No. [No comment] |
| 22 | No. [No comment] |
| 23 | No. [No comment] |
| 24 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 25 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 26 | No. [No comment] |
| 27 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 28 | Yes. As above |
| 29 | Not sure. More level headed approach not all big dogs are dangerous and not all little dogs a sweet and good tempered |
| 30 | No. [No comment] |
| 31 | No. [No comment] |
| 32 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 33 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 34 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 35 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 36 | No. [No comment] |
| 37 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 38 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 39 | No. [No comment] |

8. Is stronger enforcement and/or Ranger presence required?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 40 **No.** [No comment]
- 41 **No.** [No comment]
- 42 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 43 **No.** [No comment]
- 44 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 45 **Yes.** It's tough, as you can't be everywhere! But I find some Kalamunda residents seem to feel they can walk their dogs everywhere off leash. As someone who runs dog training classes (in venues, not parks) we have so many people walking their dogs off lead through car parks even! And we observe them running their dogs off leash in on leash areas. Sometimes we have to be ninjas! Whilst it would be lovely for rangers to be everywhere, you would need many more and I know that isn't financially viable (I am also a ratepayer!). Perhaps an education campaign explaining WHY dogs should be on leads in other areas. My previous dog had been attacked by a dog that ran at him so I never walked in off leash areas as dogs running at him was scary for him. However, I would get offleash dogs in all the on lead areas - causing him fear. People don't realise their 'right to exercise their dog wherever they want' can cause issues to others. When we explain this, many understand. I also worry about offlead dogs getting hit by cars etc.
- 46 **No.** [No comment]
- 47 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 48 **No.** [No comment]
- 49 **No.** [No comment]
- 50 **No.** [No comment]
- 51 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 52 **Yes.** [No comment]
- 53 **No.** [No comment]
- 54 **No.** [No comment]
- 55 **Not sure.** In all of the years I have lived in this council, I have had little interaction with your rangers except in a negative sense as I have already described above.
With the exception of the last Ranger I spoke to (I want to say his name was Steve?) who addressed a howling complaint we received, your rangers do not seem to care about the people that pay their wages enough to bother engaging with us unless required to by addressing complaints or enforcing regulations.
- 56 **No.** Absolute waste of time. I've been told multiple times that dogs aren't allowed in specific dog exercise areas (progress park). The rangers don't even know what they're patrolling.
- 57 **Yes.** We go to fleming reserve twice a day and are regularly faced with dogs off the lead, we very rarely see a ranger during these times
- 58 **No.** [No comment]
- 59 **Yes.** [No comment]

8. Is stronger enforcement and/or Ranger presence required?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



60	No. Because I believe that the shire over managers dog owners and is draconian in its approach. I feel the restrictions make it difficult for you to be a good dog owner, for example by providing your dog with sufficient exercise that they do not become a hassle.
61	Yes. Never seen one and they need to be able to issue on the spot fines for people walking dogs off lead. Patrol between 4 & 6 & you'll make a fortune
62	No. [No comment]
63	No. The Rangers have other important tasks to undertake. There needs to be a big push by local government to get dog owners up to speed on the responsibilities of owning a dog, training a dog, handling a dog and exercising a dog,
64	Yes. [No comment]
65	No. [No comment]
66	No. [No comment]
67	Yes. [No comment]
68	Not sure. [No comment]
69	Yes. Need lights and cameras to deal with overnight vandalism. Also police involvement
70	No. [No comment]
71	Not sure. [No comment]
72	Yes. [No comment]
73	No. [No comment]
74	No. [No comment]
75	No. [No comment]
76	No. [No comment]
77	No. I see so many ranger vehicles in Kalamunda so feel this is covered. If only I saw a police car from time to time!
78	Yes. [No comment]
79	No. [No comment]
80	No. [No comment]
81	Not sure. it can be difficult to get hold of a ranger (for dog problems or other things) on weekends
82	No. [No comment]
83	No. [No comment]
84	Not sure. [No comment]
85	No. [No comment]
86	Yes. Because we have small and large designated areas but the council doesn't specify this so big dog owners think they can come into the small dog area and this is dangerous.
87	Yes. For visibility and to set the standard

8. Is stronger enforcement and/or Ranger presence required?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|-----|---|
| 88 | No. [No comment] |
| 89 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 90 | No. [No comment] |
| 91 | No. [No comment] |
| 92 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 93 | No. [No comment] |
| 94 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 95 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 96 | No. [No comment] |
| 97 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 98 | No. [No comment] |
| 99 | No. [No comment] |
| 100 | No. I often see the ranger at Ollie Worrell driving around and that is an off leash area (and not for lunch), so not sure why are there making people feel uncomfortable. They should be focusing on the areas that are leashed or other parts of their job. |
| 101 | No. [No comment] |
| 102 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 103 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 104 | No. [No comment] |
| 105 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 106 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 107 | No. comes back down to responsibilities of owners |
| 108 | No. [No comment] |
| 109 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 110 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 111 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 112 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 113 | Yes. Not sure enforcement - but education of residents is imperative. |
| 114 | No. [No comment] |
| 115 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 116 | No. See above for in spot fines |

