Submitter No.	Nature of Submission	City of Kalamunda Response
1. Department of Planning, Lands	We've undertaken a broad review of the LHS and provide the following feedback for your consideration:	It is noted the Local Housing Strategy (LHS) is a backgrou which feeds into the Local Planning Strategy. The Local F Strategy is the key statutory planning document which in
& Heritage	• The Department's comments are provided at officer level only and do not fetter the WAPC's consideration of the City's Local Planning Strategy at a future date;	Local Planning Scheme.
	• The WAPC does not have a role in considering or endorsing 'sub-strategies' such a local	General support for the strategies and actions with indic timeframes is noted.
	housing strategy. Sub-strategies are not recommended for inclusion in a local planning strategy as an appendix, rather, the recommendations should be extracted for consideration as actions, and relevant information included as background information in	Support for streamlining the planning system through n of old structure plans is noted.
	support of any actions.The proposed strategies and actions are generally sound, and the inclusion of	The recommendation that discussion papers and studie completed prior to preparation of the Local Planning Str noted. This position is supported to the extent it can be
	• The proposed strategies and actions are generally sound, and the inclusion of implementation timeframes is supported. Where possible, actions and a spatial represented on a local planning strategy map are encouraged.	the available timeframe.
	 Normalisation and revocation of superfluous planning instruments is appropriate and supports a contemporary and streamlined local planning framework; 	Dwelling targets have been addressed. The principles of consolidation are considered to have been met through Density rezoning process within 800m walking distance centres and urban development close to Forrestfield No
	• The preparation of any studies or discussion papers are encouraged to be undertaken as early as possible in the planning process as this may have implications for actions or recommendations of the Local Planning Strategy;	Wycombe Train Station. As the City does not have acces level of public transport options as other inner metropo governments this approach is considered appropriate to dependence on private vehicles. This can be re-evaluate development in these areas have been substantially cor
	• The local housing strategy recognises the dwelling targets as identified under Perth and	Policy section modified to better reflect local area conte
	Peel @ 3.5 million, however, the local housing strategy's response to the principles of urban consolidation does not appear to be directly addressed. The City is encouraged to look at this further, and ensure these aspects are contemplated in conjunction with one another;	Noted that Wattle Grove South boundary is not identical boundary identified in the North-East Sub-regional Plane Framework. This is because Wattle Grove has already ur
	• Relevant state and regional planning policy is referenced, including a summary of the policy, however, these sections would benefit from further discussion regarding the direct implications for the local government area;	significant technical studies and community consultation amended boundary reflects and responds to the local ch of the area. The WAPC will have ultimate determination Planning Strategy and any Local Planning Scheme Amen area.
	• The investigation areas identified as WAPC Planning Investigation Area; WAPC Urban Expansion Area and WAPC Urban Investigation Area, should be identical in size to that delineated in the South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework. In this regard it is noted that the Wattle Grove South investigation area is not identical to the WAPC Urban Expansion and Urban Investigation Area in the South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework (March 2018).	 It is noted DPLH objects to including Heidelberg Park an Road investigation areas. a) Heidelberg Park – was based on an agreement w State Government is now the primary project ma site therefore the City still supports inclusion of t investigation area.

round document al Planning h informs the

dicative

n normalisation

dies be Strategy is be achieved in

of urban gh the Dual ce to activity North/High cess to the same politan local e to avoid further ated once completed.

text.

ical to the anning undergone tion, and the I characteristics on over the Local aendment in this

and Halleendale

with DPLH. The manager for this of this

	1. Roshana Pty Ltd	
	***	Comment that retention of vegetation on aged care site for health and amenity is noted. Support to retain vege prioritise aged care development on sites already cleare
	 Second, to draw attention to a number of constraints that may adversely impact on projections for aged-care and retirement facilities within the City, and Third, to make a strong plea for the protection of the City's natural environment in the face of future aged-care developments. 	Factors influencing aged care added. Health factors, wh the population profile are a matter better addressed in Strategy as opposed to LHS.
	• First, to strongly support the City's objectives of creating adaptable, diverse and innovative housing options across all age groups in viable and sustainable communities;	The aged care table was updated to reflect recent appro completed developments. Figures represent nursing ho accommodation and do not include independent living
	<i>Strategy</i> (LHS). There are three main reasons for submitting comments on this Strategy. These are largely directed at aged care developments.	The Hall and Prior development is noted in Table 7 as K indicating 160 beds.
2.	Aged CareThank you for the opportunity to comment on the City of Kalamunda's 2020 -2025 Draft Housing	Aged Care providers have been removed as they may be change.
	• The Foothills Investigation Area was included in the WAPC approved Local Planning Strategy (2013), however it was not included in either the May 2015 Draft North-East Sub-regional Planning Framework or the March 2018 Final North-East Sub-regional Planning Framework. The area was excluded from investigation for similar reasons to those outlined in the draft Local Housing Strategy, including the availability of utilities / servicing, protection of environmental attributes and bushfire risk. It would also represent a significant departure from the Foothills Structure Plan (1992) which generally sought to protect the rural landscape in the area.	
	 Halleendale Road investigation area, Walliston is zoned Rural and Urban in the MRS. The area is zoned Rural Agriculture, Special Rural and Residential under LPS3. Investigating the Rural Agriculture and Special Rural zoned areas for alternate urban land uses is contrary to the sub-regional planning framework which retains the land as Rural and Rural Residential. It also raises the question why similarly located rural/rural residential land is not also being investigated. 	zones. The future of this area will need to be furt with the DPLH/WAPC through development of th Planning Strategy and in consultation with the co It is noted the DPLH seeks to protect the rural landscape Foothills area. The City, generally, supports this position
	• Heidelberg Park investigation area, Carmel, which is a Parks and Recreation Reserve under the MRS. Investigating this area for alternate land uses may be appropriate; and	development to avoid land conflicts. Similarly loc residential land is not subject to the same urban pressures as the Halleendale investigation area a patchwork of spot rezoning of R5 adjacent to re
	• There is no fundamental objection to the City's investigation areas that are within the urban footprint of the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) as the South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework already identifies the land as Urban or Urban deferred. The exceptions are:	 b) Halleendale Road – The City would not usually in areas unless identified in the NE Framework or or planning documentation, however the Halleenda requires an orderly and proper planning approace coordinate POS, residential development and run

investigate rural r other strategic adale area bach to rural located rural- an development a resulting from o rural agriculture urther confirmed the Local community.
on.
be subject to
Karingal Green
provals and home type ng units.
while relevant to in the Aged Care
ites is beneficial getation and ared is noted.

