	Questions from DPLH	Lot 55 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 28 Carmel Road, Carmel	Lot 52 Nairn Road, Bickley	3 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	256 Pickering Brook Road, Pickering Brook	Lot 56 Pomeroy Road, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 694 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 1 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley
1.	Is the City supportive of the transfer of this land to the Noongar People under the Settlement?	The City notes the subject site should be vested for conservation. The City also advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.	The City notes the subject site should be vested for conservation. The City also advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.	The City advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.	The City notes the subject site should be vested for conservation. The City also advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.	The City notes the subject site should be vested for conservation. The City also advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.	The City advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.	The City notes the subject site should be vested for conservation. The City also advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.	The City notes the subject site should be vested for conservation. The City also advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.	The City notes the subject site should be vested for conservation. The City also advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.	The City notes the subject site should be vested for conservation. The City also advises DPLH of constraints and community values associated with the site.
2.	Does the City have any interest in the land?	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.	We are not aware of any City interest in the land.
3.	Does the City have existing or planned infrastructure within the land parcel that requires protection? If yes, please provide details and advise if access to this infrastructure will need to be maintained.	No City assets.	No City assets.	No City assets.	No City assets.	No City assets.	No City assets.	No City assets.	No City assets.	No City assets.	No City assets.
4.	Is the land parcel subject to any mandatory connection to services?	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.
5.	Are any future proposals for the land identified? Please provide detail of what is proposed and in what timeframe?	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.

	Questions from DPLH	Lot 55 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 28 Carmel Road, Carmel	Lot 52 Nairn Road, Bickley	3 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	256 Pickering Brook Road, Pickering Brook	Lot 56 Pomeroy Road, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 694 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 1 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley
6.	Are there any future proposals for adjoining land that may affect the land identified in the spreadsheet? If so, in what timeframe?	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.
7.	Please advise of any proposed planning scheme amendments that may affect the zoning of this land at a State or Local government level. If a scheme amendment is to occur, what is the change proposed and when will it come into effect?	No amendments.	No amendments.	No amendments.	No amendments.	No amendments.	No amendments.	No amendments.	No amendments.	No amendments.	No amendments.
8.	Please advise of any known land management issues such as site contamination, hazards, debris or rubbish dumping, unauthorised land use and environmental considerations (such as inundation or similar site constraints).	Strategically important remnant bushland. Mapped within Regional Ecological Linkage (WALGA 2008) and Local Corridor (LBS 2020). Recommend the parcel be vested for conservation purposes. This will protect and enhance the ecological	Strategically important remnant bushland. Mapped within Regional Ecological Linkage (WALGA 2008). Recommend the parcel be vested for conservation purposes. This will protect and enhance the ecological viability of the Railway Heritage Trail	Not listed on City GIS records as Contaminated Site. Please refer to DWER for details on sites not available to the City. The subject site is considered to contain values that contribute towards the amenity of adjoining and nearby residents.	Strategically important remnant bushland. Mapped within Regional Ecological Linkage (WALGA 2008) and Local Corridor (LBS 2020). Recommend the parcel be vested for conservation purposes. This will protect and enhance the ecological	Strategically important remnant bushland. Mapped within Regional Ecological Linkage (WALGA 2008). Recommend the parcel be vested for conservation purposes. This will protect and enhance the ecological viability of the Railway Heritage Trail	Not listed on City GIS records as Contaminated Site. Please refer to DWER for details on sites not available to the City. The subject site is considered to contain values that contribute towards the amenity of adjoining and nearby residents.	Strategically important remnant bushland. Mapped within Regional Ecological Linkage (WALGA 2008) and Local Corridor (LBS 2020). Recommend the parcel be vested for conservation purposes. This will protect and enhance the ecological	Strategically important remnant bushland. Mapped within Regional Ecological Linkage (WALGA 2008) and Local Corridor (LBS 2020). Recommend the parcel be vested for conservation purposes. This will protect and enhance the ecological	Strategically important remnant bushland. Mapped within Regional Ecological Linkage (WALGA 2008) and Local Corridor (LBS 2020). Recommend the parcel be vested for conservation purposes. This will protect and enhance the ecological	Strategically important remnant bushland. Mapped within Regional Ecological Linkage (WALGA 2008) and Local Corridor (LBS 2020). Recommend the parcel be vested for conservation purposes. This will protect and enhance the ecological