8. Is stronger enforcement and/or Ranger presence required?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|-----|---|
| 117 | No. Ranger more interested in catching someone out and fining rather than a positive influence on a group and their dogs |
| 118 | No. [No comment] |
| 119 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 120 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 121 | No. [No comment] |
| 122 | No. [No comment] |
| 123 | Yes. Lots of escaped pets are roaming around and need to be picked up for their own safety and the safety of others. |
| 124 | Yes. To ensure people are complying!! |
| 125 | No. [No comment] |
| 126 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 127 | No. [No comment] |
| 128 | No. [No comment] |
| 129 | No. [No comment] |
| 130 | No. [No comment] |
| 131 | [No response or comment] |
| 132 | No. [No comment] |
| 133 | No. [No comment] |
| 134 | No. [No comment] |
| 135 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 136 | No. [No comment] |
| 137 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 138 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 139 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 140 | No. [No comment] |
| 141 | Not sure. [No comment] |
| 142 | No. [No comment] |
| 143 | No. [No comment] |
| 144 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 145 | Yes. Yes in the likes of Jorgensen Park. |
| 146 | Yes. [No comment] |

8. Is stronger enforcement and/or Ranger presence required?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- | | |
|-----|---|
| 147 | Not sure. I think it will encourage people to be more responsible but as it will be mostly at weekends, I am not sure it will be viable |
| 148 | No. [No comment] |
| 149 | No. [No comment] |
| 150 | No. Because all rangers want to do is fine people not educate |
| 151 | No. [No comment] |
| 152 | No. [No comment] |
| 153 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 154 | No. [No comment] |
| 155 | No. [No comment] |
| 156 | No. [No comment] |
| 157 | No. [No comment] |
| 158 | No. [No comment] |
| 159 | No. [No comment] |
| 160 | No. [No comment] |
| 161 | Not sure. Well, it might seem like a good solution. I don't know that having a ranger around more often would deter dog owners because I'll just go out at different times |
| 162 | No. [No comment] |
| 163 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 164 | Yes. Absolutely! I have stopped walking my two little dogs because I am frightened an off-leash dog will come along. There is no way I could protect them. |
| 165 | No. [No comment] |
| 166 | No. [No comment] |
| 167 | No. [No comment] |
| 168 | No. [No comment] |
| 169 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 170 | No. [No comment] |
| 171 | Yes. [No comment] |
| 172 | No. [No comment] |
| 173 | No. [No comment] |
| 174 | No. [No comment] |
| 175 | Not sure. [No comment] |

8. Is stronger enforcement and/or Ranger presence required?**Comments** | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

176	Yes. [No comment]
177	No. [No comment]
178	Not sure. [No comment]
179	Not sure. [No comment]
180	Not sure. [No comment]
181	No. [No comment]
182	Not sure. [No comment]
183	No. [No comment]
184	No. [No comment]
185	Not sure. [No comment]
186	Yes. [No comment]
187	Not sure. [No comment]
188	Yes. [No comment]
189	Not sure. [No comment]
190	No. [No comment]
191	No. [No comment]
192	Yes. [No comment]
193	Yes. [No comment]
194	Yes. [No comment]
195	No. [No comment]
196	No. [No comment]
197	No. [No comment]
198	Not sure. [No comment]
199	No. [No comment]
200	Yes. [No comment]
201	Yes. [No comment]
202	Yes. Reinforcement and more education.
203	[No response or comment]

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 1
- 2 Very grateful for the many facilities (and poo bags & bins!) provided for dog owners
- 3
- 4
- 5 Would DEFINITELY love to see a park similar to Elmore park up here in the hills so we don't have to drive so far. If
- 6 there's space at Stirk Park after the renos that might be good as it will mean there's more adults around while there
- 7 are kids at the skate park. Thank you :)
- 8
- 9 Please consider fencing off some area in Juniper Park or Virgillia Way reserve. Currently residents are right in the
- 10 middle between two suitable offlead areas. The one near Dawson Primary has no other amenities. Unlike juniper
- 11 which now has a play ground and barbecues. Ample space to add a fenced area to allow families with kids and
- 12 dogs to enjoy a day out at the park.
- 13 Areas need lighting as use after work hours is limited
- 14 Investigate making Ledger Road Reserve a single fenced area, as it is under utilised as it is and a large part of it (half/
- 15 three quarters would make a good off lead area where both large and small dogs could mix together.. Rest of area
- 16 could become a larger child recreation area. Dog area could be closed for dog training on a monthly fixed time basis.
- 17
- 18 Please consider adding a fenced dog exercise area in Stirk Park and Maida Vale Reserve similar to The Hales Dog Park
- 19 in Forrestfield. Having a specific dog exercise area helps bring the community together. Shade and water access
- 20 need to be factored into any plans.
- You can hardly take a dog anywhere in Kalamunda. So many walk trails all dig prohibited.
- Most dog owners seem to be pretty good. Even those who have their dogs off leash in leashed areas (eg Upper Lesmurdie Falls). As always it's the few irresponsible owners that tarnish the rest of us. I would love a fenced exercise area in Kalamunda or Lesmurdie as travelling to Forestfield is a bit of a hassle.
- Need more bins and bags around the winter creek area in maida vale, there is one only at the bottom of Meloway drive park area, no others around. People are more included to pick up the poo if they don't have to walk too far with the bag.
- Dogs on lead should be approved to use more Park areas especially Maida Vale reserve.
- There is NO fenced dog area in the Kalamunda/Lesmurdie area. This needs to be improved. Alan Anderson Reserve would be perfect. Anywhere up the hill would be great. Please remedy this.
- Dogs on leash should be permitted in any park, and more fenced areas for dogs off leash are needed. However, we are very lucky to have the amazing Jorgensen Park for dog walking.
- Davies Park requires a fenced area for dogs. This park is big enough to share.
- A lot of residents I have spoke to agree.