Page 51/2, Table 7. Note that the aged-care facilities of <i>Valencia</i> and <i>Sunshine Park</i> are
now under the ownership and management of Roshana Care (Roshana Care appears to
be a subsidiary of Burswood Care which, in turn, is part of the Roshana Pty Ltd group).
Sunshine Park referred to above, and in Table 7 (p 51), is no longer owned and managed
Baptistcare but by Roshana Care. It is a 36 bed aged-care hostel with its main entrance at
10 Champion Rd (not sure if that is the postal address as well).
The other Sunshine Park facility at 10 Brady Road is a <i>retirement village</i> of 12 independent
living units (formerly owned by Baptistcare), now also owned and managed by Roshana
Care.
the proposed increase in aged-care beds (Table 7, pp 51-2), note a press conference held rch 2019 at the above Sunshine Park hostel with Federal Minister Ken Wyatt and Roshana a commitment was made to provide a new 120 bed facility there within 7 years: that figure ed the current 36 beds in the hostel, and 84 new beds. This announcement was made on ick of Roshana gaining Commonwealth approval for an aged care bed licence.
Karingal Green
Has the Hall and Prior aged-care facility of 120 beds in Maida Vale now been completed? (?due May 2020)? If so, should this be shown on your Table? i.e. moved from 'Current development applications/# beds proposed' (Table 7, p.52), to ' Existing beds' (Table 7, p. 51)?
Shortage of aged care beds
It is estimated in the Strategy that on existing and potential developments, there could be a shortfall of 500-800 aged care beds in the City by 2036. These estimates should reflect present and future financial constraints facing aged-care facilities (see #4, below), and acknowledge a range of other issues, behaviours and policies (#5, 6,7 below) that could revise these projections downwards substantially.
Financial crises in nursing homes
An item in the <i>West Australian</i> (April 15, 2020, p. 7) stated that currently more than half the aged-care providers around Australia had been trading at a loss prior to the Covid crisis. They were seeking a rescue package of \$1 billion from the Federal government to enable them to survive the health crisis which had added further costs to care. It was feared that nursing homes could be forced to close their doors unless there was a rescue package. Without support, where will future (let alone existing) beds be found? Developers are unlikely to see returns on their investments. The focus will probably shift from aged care institutions to more home care and other options, as is already happening.



5. The Royal Commission into Aged Care
Added to the foregoing are pressures surrounding the findings of the Federal government's 2019 <i>Royal Commission into Aged Care Quality and Safety (Interim Report).</i> A fundamental outcome of the Inquiry was that in spite of numerous previous inquiries and knowledge of systemic failure in the care of older Australians, there is still a serious shortfall around the country in aged-care quality and safety (including disability care). A major overhaul is urgently needed to address the problems of access to services, waiting times for home care packages, substandard care, and an insufficiently trained and underpaid workforce. It is apparent that much work needs to be done to raise the level of care within <i>existing</i> aged-care institutions. Such a requirement may well limit the approval of new facilities in the short to medium term.
6. SRetirement villages
The past couple of years have seen a good deal of adverse publicity around Australia about the terms and costs of entering retirement villages, particularly regarding confusion over contracts, maintenance, deferred management and refurbishment fees.
While various States now are closely scrutinising aspects of retirement village management, it is becoming evident that those wishing to down-size, are reviewing their options. Increasingly attractive are the choices of moving to small, independently owned and suitably designed properties.
In addition to the above is the increasing desire to 'age-in-place', bringing in help as needed through government home-care packages or private arrangements.
Some of the future options put forward in the Strategy, such as cooperative and multigenerational housing, could offer some attractive and affordable alternatives to an ageing population. Such trends may influence older peoples' decisions over whether to move into retirement and/or aged-care complexes which almost certainly will not be the cheapest options available.
Added to which, post Covid, many people are likely to have been negatively impacted by the economic effects of the epidemic; these are likely to last for a number of years and noticeably constrain lifestyle and care choices.
7. Health factors
Have the demographic projections presented in the LHS factored in lifestyle/health factors such as the increasing incidence of obesity and diabetes in the community – and how these may negatively influence cohort survival rates and longevity and thus future demands for aged care?
8. Potential sites suitable for aged care development



a. The 2010, 2014 LHS identified several large sites in the Kalamunda City area as potentially suited to aged-care accommodation complexes. The 2020 LHS (Table 1, <i>Housing Strategy Actions</i> , p11) notes that no further action is required on these sites.
Does this mean these sites are no longer under consideration, or could be revived at some future date ? (Wilkins Rd is presumably off the table). Listed earlier were: Brentwood Rd, Wattle Grove; Hillview golf course, Maida Vale; Pioneer Park, Forrestfield; East Terrace Reserve Kalamunda; Bougainvillea Ave, Forrestfield, and Advent Park, Kalamunda.
 Noted in the 2020 LHS are four current investigation areas for aged-care: Heidelberg Park; Cambridge Reserve, Dixon and Byron Roads (? now to be put forward to Council for review). These options are strongly supported.
Apart from the big advantage of these being government owned land (thus easing land transfers and costs), they are large sites that could readily accommodate integrated aged- care and living complexes, at the same time as retaining native vegetation on site. The first two sites would require very little, if any, removal of native vegetation (not sure about the other two, but these have an over-riding advantage of being close to the Kalamunda town centre, public transport and services, one of the key recommendations arising from the City's <i>Aged Care Advisory Committee</i> in 2016).
9. Development constraints
Section 18 of the LHS 2020 (pp 75-79) discusses various constraints on development, covering water, fire, topography and 'character'. It would be desirable to see native vegetation taken in as a separate heading under 'Development Constraints' as this can influence planning decisions. The various <i>Local</i> <i>Planning Scheme</i> sub-strategies for the City clearly set out the desirability and need to preserve this natural asset.
10. Preservation of native vegetation
As noted above, Section 18 (Development Constraints) of the LHS states the importance of retaining the special character of the Hills region. People live here to enjoy the natural environment, its larger lot sizes and tree cover. Kalamunda prides itself on being known as the 'Home in the Forest', as well as being located within one of the world's biodiversity hotspots (<i>Local Biodiversity Strategy Kalamunda, 2008</i>). It is worth noting that in the <i>2018 -2028 Local Environment Strategy (Kalamunda Clean Green)</i> for Kalamunda City, 97 percent of respondents to a survey said that bushland, trees and natural vegetation were important to them. The Strategy saw these as vital to maintaining a healthy community. The Senior Curator of Kings Park, Grady Brand (having worked in the Park for over 40 years), has said that there is a scientific acknowledgement that the more bio-diverse a landscape people immerse themselves in, the greater the benefit to them as a human (<i>The West Australian</i> , Travel Section, April 18, p7).