Questions from DPLH	Lot 55 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 28 Carmel Road, Carmel	Lot 52 Nairn Road, Bickley	3 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	256 Pickering Brook Road, Pickering Brook	Lot 56 Pomeroy Road, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 694 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 1 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley
	viability of the Railway Heritage Trail Ecological corridor. Not listed on City GIS records as Contaminated Site. Please refer to DWER for details on sites not available to the City. The subject site is considered to	Ecological corridor. Not listed on City GIS records as Contaminated Site. Please refer to DWER for details on sites not available to the City. The subject site is considered to contain values that contribute	The vegetation on the subject site appears to be native and is significantly established and may be perceived by the community to constitute a form of pseudo-public open space. The City has identified environmental values on the subject site.	viability of the Railway Heritage Trail Ecological corridor. Not listed on City GIS records as Contaminated Site. Please refer to DWER for details on sites not available to the City. The subject site is considered to	Ecological corridor. Not listed on City GIS records as Contaminated Site. Please refer to DWER for details on sites not available to the City. The subject site is considered to contain values that contribute	Brook The vegetation on the subject site appears to be native and is significantly established and may be perceived by the community to constitute a form of pseudo-public open space. The City has identified environmental values on the subject site.	viability of the Railway Heritage Trail Ecological corridor. Not listed on City GIS records as Contaminated Site. Please refer to DWER for details on sites not available to the City. The subject site is considered to	viability of the Railway Heritage Trail Ecological corridor. Not listed on City GIS records as Contaminated Site. Please refer to DWER for details on sites not available to the City. The subject site is considered to	viability of the Railway Heritage Trail Ecological corridor. Not listed on City GIS records as Contaminated Site. Please refer to DWER for details on sites not available to the City. The subject site is considered to	-
	considered to contain values that contribute towards the amenity of adjoining and nearby residents.	towards the amenity of adjoining and nearby residents. The vegetation on the subject	The clearing of the subject site for development purposes would not be consistent with	considered to contain values that contribute towards the amenity of adjoining and nearby residents.	towards the amenity of adjoining and nearby residents. The vegetation on the subject	The clearing of the subject site for development purposes would not be consistent with the objectives	considered to contain values that contribute towards the amenity of adjoining and nearby residents.	considered to contain values that contribute towards the amenity of adjoining and nearby residents.	considered to contain values that contribute towards the amenity of adjoining and nearby residents.	The subject site is considered to contain values that contribute towards the
	The vegetation on the subject site appears to be native and is significantly established and may be perceived by the community to constitute a form of	site appears to be native and is significantly established and may be perceived by the community to constitute a form of pseudo-public open space.	the objectives of the City's draft Urban Forest Strategy. Any proposed use or development would be subject to community	The vegetation on the subject site appears to be native and is significantly established and may be perceived by the community to constitute a form of	site appears to be native and is significantly established and may be perceived by the community to constitute a form of pseudo-public open space.	of the City's draft Urban Forest Strategy. Any proposed use or development would be subject to community consultation	The vegetation on the subject site appears to be native and is significantly established and may be perceived by the community to constitute a form of	The vegetation on the subject site appears to be native and is significantly established and may be perceived by the community to constitute a form of	The vegetation on the subject site appears to be native and is significantly established and may be perceived by the community to constitute a form of	amenity of adjoining and nearby residents. The vegetation on the subject site appears to be native and is significantly established

Questions from DPLH	Lot 55 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 28 Carmel Road, Carmel	Lot 52 Nairn Road, Bickley	3 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	256 Pickering Brook Road, Pickering Brook	Lot 56 Pomeroy Road, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 694 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 1 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley
	pseudo-public open space.	The City has identified environmental	and Council determination.	pseudo-public open space.	The City has identified environmental	and Council determination.	pseudo-public open space.	pseudo-public open space.	pseudo-public open space.	perceived by the community to constitute a
	The City has identified environmental values on the	values on the subject site.	The City has a preference to have the site	The City has identified environmental values on the	values on the subject site.	The City has a preference to have the site reserved to	The City has identified environmental values on the	The City has identified environmental values on the	The City has identified environmental values on the	form of pseudo-public open space.