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 21 Please do not fence Hartfield Park or Jorgensen, these are two amazing areas that are great as they are. There are very limited places that you can go to that are off leash non-fenced areas. I like to actually walk with my dog for my own health and well-being as well as exercise for my dog. She also likes to swim which would not be possible if you put fenced in areas into Hartfield Park. Having fenced areas does not allow you to walk any great distance and requires you to most likely throw a ball or similar for them to get a decent amount of exercise. I am not able to do that as my dog suffers from hip displasia, however, she does love to swim and it is really good for her. There are already plenty of fenced areas that people can go to if they wish but please don't spoil these two great spaces that many people visit and love.
- 22
- 23
- 24 I'd love to see the open grassed area on Orangedale Rd Lesmurdie have a fences dog park there is the creek that could be included in a play area for them, this open space is seldom used for anything and think it would be the perfect spot
- 25
- 26 Consider Andrew St reserve as a fenced dog area.
- 27
- 28 Rangers need to be visible at Jorgensen
- 29 Dog owners need to be educated as to the rules and dog owners then need to step ip and assist city of Kalamunda in with the application and in enforcement of the dog laws
- 30
- 31 Jorgensen is awesome but not all dogs can be off leash. There isn't any where in kala that is off leash and fenced
- 32
- 33 Jorgensen Park in busy times can have some very entitled owners who allow their dogs to run off and engage with other dogs. Some on leads. When asked to call
Back they ignore or say their dogs friendly. I've seen so many dog fights there to the point I won't go now for fear my dog will Be next. There needs to be harsher penalties in place and ways to record details if their are fights.
- 34 Too many dog owners in the CoK don't believe the rules apply to them. Much stronger enforcement by rangers is needed and you'd make a fortune in fines. Get tough! Clearly not enough off leash dog areas around as too many owners think the whole of CoK is off leash. Too many dogs off leash in our bush areas frightening/harming wildlife. Also limit the number of dogs to one per household, there's no reason to have more. Those pathetic little "no dogs" signs at school ovals and Kosteria are laughable. Make it clear. Kids and sport and dogs don't mix. Have dogs on sporting grounds with no sport and who's going to pick up the dog poo? Guaranteed not the lazy owners. And dogs digging holes in playing surfaces, so that a running child trips falls and is injured. Not a smart move really. Dogs and sporting fields should be completely separate areas.
- 35 We need a fenced dog park in kalamunda.
- 36 I'd love a fenced play area like High Wycombe up the top of the hill