Local Housing Strategy

	There is a concern by many in the community that growing population and land use pressures will see an on-going reduction in areas of natural vegetation around the City, that their importance to the nature and character of our local environment, and as habitat, will be subsumed to development priorities. <i>A strong plea is made to consider the importance of retaining and protecting natural vegetation as much as possible in any future aged-care and retirement housing developments in the City; and that land already cleared be given priority over naturally vegetated sites.</i>	
3.	Foothills Investigation Area Please find attached our submission as a response to the current Draft Local Housing Strategy 2020-2025. Our submission is self-explanatory and highlights the flaws in the position the City of Kalamunda have adopted regarding the Foothills Investigation Area. Our submission is supported by the landowners in our area as listed in the document (and cc'd here). As explained in the submission, we have successfully defended our position twice previously with the City of Kalamunda and we are frustrated to have this position reversed again without adequate justification or explanation. So we ask that if the City again chooses to ignore us, then we should be provided a public meeting prior to the final draft being released so the matter can be discussed. Image: Comparison of the form of the final draft being released so the matter can be discussed. Image: Comparison of the final draft being released so the matter can be discussed.	 The 'Foothills Investigation Area' was included in the Lo Strategy prepared in 2010 and adopted by the WAPC in As a result of submissions, the City has considered the advocate for this area to be included and re-assessed t this proposal as part of LHS submissions. However, on justification for rezoning to facilitate low density rural-r subdivision of the rural area is not consistent with the f principles of Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million to: a) Develop a consolidated urban form that limits the id new greenfield areas; b) Avoid areas that are at high risk of bushfire, and; c) Limited support for new rural residential developm emphasis on areas currently zoned for that purpose. Strategic planning for infill areas aims to facilitate comp density development within walking distance of activity high frequency public transport routes. From the City's perspective, it is considered that low de residential style subdivision in this area is inappropriate following reasons: a) The WAPC did not include the area in the NE Frame subsequent to the City's submission on the NE Frame include the area and its inclusion in the Local Plann 2013. b) The area has limited access to servicing infrastructure.
	To: City of Kalamunda	 c) Bushfire constraints and considerations. d) Management of landscape impacts which refers to rooftops encroach into the view of the hills as seen Coastal Plain. e) Topographical constraints and considerations. f) Housing targets for the City are currently met with t already identified for future development investigated for future development investi



Local Housing Strategy

Re: Draft Local Housing Strategy 2020-2025 Overall urban or rural-residential development of the foothills area is We refer to the City of Kalamunda Draft Housing Strategy 2020-2025. not considered to be consistent with the principles of Perth and Peel Our reference is specifically to Page 49: Item 10:3 Foothills Investigation Area which we define @ 3.5 million and is not consistent with the NE Framework. here as "our area". This submission is on the part of all landowners listed ta the end of this Whilst the City understands that expectations may have arisen with document, collectively referred to as "we". the Local Planning Strategy 2013, it is important to note changing priorities in the last decade including considerations related to Our area has been addressed in the Draft Housing Strategy as an "are no longer under bushfire and those outlined above. investigation". The officer recommendation is to discontinue investigations into the Foothills Area where these areas are not identified by the NE We request that the City reconsiders this position and continues to recognise our area for Framework. development with subdivision potential in the near term. We believe that no longer recognising our area for investigation is contrary to the position previously held by the City of Kalamunda in recent history (refer Annex A) and the desires of the landowners. A summary of our reasons are provided below: 1. This is the desire of many landowners in **our area**. 2. Our area was already earmarked for subdivision potential under multiple shire plans including the Shire's Local Planning Strategy 2010 (which was endorsed by WAPC in February 2013) and again in the North-East sub-regional planning framework 2015 (Perth and Peel@3.5million). 3. Re-zoning of this area to the Residential Planning Code of R5 allows lots of 2,000 square metres in size, which is relevant and consistent to the surrounding areas to the North and East. The R5 zoning eliminates the novel "landscape scaring" concern raised in the draft strategy and would retain the bush feel of the area. It would also not require major infrastructure changes such as sewerage no any major changes to bushfire planning or related topographical constraints. 4. The areas to the immediate North and East of our area are already zoned R5 or greater and the areas to the West are earmarked for "high density" lots. Including **our area** to recognise subdivision potential ensures a consistent approach is applied to all landowners. By not allowing **our area** the opportunity to subdivide in the future (whilst area surrounding us are provided property value growth and downsizing opportunities) it seems that **we** will be watching the City grow around us with none of the benefits and all the downsides. We are frustrated that we have fought, successfully, on two prior occasions to be included in the City's plan as a Foothills Investigation Area (with subdivision potential) only to learn, after a decade, that **we** are again facing the same battle. We find it particularly odd that the City of Kalamunda appears to use the WAPC decision – ("this area was not supported by the WAPC in the release of the NE Framework 2018 and was not identified for urban expansion or investigation purposes") - as an argument against inclusion of our area as a Foothills Investigation Area. This position contradicts the City's previous held position during the WAPC framework discussions when it agreed with a substantial number of landowners to include our area as a Foothills Investigation Area. The fact that the WAPC chose

Local Housing Strategy

to ignore City of Kalamunda's informed position – without explanation – seems hardly an argument to remove our area from the plan with subdivision potential.	
We request that the City of Kalamunda revises its position concerning our area in the Draft Local Housing Strategy 2020-2025, including it as a Foothills Investigation Area ; which is consistent with the prior argued positions and landowners wishes.	
This submission is supported by the landowners in our area below: [Table redacted for privacy - 36 contact details provided]	
Annex A : Summary of recent town planning history regarding assessment of our area shows precedent for subdivision potential of the area and the inconsistency of the current City of Kalamunda Draft Local Housing Strategy 2020-2025 with these positions.	
 2010 In the Kalamunda Shire's Draft Local Housing Strategy of 2010 our area was neglected from any consideration of a future zoning change whereas all surrounding areas were addressed. We approached Kalamunda Shire to include our area as a Foothills Investigation Area. Kalamunda Shire obliged and amended the draft 	
 2011 The Kalamunda Shire's Local Planning Strategy was released in 2011 and our area was gazetted as a Foothills investigation Area allowing for future subdivision potential. 	
 2013 The Kalamunda Shire's Local Planning Strategy was endorsed by the WAPC in February 2013 recognising the Foothills Investigation Area. 	
 2015 In the North-East sub-regional planning framework (Perth and <u>Peel@3.5million</u>) authored by the WAPC, our area was, once again, neglecting in the draft and without any reference to a Foothills Investigation Area. We again approached City of Kalamunda (formerly Shire) to appeal City of Kalamunda supported our request and included our area in the Foothills Investigation Area boundary – as previously gazetted and endorsed by the WAPC. 	
 2018 WAPC deleted the Foothills Investigation Area completely from the final Draft North-East sub-regional planning framework without explanation. City of Kalamunda did not appeal the WAPC decision despite it being contrary to their 2015 framework position. It did, however, state the investigation area shall "remain as part of any future Strategic Planning undertaken by the city" 	
2020	