	subject site.	the subject site for	reserved to Parks and Recreation	subject site.	the subject site	Parks and Recreation	subject site.	subject site.	subject site.	The City has identified
	The clearing of the subject site for development	development purposes would not be consistent with	under the Metropolitan Region	The clearing of the subject site for	development purposes would not be consistent with	under the Metropolitan Region Scheme.	The clearing of the subject site for	The clearing of the subject site for	The clearing of the subject site for development	environmental values on the subject site.
	purposes would not be	the objectives of the City's	Scheme.	development purposes would not be	the objectives of the City's draft Urban	Scheme.	development purposes would not be	development purposes would not be	purposes would not be	The clearing of the subject site
	consistent with the objectives of the City's	draft Urban Forest Strategy.		consistent with the objectives of the City's	Forest Strategy.		consistent with the objectives of the City's	consistent with the objectives of the City's	consistent with the objectives of the City's	for development purposes
	draft Urban Forest Strategy.	Any proposed use or		draft Urban Forest Strategy.	Any proposed use or		draft Urban Forest Strategy.	draft Urban Forest Strategy.	draft Urban Forest Strategy.	would not be consistent with the objectives
	Any proposed use or	development would be subject to		Any proposed use or	development would be subject to		Any proposed use or	Any proposed use or	Any proposed use or	of the City's draft Urban Forest
	development would be subject to	community consultation and Council		development would be subject to	community consultation and Council		development would be subject to	development would be subject to	development would be subject to	Strategy. Any proposed
	community consultation and Council	determination. The City has a		community consultation and Council	determination. The City has a		community consultation and Council	community consultation and Council	community consultation and Council	use or development would be
	determination.	preference to have the site		determination.	preference to have the site		determination.	determination.	determination.	subject to community
	The City has a preference to have the site	reserved to Parks and Recreation		The City has a preference to have the site	reserved to Parks and Recreation		The City has a preference to have the site	The City has a preference to have the site	The City has a preference to have the site	consultation and Council determination
	reserved to Parks and Recreation	under the Metropolitan		reserved to Parks and Recreation	under the Metropolitan		reserved to Parks and Recreation	reserved to Parks and Recreation	reserved to Parks and Recreation	The City has a preference to
	under the Metropolitan	Region Scheme.		under the Metropolitan	Region Scheme.		under the Metropolitan	under the Metropolitan	under the Metropolitan	have the site reserved to Parks and

	Questions from DPLH	Lot 55 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 28 Carmel Road, Carmel	Lot 52 Nairn Road, Bickley	3 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	256 Pickering Brook Road, Pickering Brook	Lot 56 Pomeroy Road, Bickley	25 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 694 Television Road, Bickley	Lot 1 Lawnbrook Road East, Bickley
		Region Scheme.			Region Scheme.			Region Scheme.	Region Scheme.	Region Scheme.	Recreation under the Metropolitan Region Scheme.
9.	Please provide any additional comments on the proposed transfer of this land as part of the Settlement.	No direct frontage to any public roads. The City welcomes further discussion and collaboration with land owners and managers.	By letter to the City dated 24/05/2021, Parks of the Darling Range Community Advisory Committee advised it would like to see the City managing this Reserve for conservation. The City welcomes further discussion and collaboration with land owners and managers.	The City welcomes further discussion and collaboration with land owners and managers.	Noted that the site is adjacent to the City's well utilised Trails Loop. The City requires access to the trails to be maintained if any part of it is located on the site. The City welcomes further discussion and collaboration with land owners and managers.	The City welcomes further discussion and collaboration with land owners and managers.	By letter to the City dated 24/05/2021, Parks of the Darling Range Community Advisory Committee advised it would like to see the City managing this Reserve for conservation. There is an apparent Western Power transmission line & access path on the land. An easement may be required. The City welcomes further discussion and collaboration with land owners and managers.	Noted that the site is adjacent to the City's well utilised Trails Loop. The City requires access to the trails to be maintained if any part of it is located on the site. The City welcomes further discussion and collaboration with land owners and managers.			