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 37 It's pretty simple, from what I can see ppl don't pick their dog turds up. I think sporting grounds should be kept dog free. The clubs are already run by volunteers who are hard working people and don't particularly want to get up crack of dawn to pick up other peoples dog poo.
- 38 Having more areas where dogs can go to excercise may mean dog owners will take their dogs there to tire them out. This may help reduce nuisance barking and dogs escaping properties
- 39
- 40 The park on Orangedale Road in Lesmurdie would make a perfect fenced dog park
- 41
- 42
- 43 Would love a dog park with a water Feature, fountain, pond, or dam.
Even a coin operated fountain.
A cooling of while out on a hot day.
Would be lux.
Most Inclosed Dog parks are to small.
Best for people who carnt walk far.
Ants at some of these places are nasty, snakes hide in over grown creek beds.
Gordersan pk is good, but kangaroos are a problem if yr dog likes to chase, (lost dog)...
- 44 Jorgensen off leash park is ok for big dogs. However us small dogs owners cannot walk there. My dog has been attacked by big off leash dogs up there and the owner couldn't care less. I have to drive down to Forrestfield, however many elderly people wouldn't be able to do that.
- 45 As mentioned above, I feel an education program could be of benefit. Most I explain the 'why' to become more compliant - there are a few who are still going to not comply, and they are the ones we need the awesome rangers for! But a more educated public becomes an assistance to the shire in enforcing.
Options like a flyer in registration renewals, social media posts and signage at parks. Even information sessions?
I was just answering this as a resident - but would happy in my qualified dog trainer and industry educator role to assist - woof@thedoggylady.com.au. I have presented for other shires - even educating about body language etc. maybe something in conjunction with rangers? Ooh, I've gotten so many ideas filling this out!
- 46 Dogs on lead don't seem to be addressed here. On lead dogs should definitely be allowed on ovals etc and at sporting grounds when no sport is taking place and when sport is taking place if you are there to watch your family. It is much safer to walk your dog on lead around a reserve / oval than around the streets with unrestrained dogs.
- 47 My son plays soccer at Maida vale reserve and we often play on the grass at Flemming reserve. There have been a number of near misses with dog poo during these activities and we have trodden in poo a couple of times. On one occasion my son fell and his head almost landed in a dog poo. It's not acceptable and there is no way to ensure this doesn't happen when dogs are present. That's why they should be banned from areas where humans play on grass and expect to stay safe and clean doing so. Have separate fenced areas for dogs.

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 48 For the most part, dog owners are very responsible, but occasionally some are NOT .
- 49
- 50
- 51 More dog poop bags and bins throughout off leash areas would help those lazy dog owners have less excuses for not picking up after their dogs. I would love to see composting bins or in ground alternatives for disposal also.
- 52 We are too scared to walk our dog on a leash, as the amount of dog owners who walk dogs, especially larger dogs, off leash even though this is not permitted make it unsafe to do so. There needs to be greater enforcement of ensuring dogs are walked on a leash unless in a specified area.
- 53
- 54 Need more bins & doggy waste disposal facilities in Jorgensen Park.
- 55 I've requested in the past for additional poo bins to be placed at dog exercise areas (such as Progress Park) as I don't believe one at one corner of a large space is enough and it may encourage people to pick up and dispose of their pet's waste. I was told there was no budget to do so which is laughable. I would like to see this addressed again.
- I do not believe the parks I frequent are maintained to a satisfactory standard, for example: Mackenzie Park currently has in excess of 15 large tree branches which are a trip hazard scattered around and numerous pot holes. I see a lot of older patrons use this area in particular and it is dangerous.
- There also should be drinking fountains installed for dogs in particular.
- 56 The fact that progress park and McKenzie park are SPECIFICALLY unleashed dog exercise areas and there's no drinking water for dogs. The only dog drinking water available within a few km radius is at a park where you can't have dog off the lead (rangeview park). So I have to walk from progress park and McKenzie park to rangeview park just so they can get some water. This suburb is that backwards in going forwards it's ridiculous - spare no expense in getting reticulation installed (on minister mains might have you not even from a bore) but forget about providing basic care to animals by having a place to drink water from.
- FIX THE UNLEASHED EXERCISE PARKS BY PUTTING APPROPRIATE FENCING TO DETER ACCIDENTS (CAR VS DOGS) ON THE MAIN THOROUGHFARES AND STOP CARS ACCESSING THE PARKS where also children play!
- 57
- 58 Can we get some confirmation on why dogs aren't permitted on school grounds? Understand it's a no-go during school hours, but outside of this should be fine. Similar to the sports grounds rules. Really limits the amount of space and availability to walking areas within the community.
- 59 Too many residents walk their dogs off leash on PSPs, local streets and open spaces, making me feel unsafe walking my dog on leash. I want on leash areas where I know a loose dog will not approach us.
- 60 I feel that the dog laws of the shire are lead and forced by a small anti dog portion of the population who are vocal. I believe the concerns are led by over exaggerated concerns of dog attacks and damage. The restrictions are counterproductive and can make dog owners feel like second rate citizens.
- 61
- 62 Dog owners are rate payers & want to live happily in the community with the pets, not be treated like a criminal for over extending rules & regulations. Our pets are registered as well