Local Housing Strategy

	City of Kalamunda Draft Local Housing Strategy 2020-2025 concludes that the Foothills Investigation Area is no longer under investigation.	
	Primary reason given: a) "this area was not supported by the WAPC in the release of the NE Framework 2018 and was not identified for urban expansion or investigation purposes."	
4.	Foothills Investigation Area	See submission 2.
	 Following our Submission to Council for consideration, we are once again making this request which we have done for many years. As old residents, since 1983, we once again plead for your support in our cause for subdivision of our area. We feel strongly that we have three energetic/ enthusiastic councillors in North Ward who will make the difference. Looking forward to your support. 	
5.	Foothills Investigation Area	See submission 2.
	I write to express my disappointment and objection to the Draft Local Housing Strategy.	
	The City sought comments from the landowners and residents on how the City's future housing needs of its residents can be met in Kalamunda. And yet deliberately eliminate the Foothills Area from being considered for future urban and housing development. It is not appropriate for the City to remove the Foothills Area from its Local Housing Strategy just because the WAPC thinks so.	
	I would appreciate the support from you to represent us in fighting hard for the Foothills Area to be considered as a possible future housing area capable of creative subdivision and vibrancy local community. Simply for the WAPC to say the area is 'no longer under investigation' is NOT acceptable to us.	
	As a landowner, resident, and ratepayer in the City for many years, I appeal to you and seek your support to include the Foothills Area as an area worthy of consideration and be included in the Local Housing Strategy for possible future housing area capable of meeting the housing needs of the City of Kalamunda.	
	Please do not 'kowtow' to the wishes of the WAPC.	
6.	Foothills Investigation Area	See submission 2.
	I write to express my disappointment and objection to the Draft Local Housing Strategy.	



	 It is frustrating as a land owner and long standing resident, that despite the City seeking comments from local landowners on how the city's future housing needs should be met, and the support for future urban and housing development in the foothills area by the landowners, the city has removed the area from the Local Housing Strategy. I believe that the residents and landowners should have a right to determine what happens to the area they live I not the WAPC. In this case, the WAPC has influenced the city to remove the Foothills Area from its Local Housing Strategy, against the wishes of residents and landowners. I would appreciate the support from you to represent us in fighting hard for the Foothills Area to be considered as a possible future housing area capable of creative subdivision and a vibrant local community. Simply for the WAPC to say the area is 'no longer under investigation' is NOT acceptable to us. As a landowner, resident, and ratepayer in the City for many years, I appeal to you and seek your support to include the Foothills Area as an area worthy of consideration in the Local Housing Strategy for possible future housing area capable of meeting the housing needs of the City of Kalamunda. Please do not let the WAPC overrule the wishes of your residents. 	
7.	Foothills Investigation Area	See submission 2.
	Refer to Appendix i. Foothills correspondence submission attached.	
8.	The Glades	Support for the Glades investigation area is noted.
	Please find attached my completed Feedback Form. I would also like to provide some further commentary on the proposed Glades Investigation Area	The existing sewer system is gravity-fed limiting the abi of Canning road to connect with the sewerage network
	as part of Question 6 on the Feedback Form. Firstly I support the notion of investigating greater housing diversity and density around the Activity Centre that is the Glades – my main issue is that the catchment / investigation area	The City does not anticipate that the Glades would beco additional activity centre as it would likely compete with Kalamunda town centre.
	proposed is one sided and does not contemplate those properties on the western side of Canning Road – I understand that the main reason for this is the capacity of the existing and proposed raticulated gravity for sover system. Lam of the view that for the Glades and	Further consultation with the surrounding community required to determine future outcomes for the Glades
	proposed reticulated, gravity fed sewer system – I am of the view that for the Glades and surrounds to truly maximise its potential and serviceability, a further review and expansion of the sewerage system is required to accommodate the residential catchment on the western side of Canning Road. This would, in time, create an Activity Corridor along Canning Road linking the Kalamunda Town Centre and the Glades, supporting the use of public transport, housing diversity and the sustainable growth and economic viability of the Glades and other non - residential activities in what would be a 'balanced' catchment. I would welcome the opportunity to be involved in further engagement undertaken by the City on the Glades investigation area to explore this and other opportunities more fully - thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft Strategy.	NOTE: 'Investigation Area' does not guarantee an urban increased density, and could result in no change at all. 'Investigation Area' is simply flagging an area for further consultation and preparation of technical studies to def may be suitable for change. Each Investigation Area will determined based on individual merit, and in some cas appropriate action may be no change at all.
L		1

ability of lots west ork. ecome an vith the existing y would be es area. an outcome or ll. The term her community determine if it will be ases the most

I have read the document and have a few comments about land areas and investigation areas.
 I have read the document and have a few comments about land areas and investigation areas. 1. Kalamunda Glades is mentioned as a medium term project. I think this needs to be amended to short term. Please see my submissions from 2005 and 2011. My comments have not really changed and I believe strongly that these lots surrounding the Glades can and should be increased in density. The land is close to an activity centre, on a main transport route, has ability to connect to sever, and housing stock is definitely [sic] pre 1980s. 6 Lewis Rd was built around 1964, and 131 Canning Rd was built around 1970. With the empty two lots (127 and 129 Canning Rd) plus the two lots at 6 Lewis Rd and 131 Canning Rd would give a land area of approximately 5770m2. Certainly a larger style community housing project may be a possible use for this land. The sewer cost is a hurdle bui if the density was there and enough landowners were willing to develop or sell to a developer then there could certainly be a good housing project in this precinct. I have been trying to get council to consider this over the last 15 years and for it to be put in the medium term projects will be more frustrating. 2. Heidleberg [sic] Park is listed as a short term project. This project has come out of the blue and shot to number 1 priority, when looking to fulfi a need for the community [sic], however the location has a number of hurdles to overcome, mainly not having good transport links, no sewer, water supply issues, and no activity centre, and is in a bushfire prone area. The good things about it is that it is somed by the City and can be managed appropriately. Once the land is sold however the already busy POS areas. I think however that the reaconing mechanism [sic] is flawed and too narrow focussed. The land across Canning Rd has odd zoning in that it is zoned Rural Landscape Interest, yet most of the lobs bordered bakeen Canning Rd, Pomeroy Rd, and Annetts Rd do not meet the minimum lot size requirements or uses for this
does not.3. I have previously provided submissions on this area for rezoning to 1 Ha minimum
(similar to land in Sing Gardens Precinct) and I now believe that R5 may be a better option as the land soils are better, get better fire management by introducing road network to remove the Annetts Rd culdesac and intrduce [sic] scheme water by improving the Water Corporation tanks in the area or providing a booster pump. This would make the land safer for residential development. This could be done at the same time the Heidleberg Park rezoning process was taking place (if the land was not considered suitable for the aged care facility or could be done as part of the process). Many of the owners of this