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	Jorgensen Park is a great hit with my dogs. The only time I feel unsafe is when walking my dogs and there a people walking multiple rottweilers. I have seen up to 4 rottweilers being walked on a lead by 2 people. I have 2 small dogs and get very worried. They are also time I've seen rottweilers being walked around the neighborhood without a lead.
69	More fully fenced areas are needed, however there is no need for equipment. People just need safe places to throw a ball and exercise and socialise their dogs
70	Please have an enclosed dog exercise area in Ilesmurdie or Kalamunda
71	I always take my doggy out for walks on a leash. I would like Stirk Park to allow dogs AND ALWAYS ON A LEASH THOUGH (at a certain distance from the playground) so I can take my doggy and sit with him there whilst my human daughter goes on the playground. The amount of events at Stirk Park whereby people take their dogs to is ridiculous and they are dogs that are always off the leash! I don't want to take my dog to an event at Stirk Park EVER but would like to have him ON A LEASH near the playground (completely understand if it's a certain distance away) whilst my daughter is playing. Thanks so much and have a great day!
72	
73	A fenced off area within Kalamunda would be greatly appreciated
74	A large fenced in dog park would be great and better signs for off leash areas please
75	CoK have let the current dog areas get so run down adjacent Tonkin hwy is a carpet of bindi and double g, taking your dog there is just Cruel Federation gardens lake is left to dry up and grass die off until it's a sandy wasteland, which is a shame as it's a really nice area when it's actually maintained Take some pride in the areas you apparently look after and do something constructive with our rates money
76	
77	I think it's always good to have both large and small off lead dog areas. Small dog owners constantly say they feel threatened by larger dogs but it's often the smaller barking dogs that cause the issue. Either way, separating large and small dogs will decrease opportunities for complaining and conflict.
78	Too many dog owners walking dogs off leash when not allowed. I am responsible but am impacted by others.
79	Great areas available, more responsibility needs to be enforced on dog owners with aggressive or unfriendly dogs, keep them on a lead and don't take them to off leash parks People visiting dog parks need to be aware it is a dog park and expect dogs to be there off leash, if they are not dog people don't attend dog parks More enforcement on dog owners picking up dog faeces is needed also

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

80	
81	thank you for seeking input.
82	The grass at Gooseberry Hill rec centre should be watered during summer and levelled out after it was churned up by COK a few years ago making it dangerous
83	
84	
85	Areas particularly in Forrestfield are limited to just Federation Gardens that provide a pleasurable pet and person atmosphere. However there is no drinking water supply, other areas are not planned just sparse open spaces with no shade or respite for people walking their dogs. Further is the distinct lack of bins for responsible disposal of faecal waste. These areas are often also unsafe in low light due to use of these areas by unsavoury characters. The safety of pet owners and seeing the opportunity for a shared exercise area for dog and owner should be better considered in the city's value for everything done will make Kalamunda socially, environmentally and economically sustainable.
86	The enclosed areas need to be double gated to ensure dogs can't get out/in when the gates are open. The fencing needs to be to the ground or without big gaps at the bottom. They need to have signs stating the size differences that are allowed in the area.
87	
88	
89	I feel that there needs to be a stronger ranger presence at the Jorgensen Park area as we have had to stop going there due to too many incidences of aggressive or unsocial dogs and owners not monitoring or intervening appropriately when needed.
90	Having a big and small dog enclosure makes sense for safety. The one in High Wycombe is fantastic, we drive down every day. It would be great to have one similar, closer to home.
91	Please add more areas in maida vale
92	
93	More parks that are split for large/small dogs/ even A space for active dogs with stimulating equipment or different levels with logs and things to climb and explore
94	
95	I run a small dog training business , I have to hire grounds out of the city of kalamunda because nothing is suitable for hire .
96	More dog bins would be great. There are currently none on the PSP along Roe Hwy between High Wycombe and Forrestfield. Also no dog bin at Dawson Park (old refuge site across from Dawson Primary School).
97	Water fountains need to be inside fenced area. All entrance/ exit must have double gate for safety. Ranger needs to attend regularly to address anti social dogs and irresponsible dog owners. Signs clearly stating maximum dog size allowed in small dog parks for safety reasons and park etiquette. Small Shelter from sun and rain.
98	Out of interest why are dogs not allowed in Stirk Park?

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 99 I encourage the CoK to embrace dog owners as a large portion of the community.
- 100 It should be up to the dog owner to have their dog off leash at any park. If their dog is suitably trained then it is their responsibility wherever they are.
- Stirk Park should definitely be dog friendly, for such a nice park it's sad you can't allow well behaved dogs. There are food places nearby and it's nice to eat food and take your dog for a walk
- 101
- 102 Obviously yes, the wildlife needs to be thought of more the amount of attacks I've witnessed while dogs are off leads is horrendous. We live in such a beautiful environment why are we not protecting it more... Kalamunda council is so far behind others it's becoming a joke
- 103
- 104
- 105
- 106
- 107 Need more dog poo bins around the park. You want residents to pick up their dog poo but there isn't any bins so you have to walk around carrying dog poo.
- 108
- 109 Just need a separate fenced area for small dogs so they are safe, I currently travel down to the one in Forrestfield but would love one closer to home
- 110
- 111 I've moved from Bayswater where we had a huge off leash dog park by the river including Cafe, children's playground, sand, water, toilets, plenty of parking and green spaces. The only place I've found here is Jorgensen Park which obviously has considerably less facilities.
- I am unaware of any fenced dog exercise areas in Kalamunda too which I'm not sure is down to poor advertising/ signage or if there just isn't any available which is a great shame.
- More appropriate facilities are required in Kalamunda in general, more green, dog off leash areas would be a start.
- Also rangers would frequent Bayswater dog park very routinely and 99% of dog owners would follow the rules due to this, picking up dog waste and being on leash where sign posted, however I've found many dog owners in Kalamunda just don't at all whether that's on public pavements, outside people's houses or in Jorgensen Park which I believe would be better controlled with the presence of rangers.
- 112 We have a dog and sometimes like to be able to take our dog on a leash to Maida Vale Reserve to watch our kids play sport. We bring dog bags to clean up but we often see people there with dogs not on leashes and they don't clean up their dog poo. I say that this is going to continue to happen even if it's classed as a no dog zone. If you provide dog poo bags and a bin people can put them in we will likely get less dog poo than the blanket ban that there currently is as it's not working currently.