Local Housing Strategy

	 land area would more than likley [sic] consider the opportunity to develop the land to a greater density. 4. I am interested in the Halleendale Rd precint [sic] and have done work on that land dating back to 1993 and have recently completed the Conti Gardens subdivision. I would appreciate the time to come and speak to you about these brief points made that could make a difference to the community and improve the City of Kalamunda's offering of housing options at the top of the hill and not just in the foothills. Many people want to stay on the hill without having to move out and these options work well towards achieving that goal. 	
	Refer to Appendix ii. The Glades submission attached.	
9.	Gooseberry Hill - Graham Road I do appreciate the necessity of keeping respective to those in the WAPC. But I am no fan of Saffiotti due to her rejection of our scheme amendment within 3 weeks of her appointment as Minister which also saw her reject the Wilkins Road project at the same time which was far more important than ours. This caused me to label her as shoot from the hip Saffiotti as she could not have taken any careful aim on both of these projects within that short time and particularly ours which had been under consideration by the WAPC for several years which also saw them asking us to consider the inclusion of those blocks on Ocean View Parade . More importantly I forgot to discuss the denial of increased population in areas listed as fire prone. What has to be recognised is that all the blocks of land included in Scheme amendment 14 included residential homes but had a significant availability of land area to allow the creation and erection of seperate residential accomodation buildings generally referred to as Granny Flats which would see an increase In residential population on each block of at least six 2 persons leading to a possible increase of 16 persons for the amendment, and this could have been approved by Council without the requirement and need of WAPC approval. We ourselves were able to successfully have approved a 100square meter bungalow on our block and in which we had haped to accomod	 The City will not be considering Graham Road for rezoni following reasons: a) The application was previously refused by the State of and the position is unlikely to have changed; b) The land is in a bushfire prone area and located near to the Zig Zag limiting safe evacuation points; c) The land is not identified in the NE Framework and vin negligible impact on dwelling targets; d) 85% of residential growth is expected to occur on the plain as opposed to the hills areas; e) The sites are not located within an 800m walking dis Kalamunda Activity Centre, and; f) Ancillary accommodation can be approved on lots graves of subdivision potential.



- e Government
- ear the entrance
- d will have
- the swan coastal
- distance of
- s greater than

have the necessary and required sources of existing road access, mains water supply, mains electricity supply, and in some cases natural gas supply. The DLHS stated that along with Gooseberry Hill , Lesmurdie and Kalamunda were regarded as ideal locations to see young families and elderlies find accomodation in existing or developed accomodation. But as these areas are also listed being in bushfire prone areas will this see the State unreasonably rejecting this increase in population in fire prone areas. I seriously believe that government should have a far more practical and fair resolution on this matter. I think I may have told you previously that we had attempted to sell our property which would have seen me abandoning sub dividing procedures but I am so glad about our failure in doing this because of the current Coronovirus restrictions which sees us confined to home and being such a nice place with terrific views makes it a delight to be here. I have spent a good deal of time reading all about the submissions in the DLHS that you sent me which must have taken months to prepare. But as recorded by me to you reading about the Graham road scheme amendment 14 rejection is of considerable despair to me. The reading is as follows: "The determination was not supported as per section 87 of the planning and development Act 2005 and the determination could not be reconsidered or rescinded. Due to the decision by the Minister it is therefore recommended that this area will no longer be investigated for development purposes due to the inherent bushfire risk and decision of the minister." This effectively kills our proposal before we can submit comment on the issued DLHS which I consider dictatorial grossly unfair and undemocratic. The theories issued by the minister are theory based and not factor assessed. To be prevented in creating the safety factors I have advised you of (extra route of escape, barrier defence created in development) is a tragic denial to both myself and my neighbours. I do seriously believe that the bushfire risk is overstated and over played by state planners, this opinion being based on the fact that ourselves and neighbours have lived in this spot for over 40 years and have never suffered serious danger from bushfires and the high BAI (fz)estimates assessed for our properties is probably because of the vegetation we all have and love. A further frustration caused by the minister was by her rejecting this scheme amendment denied us making an appeal, so as I stated before it is totally undemocratic and dictatorial. A further disappointment occurred when I read in the DL H S you sent that Gooseberry Hill along with KALAMUNDA and Lesmurdie predicted to have the greatest rise in residential housing for young families and the elderly but how will this happen with all these restrictions in place. I have previously been in touch with Andrew Fowler Tutt to ask him of his opinion as to whether resubmitting this amendment could be successful after I sent a letter that I had received from Gail McGowan which knocked our proposal on the head. He was doubtful we would have any success. This just leaves me asking myself whether a more practical approach would be to leave this proposal until the next state government vote in the hope another party be voted in which should see a new Planning Minister which I would hope would be more practical and fairer.