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



113	<p>I would like to see a fenced dog park (like Hales in Forrestfield) up the top of the hill.</p> <p>I do avoid Jorgensen Park due to the number of dogs that go to this area - and when walking your dog on leash owners don't seem to care if their dog is off leash and come up to your dog - the owners don't seem to care/ask themselves why is that dog on leash.</p> <p>I believe that CoK should be doing more in the area with regards to dog obedience training. We have just got a new puppy. The RSPCA hold puppy classes in Gooseberry Hill and Kalamunda Vet also holds puppy classes - but once they are over 3/4 months and the puppies are venturing out into the world there is no opportunity for obedience training (which includes your basic recall which is required at dog parks). Kalapups is commencing offering some training.</p> <p>I believe that dog training (which is also training for the owners) is important for socialized dogs and also enables the dogs to be under effective control.</p> <p>Years ago there was dog obedience offered at Alan Anderson Reserve and then in Gooseberry Hill, but I understand that this training folded due to COVID. We now go to Gosnells for training and I understand that there is training in Midland.</p>
114	
115	I'd like to see more fenced areas separated for big and small dogs.
116	
117	<p>Small dogs need larger play areas, same as big dogs, they want to explore, and more people have small dogs and often more than one, we need larger spaces that we can walk around in, throw a ball, have a run, since you have no admission to sports fields, where are other decent spaces? You need to provide well cared for grounds for pets, as the more people forced to use footpaths and off beaten tracks, pets paws get all sorts in them, and that's vets fees many cannot afford. Also footpaths get more poo if people cant pick up when all provided for in a space, they not going to on a footpath, (wrong but true). I find the off lead parks offered to dogs, are not taken care of, have the worst grass, weeds and often a stream for a snake to hide. Loving Hale Dogs Park, though small dog park should be same size as big dog. If we walk we tend to go Dawson Park on lead park, as we don't like to meet dogs off lead in a normal park, in play areas, we meet and greet, to rule out any issues. Use to walk them around the fields in Maida Vale until you brought in no dogs and ranger practically lives there in the trees waiting to pounce, it states on website, turfed area, this would be the playing area?, the surrounds lead to other fields and locations so really are a thoroughfare, and therefore public land, so how is it banned and infringement threatened? People still take their dogs to kids footy on a weekend there, how does that work, ranger have too many people against him to tackle them so waits for the individual? Wouldn't even entertain the thought of Jorgensen Park, amount of stories of attacks on dogs, unsavory characters and again ground cover not for me.</p>
118	
119	Perhaps there could be an area that can be pre-booked for dogs to be in individually, away from others.
120	<p>Please can a fenced in dog exercise area, with double entry/exit gate be considered for Wattle Grove. There are no such facilities here and it would be fantastic for the community.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
121	

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 122
- 123
- 124
- 125
- 126
- 127 Double gates. Water in fenced park. Light for night please
- 128
- 129 The two dog parks in the hales Forrestfield are great day time come night time/after dark when it's the only time some people have to walk their dogs the parks a dark a bit of lighting along the fences would make a big difference
- But the biggest problem in the hales isn't the gates,fencing or lighting for the dog parks it's the narrow streets cars just parking wherever they feel free to park on Bends in front of peoples driveways making it hard for other residents to pass, or even leave their own house not to mention the crimson boulevard is a long street and is slow becoming the local race track there is speed bumps from the dog park down crimson and throughout the suburb heading towards roe high way but things no speed bumps the top end of the suburb hawtin road end of the suburb and with the hales being a dog and kid friendly neighbourhood with the two dog parks and all the others small parks/grassed areas(and in saying that the hales park down gala/crimson could do with a public toilet very nice park only let down no toilets) I would expect that there would be more speed bumps throughout the suburb if your going to have something in one part of a suburb make it consistent throughout the suburb.
- 130 more doggy bag locations within the shire footpath locations
- 131
- 132 It would be wonderful to have a variety of fenced dog areas where dogs can run and stretch their legs in a safe environment . There are a lot of great responsible dog owners in our shire. Lots of other shires have fenced dog areas.
- 133 I would like to see Stirk Park open to on-lead dogs when there is no event taking place. It is an natural area to walk through when in Kalamunda either as a resident or as a visitor.
- 134
- 135
- 136
- 137
- 138 Off lead areas would be good but stronger rules around antisocial dogs been off lead

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 139 The Shire needs to install double gates at the dog park in The Hales estate. The same type as what you have installed at High Wycombe dog park. This will stop other people's dogs getting out of the park while you are trying to enter/exit. Not sure why this was not done to start with?
- Also I find it a bit silly that on the majority of the big dog park at The Hales they have wooden slat type fencing that is quite close together where at the small dog park you have the wrought iron type fencing where dogs can put there nose through and potentially be bitten by a larger dog walking past the small dog park. Also it has quite large gaps for little dogs to squeeze through.
- Maybe Also have watering taps installed inside each dog park and make the water flow a little stronger as it takes a long time to even fill a little bucket full.
- 140 I miss a fenced off-leash dog exercise area in the suburb of Kalamunda.
- 141
- 142 We don't want to have to drive to a fenced area for the dogs. We live in Lesmurdie near a lovely park next to Orangedale road. It would be nice to have part of the park fenced for the dogs to socialise off lead. It doesn't have to be fancy, just a safe secure space.
- 143
- 144
- 145 Would be great to have some options for smaller & larger dog enclosed areas throughout Gooseberry Hill & Kalamunda or even in Walliston
- 146 A lot of dog owners believe the large dog park is only for large dogs and have no regard for smaller dogs safety. The large dog park is handy to let your pets have a good run but attitudes of owners are poor. Owners tell you to get out now as my dog isn't social yet it's signed that they must be social to enter
- 147 It would be nice if all dogs were vaccinated due to the high amount of Parvo in other suburbs but that is probably hard to police as well.
- Maybe having live webcams? So people can check to see how busy it is etc but may also encourage people to pick up after their dogs or act as responsible pet owners?
- 148
- 149 Maida Vale definitely needs an off lead enclosed dog park.
- 150
- 151 There are no off lead enclosed dog parks in Maida Vale. I feel this is imperative to have for the safety of our dogs and others.
- 152 NA
- 153 I ran a poll for a fenced dog area in Wattle Grove, 243 families voted yes, Brooke O'Donnell was privy to the poll, we all pay our rates & nothing has happened & no update for our area.
- 154 If you're going to create an off leash dog park, do it somewhere that doesn't make an impact to the existing environment. Clearing land for this will just make kalamunda look worse. People come to the hills for the bush. Clearing land for this will jeopardize tourism

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 155
- 156
- 157
- 158
- 159 Should be more fenced off leash areas and activity parks similar to High Wycombe up in Kalamuna/Lesmurdie.
- 160
- 161
- 162
- 163 At The Hales dog park I believe there should be signals stating large and small dogs are allowed in both fenced areas. People seem to think just little dogs can only be in the small fenced in area.
- 164 Please put big print signs on the footpaths stating that dogs MUST be on leash when being walked along them.
- 165
- 166
- 167 We love that we can walk oit doggos off leash at Jorgie. Dogs on leashes are more aggressive! Every now and then a grumpy human shouts at all the doggos and this causes loads of stress. Other than that Jorgie is perfect for doggo walking. Its a real community of us doggo owners and we all get along well. I know that there have been some dog fights bit I have never seen one and I walk at Jorgie most days. Some of the doggos on leashes get a bit snappy at time bit off leash they work things out pretty quickly.
- 168 The fenced areas should be separated into Small dogs and Large dogs. As an owner of a large dog, regardless of his friendly nature, I get abused by owners of little dogs who are genuinely frightened and intimidated by his size.
- 169 Dog owners shall be accountable for their pets behaviour , on or off leash
- 170
- 171 Double Fencing of all entry points in the big dog park needs to be added as when new dogs enter they get attacked being on leash and mobbed by all the off leash dogs which creates anxiety for both the dog and the owner and some dogs have been injured in this case.
- More water needs to be available in the dog park as the 1 water bowel closest to the small dog park is slow and not enough for the big dog park. Owners have to leave the park and get someone else to watch their dog for 10 mins to fill up the bucket in the park.
- There needs to be lighting added to the park especially with the warm summer nights coming people need would like to take their dogs out later in the evenings.
- 172 Be great to see a dog exercise area in Wattle Grove
- 173 Current off leash areas around the COK aren't safe for dogs. Lots are full of grass seeds, prickly weeds and bull ants. Weed and pest management needs to take place in these areas for dog owners to feel safer to utilise these areas again. Many resort to sporting grounds as a means to keep their pets safe as the quality of grass is significantly higher.