Proposed request for the Rescinding of Scheme Amendment 14 which includes rezoning from the present R 2.5 (1 acre lots) to RS (1/2acre lots). Also a revision required to the statement on page 51 of Draft Local Housing Strategy which states that It is recommended that this area will no longer be Investigated for development purposes due to the inherent bushfire risk and decision of the Minister. This may result in an unfair judgement without any true consideration and resolve being taken and which will deny the establishment and creation of essential safety factors which are of extreme importance due to the bushfire risk that is supposed to exist in this area and has been the reason for previously denying approvals to be granted which can only be stated as being biased and unfair. So, for this reason we will prepare and submit our proposal in the hope that common sense and reasoning will prevail.	
Dear Ms Hardey It is of sincere hope that you will have time to be able to study this submission after which could it be passed onto strategic planning who have been of assistance and advice to us. Should a revision not be executed to the text appearing on page 51 of the current Draft Local Housing Strategy titled as 10.2 Graham Road and Ocean View Parade, Gooseberry Hill, this may result in an unfair assessment which could cause the loss of the safety factors to be described in our submission The proposed request for the rescinding of Scheme Amendment 14, which includes Lots 1,2, 293 and 295-300, Graham Road (Green boarded on attached Land plan Map), is of extreme and essential importance to be considered as approval will result in allowing the creation of safety features which can only be accomplished after approval and when the proposed development has been completed and which will defend against any Bushfire Attacks occurring in this region and for which has previously been denied due to rejection by the Minister for Planning . The Safety factors that would result when development is approved are detailed as follow: Safety Factor 1: which to be achieved requires the approval of rezoning to be accepted . All blocks of land included in this scheme amendment have a zoning of R 2.5 residential zoning and have the important factor and benefit of having dual road access, Graham Road presently only have one route of escape which in the event of bushfire attack occurring could be of major concern and worry by all present residents . It is for this reason that has led to the preperation [sic] of this proposal being made. Had approval previously been granted to the land owners included in the Scheme Amendment 14 submission and which would have included rezoning from R2.5 to RS, this would have permitted the subdivision of each block to be granted and for which the requirement of an additional access driveway providing access and egress to each of the newly created blocks and for which this would have been ancessary a	



Safety factor 2 Sub Division of each land block listed in Scheme Amendment 14 will see the creation of half acre blocks which will allow the construction of full sized 4 bedroom homes which will be required to comply with the factors specified in the Australian Standard AS 3595(Construction of Buildings in bushfire prone areas) which details the structure and format of windows and access doorways together with specified material that required when constructing of the residence is commenced. This will result in the creation of a residence resistant to bushfire flame and ember attack A further compulsory factor being the requirement to establish a 20 meter APZ (asset protection zone)which is required to encircle the whole of the newly created residence and which when created will result in the major removal and in some cases total removal of existing fire fuel load that presently exists on these blocks and would be required before development is commenced. The newly constructed residences with these required specified requirements will see the creation of defence barriers which will also include those residences located on the eastern side of Graham Road (see as blue boardered [sic] on attached land Map) and which are not included in Scheme Amendment 14, the reason for this being detailed later, As a result of the creation of these safety features, serious consideration should be given to allow and grant approval to be given to the request for the residence Graham Road and lazelles Parade of only one route of escape existing for each property on the R2.5 section of Graham Road, could see residents trapped in their homes which could lead to serious injury and in some cases even death and for which the State Government and Minister could be held responsible and liable for such disasters due to their denial. Anticipated queries that may be raised by the Decision Makers; As each property owner owns the total block of land positioned and located between Graham Road and lascelles Parade, what has prevented these owners	
route of escape existing for each property on the R2.5 section of Graham Road, could see	
death and for which the State Government and Minister could be held responsible and liable for such disasters due to their denial. <u>Anticipated queries that may be raised by the</u>	
located between Graham Road and Lascelles Parade, what has prevented these owners from establishing the extra route of escape should bushfire attack occur. The reason for	
\$100,000.00 for most of the newly created blocks thus requiring the option of being able to sell the newly created block which would financially then allow for the creation of the	
complied with in the construction of the new created driveway which will require to be of sufficient size and structure for the allowing of large Vehicular access which needs to include fire trucks which also require the provision of passing bays positioned every 20	
meters and connected to the main driveway and also a circular turn around bay 20 meters in diameter to allow trucks to turn 180 degrees so that they can exit the property. As previously stated a condition of subdivision is the requirement that the newly created	
driveways are to be connected to the existing driveways which will result in the creation of 2 routes of escape for all blocks that have had subdivision approval and in the event of bushfire attack occurring should one escape route be blocked by fire, thus having an extra	
route of escape available can only be considered as essential. A further question that may be raised is the query as to why those properties on the	
Eastern side of Graham Road R2.5 zone (boardered blue on attached land map) have not been included in the total rezoning plan, the reason for this being that those blocks of land	



	on the Eastern side unlike those on the Western side do not have dual road access which also includes all those properties located on Ocean View Parade (boardered red on attached land map) and for which is considered an essential factor when providing safe access and egress to all blocks as and when required. Therefore if these blocks were allowed to be subdivided the newly created block would have to be configured in battle axe format which is not favoured by DFES who consider these designs to be of high risk in the event of bushfire attack occurring and when requiring their fire fighting teams to access these properties, and with the high risk probability of fire breaching the single access drive way existing on these battle axe properties could lead to their teams being trapped. A further negative factor being that it is probable the newly created blocks would have to be located on the steeper land slopes, as the present level land on these blocks have been used for the construction of all existing present residences and this could lead to excess effluent disposal from the new blocks flowing down the hillside and to enter the Helena river which is located at the base of this hill and for which is a severely prohibited factor by both state and local health departments. This factor is not of concern for the Western side located blocks due to satisfactory absorbing of effluent in convential [sic] septic systems with leach drains located on all properties on the west side of Graham Road with the exception of block 297 where the whole residence was constructed on a rock outcrop which proved costly but was successful and which included a swimming pool but resulted in the requirement of an ATU sewerage system which does not require leach drain provisions and whose operation has been most satisfactory.	
	A further and more critical requirement that has to be accepted by all owners on the Western side of Graham Road is to allow all those residents residing on the Eastern side to have access to their driveways in the event of and occurance [sic] of an emergency situation. This being considered a critical factor due to the fact that several of the residents on the eastern side of Graham Road were strongly opposed and critical of the proposed scheme amendment 14 for what they declared would result in the impact and destroying of vegetation, and this may well have been a principal reason leading to the rejection of Scheme Amendment 14.	
	This factor of not destroying and clearing presently existing vegetation should be seriously reconsidered by the residents on the eastern side of Graham Road as on one occasion this saw that the failure to reduce vegetation on their hillside led to the the [sic] rapid spread of fire up the Eastern land slope leading upto [sic] the hill's ridge on which Graham Road had been constructed, the fire being set by an irresponsible youth who set fire to his car which he had parked alongside the Helena River which borders the low side of this hill, his aim being to make an insurance claim. But once lit the fire soon spread to surrounding bush and then saw it transferred to existing vegetation on the hillside slope which led to the rapid spread of fire advancing up this hill which then resulted in the fire's breaching of Graham Road as well. This caused considerable concern to the owner, Lynn Pilbeam, of the block closest to where the fire breach had occurred and which resulted in this owner being desperate to carry out a quick escape for his safety and because the fire had breached Graham Road this prevented exiting by their single route of escape which would have been southward on Graham Road. Due to fire having breached Graham Road this resulted in his	



requirement to find an alternative route of escape to be sought and which resulted in taking the only available escape which was having to walk and march down their land with his wife and then onto Lascelles Parade, and by walking a short distance southward on this road allowed access to Lynn's sister's home whose driveway is connected to Lascelles Parade and this is where they remained until the fire had been fully extinguished which was accomplished in a relatively short time by our talented Kalamunda Fire Brigade. However ,this fire had caused damage to a residence on the Eastern side of Graham Road which saw the destruction of a garden shed and spot fire damage to several points on the exterior of the home residence.	
Another important factor to be considered was that it was fortunate that despite being fairly elderly both Pilbeam husband and wife were fit enough to carry out escape by marching down their block. But had approval been previously granted to Scheme Amendment 14 this would have permitted the Pilbeams to have subdivided their block and for which would have seen the creation of an extra route of escape down the newly created block in the form of a driveway and with the subdivision requirement for this driveway to be connected to their existing driveway which exits onto Graham Road . This would have allowed husband and wife to access their car which was parked in their garage and then to drive up their existing driveway to the point where the new driveway was connected and at which point they would have turned into thus allowing them to drive down the newly created driveway and then to exit onto Lascelles Parade. This method of escape being far quicker and safer than having to march the required route.	
For your general interest have attached a 2 page Strategic Planning Justification which was pepared [sic] by a professional Planner and summarises much of what has been stated by us but has included comments with respect to Lot 300 in which his advice of this Lot only being 3263 m2 and therefore will not be allowed to sub divide if and when approval has been granted, but the fact that this land is boarded by a land strip separating it from lot 299 which is owned by the City for the purpose of connecting Upper Lascelles Parade to Graham Road and which would generate an additional route of escape for all residents whose 10 homes are located on Upper Lascelles Parade, but should this not occur discussions have revealed this land being added to Lot 300 which would enable subdivision when approval is granted.	
Imposed Restrictions: A reason given for the denial of previous proposals is the government's decline in allowing an increase in density of persons and property in bushfire prone areas. A factor of importance to be considered is that all existing residences in Graham Road have the right of allowing the construction of Granny Flats on their land which would see an increase of at least 2 resident persons per granny flat who had to be directly related to the existing owners of the residence and to be considered is that the addition of these persons is legally achieved in a supposed bushfire prone area. As There is the requirement that these granny flats should be built close to the existing residence which would result in the sharing of existing services which would include driveways. Another factor of consideration is that the previous Planning Minister, John Day had decided to remove the requirement of family relationship being required which therefore allows all persons to	



be accommodated in these Granny Flats, and for this reason it would be highly likely that more than 2 persons per accommodation would legally be allowed, and as this would be located in a bushfire prone area this would result in the legal increase of residential population in a bushfire prone area. It is for these reasons, that serious consideration should be given for the reason of allowing approval of scheme amendment 14 to be granted which would see subdivision of each block of land on each property which would create ½ acre blocks and of which in size will allow for the construction of full sized family homes and for which will acheive [sic] an increase in resident population of both adults and children ,which otherwise would have required residing in Granny Flats which would be far more restrictive.	
As it has been stated in the recently issued City of Kalamunda - Draft Local Housing Strategy, Kalamunda, Gooseberry Hill and Lesmurdie are predicted to have the greatest rise in young families and the elderly and the creation of the 1/2 acre sub divided block as listed in Scheme Amendment 14 will have great appeal to young families due to the available area on each block which could see the inclusion of swimming pools and further exercise items such as trampolines, slides and swings. A further factor of appeal due to the land clearance created for the APZ which would allow the creation of grass lawns and being of significant size, this would have great appeal for youngsters interested in footy, cricket and other sports	
Another factor of consideration, is that here in the West we live in areas that have a fire index that is quite a bit less than that existing in the Eastern States which includes Queensland, New South Wales ,Victoria and the ACT. It is for this reason that saw the large and rapidly spreading bush fires that occurred in the East this year and for which resulted in enormous damage which sadly included the death of several residents residing in these areas .Here in the west several fires did occur during that same period but were nothing like as large and destructive or causing the loss of life which had occurred in the Eastern States and which saw the world wide reporting of these incidents.	
This factor can only lead to the opinion that choosing to live in bushfire prone areas here in the west has to be considered a much safer option than having to live in Eastern States bush fire prone areas.	
Eactors that should be made aware of and remembered: A significant number of years ago the state government ceded 15 one acre blocks located on the Northern end of Graham Road which abutted the present and existing northern placed land blocks on Graham Road on both East and West sides of Graham Road and can be viewed on the attached land plan map as those blocks boardered [sic] in orange. The resulting factor of this revesting of land saw the Shire of Kalamunda being denied an increase in their residential population of at least 30 persons. Therefore when and if approval is eventually granted to the Scheme Amendment 14 proposal would see a recovery of half the number of persons, there being 6 additional <i>Yi</i> acre blocks which would theoretically see an increase of at least 15 persons.	



An important factor to be remembered is when Scheme Amendment 14 was first submitted for approval it was held in consideration by the then Planning Minister John Day who delayed giving final approval as this scheme amendment was not included in a housing strategy which did not exist at that time. But the fact that this proposal was considered both practical and creative by both the minister and the WAPC which saw to it being held under consideration for several years and to wait for it's inclusion in a Housing Strategy when issued, which results in the belief that had the present Draft Local Housing Strategy been existing when Scheme Amendment 14 was first proposed and issued that there would have been a high probability of it being approved so those factors should be born in mind currently.	
<u>Factors of Concern and Envy</u> : All residents and owners of properties on the R2.5 section of Graham Road have been disturbed by seeing their neighbours in the southern half of Graham Road being able to sub divide their land, the reason for this being that they were fortunate in their land having R10 zoning which allows for properties of 1/4 acre to be created. And when travelling to the southern end of Graham Road at which point there is a pathway with steps that lead down to Lascelles Parade and when viewing the land on the other side of this road results in observing 5 recently subdivided properties of 1/2 acre each in area. These factors being of total envy to the land owners included in scheme amendment 14 as all these subdivided blocks of land are in such close proximity to their own properties resulting in a feeling of total and unjust fairness	
Factors to be known:	
The creation of AS3959 was as a result of the Black Saturday Fires that occurred in 2009 in Victoria and which caused substantial damage and deaths ,a result of which caused the Premier to request the Standards Association to prepare standards dictating CONSTRUCTION OF Buildings in Bushfireprone areas as well as describing how to prepare factors such as BALs. This also was undertaken nationwide by all our states. Shortly after the issue of this standard an amendment was added by requiring rewording and spelling of several paragraphs, also a revision to some of their equation formulas, also required was an additional paragraph to be added between the first and second paragraphs of this Standard which read:	
"There is limited evidence supporting the relationship for adjusting the forward rate of spread on steeper slopes. Therefore, where the effective slope is greater than 15degrees then 15degrees should be used for calculation purposes." This is a significant factor in assessing BALs for Graham Road's surrounding slopes.	
Final Assessment:	
Due to the factors described and the significant safety benefits that would be created from positive approval being granted, particularly when assessing and considering the creation of the described safety factors, that it is hoped that a rational and considered approach with strict and fair consideration to the proposals offered in Scheme	