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 174 Hi, Not all dogs like other dogs. I don't like how you have so many entry points, but not all visible at once, in the fenced off areas.
I like to use them if they are empty, but it's hard to keep a lookout.
Thanks
- 175 My chihuahua can easily fit under most of the fences in the small dog park in The Hales. The gaps at the bottom of the fences need to be fixed before we can return.
Please consider having a closed-lid type bin for dog poo in/near the dog parks as opposed to the open bins there currently are in The Hales
- 176 The addition of fenced off lead, separate dog parks for both small and large dogs encourages engagement for both dogs and owners. It also creates a safe environment for dogs to socialize, which is very important for maintaining happy and healthy pups.
- 177
- 178 Off leash, fenced dog park with trees for summer shelter in Wattle grove please for our furry friends. We currently have to travel over 20 minutes by car to get to a off leash, fenced dog park
- 179
- 180
- 181
- 182 Need more adequate lighting for visiting enclosed dogs park after the sun has gone down.
Please think about the trees used in the dog parks, as bees are attracted to certain flowers dropped from trees. Bees all over the ground is a potential hazard for dogs and humans
- 183
- 184
- 185
- 186
- 187 The Hales dog park (big dog park) should be fenced off in half to minimise dog fights and allow smaller dogs to run around.

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.



- 188 Hello,
I use the Hales dog parks.
The main issue I have is owners not actually looking after their pets in the parks. I find in the larger dog park in the Hales that the dogs are running around out of site. For most dogs this is completely fine, but for some that a known in the community to cause an issue, it isn't safe for other owners and their pets to be in the area while these dogs are not supervised.
The other issue I have is that some dogs with poor recall come to the gates to greet new dogs, this is nice but you never know if that other dog is going to run out as soon as you open the gate to let your dog in. Both the large and small park have a cement area inside near the gate, this would be perfect for setting up a double gate to ensure you cannot accidentally let out somebody else's dog.
My final issue is that throughout the year there is a couple events where prickly plants take over the park. This doesn't last long, but it really causes an issue for dogs with longer hair. My dog included cannot go into the parks during this time of year, as it is too easy for him to get grass seeds and prickles stuck in his ears and feet. A simple weed or overnight spray would eradicate these specific weed species for years to come. As they go un maintained yearly, the infestation is spreading.
Overall I'm very happy with the facilities at the Hales, I'm just not sure if this region is being upkeep by Satterly or the Kalamunda Council.
Thank you
- 189 Do not want a dog area on Gillings Parade Wattle Grove. Brought our house due to the lovely nature open space out the front and do not want a dog area established on Gillings Parade.
- 190
- 191 Sporting grounds i.e. if owners would pick up after dogs
- 192
- 193 Rangers should get out of their utes & check park for damage to equipment, & pot holes & possibly chat to dog owners to break the 'ice.
- 194 No 'entire' dog should be allowed off-leash, only desexed dogs.
More security between children play around and off-leash areas > priority child safety
- 195 stringer enforcement for picking up poo. gives the rest of us a bad name. Ranger presence is ok, given the very active community chat groups in facebook if dogs are lost or are seen out and about.
- 196
- 197 Please put more drinkable water sources/dog bowls nearby and/or in our dog exercise facilities please!
- 199 Would love a fenced in dog playground like in High Wycombe behind the Coles.

9. Any other comments?

Comments | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

200 Kalamunda has very stupidly designated an off-leash dog exercise area around play equipment for small children at the Boulevard, Gooseberry Hill. My two grandchildren were recently attacked at the play area by an off leash dog. The owner refused to call the dog off saying that she didn't have to. The children no longer want to go there. So we are unable to use the play facility. As a ratepayer for over 30 years I feel extremely annoyed about this. I am incredulous at the irresponsible behavior of the dog owner. And I am astounded at Kalamunda for putting my grandchildren in harms way through not anticipating the obvious incompatible use in its decision to surround an unfenced playground by an off leash dog exercise area. Do your insurers have a view on this?

201

202

203 It would be great to be able to take your dog to Stirk Park on the lead

Community Submissions

Submission 1 | Note: Text has been included without edits as provided by respondents.

From: <content removed>

Date: <content removed>

Subject: Dog Exercise Management Plan

In addition to the attached survey & my reply as far as the survey allows might I suggest that at all times where there are combined areas where children and leashed or off-leash dog areas, then there must - as a matter of course - be:

1. Signage to parents/carers advising them that THEY have a responsibility to ensure the safety & well-being of their charges at all times.
2. Clear and unequivocal signage for dog handlers that it is THEY who have the ultimate responsibility & charge over the dogs they are exercising.
3. As far as practicable & possible the areas where children may safely play be securely separated from any area where dogs may be permitted or present.
4. If safe areas for children are not practicable then either dogs are banned or the dog exercise area itself be secured, allowing children to play safely.
5. All CoK public rubbish bins should have 'dog refuse bags' attached.
6. If not already in place, then all ranger vehicles should have microchip readers on board & the rangers trained & empowered to use them - without cause - on any & all occasions as a matter of course.
7. Rangers can stop any dog handler [may or may not be the owner/s] at any time & place to verify registration is current & the dog is microchipped; especially where there may be children's play areas.

Thank you.

Regards

Nigel Buxton - registered dog owner.