Local Housing Strategy

[1
	Amendment 14 be assessed and considered which should prove of extreme benefit to the city by increasing population which will result and be of practical benefit to existing and future residents.	
	As the largest population growth is expected to be in the foothills area which will be of high density, the availability of 7 1/2acre lots in Gooseberry Hill could have great appeal in attracting new residents to the city of Kalamunda, particularly those who have children.	
	A further positive factor if and when approval is granted being that subdivision would be classified as Infill Development whose structure and effect is of both local and state governments preference as this keeps development within the the [sic] boundaries of the existing land blocks.	
10.	Halleendale Road 13 June 2018	Halleendale Road has been included as an investigation LHS. The DPLH has advised there is some objection to i area for investigation.
	Request for Residential Zone: Submission on Local Housing Strategy and Rural Strategy Review – Lots 22-25 Halleendale Road and Lot 9000 Dan Close, Walliston	Future land uses for this area will be pending the outco discussion with the DPLH.
	Further to our recent telephone conversation you are aware that the owners of the abovementioned lots seek the City's support to facilitate a future residential zone and subdivision of their land.	Subject to confirmation from the DPLH/WAPC any prop amendment in this area will likely be developer or land as opposed to the City. Technical studies required woul flora and fauna, hydrology, traffic impact assessment, p draft Structure Plan to assist with coordination of POS a
	The Local State Labour MP raised this matter with the City in a meeting held with the Chief Executive Officer and mayor on the 19 April 2018.	comprehensive community engagement.
	Based on your recent advice I understand there is opportunity for the zoning in this area to be re-assessed as part of a planned Housing Strategy and Rural Strategy review planned to commence this year.	The City's reasoning for including the area is that the Ha requires an orderly and proper planning approach to co residential development and rural development to avoi conflicts. Similarly located rural-residential land is not s same urban development pressures as the Halleendale
	Accordingly the owners of the above-mentioned lots have engaged TPI to prepare a formal submission to stimulate and facilitate a zoning review for their land as part of the Strategy process.	area resulting from a patchwork of historic spot rezon adjacent to rural agriculture zones. The future of this be further confirmed with the DPLH/WAPC through de the Local Planning Strategy and in consultation with th
	Having regard that the City has supported a residential zone over nearby Lot 51 (which has now been subdivided) and a portion of adjacent Lot 50, it is considered crucial that this land be examined as part of preparation for both Strategy Reviews.	
	I am of the strong view that a residential zone for this pocket of lots is a logical extension to the residential zone already established for Conti Gardens. Support for a residential zone by the City Planning Department is sought.	

ion area in the o including this come of further oposed scheme ndowner driven, ould likely include , preparation of a Sand Halleendale area o coordinate POS, void land t subject to the ale investigation nings of R5 s area will need to development of the community.

Local Housing Strategy

	Once the Strategy Reviews are more progressed, please be advised that the owners intend to pursue an amendment to the Metropolitan Region Scheme to change the regional zoning from 'Rural' to 'Urban'. The owners would like to pursue an MRS amendment with the City's support.	
	I look forward to this matter progressing in a positive manner, and thankyou in anticipation of your assistance.	
	For more information refer to Appendix iii., iv. and v. Halleendale Road submission attached.	
11.	Halleendale Road	See submission 10. response.
	Please find attached a submission on the Local Housing Strategy. [50 Lawnbrook Road, Walliston]	Identification of the Investigation Area will provide a str the North-East Sub-Regional Framework to consider its for statutory MRS Amendments and statutory LPS3 am
	This is a formal request for the City to review the existing zoning as part of strategic planning projects to facilitate a future residential zone over the entire lot.	be considered.
	The subject lot is located less than 7 kilometres to the south east of Kalamunda townsite.	It is acknowledged that the landowner of Lot 50 Lawnb aspires to 'round off' the development of the land with subdivision over the southern portion in the short term of the broader Investigation Area.
	The lot is currently zoned 'Residential', 'Rural' and 'local open space' under the City of Kalamunda Local Planning Scheme No 3. A local scheme amendment has been initiated to re-code the north part of Lot 50 from 'R2.5' to 'R5'.	There is an array of planning matters that require cons across the precinct and a coordinated approach to plar broader area is considered appropriate.
	Adjacent Lot 51 Lawnbrook Road has very similar and comparable land qualities to Lot 50, in terms of soil, historic rural uses and proximity to reserves. Lot 51 was subject to re-zonings under both the Region Scheme and Local Scheme, with subdivision now substantially completed (known as Conti Gardens).	Section 10.5 has been modified to include additional correferencing the landowner's aspirations and making it of existing statutory planning approvals and zonings remains implementable subject to usual state and local planning considerations.
	It would be logical to round off the Residential zone established on Lot 51 and the north part of Lot 50, by extending it to the southern portion of Lot 50 to facilitate a more cohesive subdivision design.	



	The City is requested to strategically identify the entire lot as Residential as part of the Housing Strategy and Rural Strategy Review being undertaken.	
	It is noted that the owners of adjacent Lots 22 to 24 Halleendale Road have also lodged a submission seeking re-zoning of their land to Residential, which is supported by the owners of Lot 50. This area provides a prime opportunity for new residential development to cater for population growth.	
	The purpose of this submission is to earmark this issue for the City's strategic review process, and allow for a zoning change to form part of public advertising of any revised Housing and Rural Strategy respectively.	
	For more information refer to Appendix vi. Halleendale Road submission attached.	
12.	Hartfield Road 1. Re: Amending the zoning at 124 Hartfield Rd, Forrestfield As you know the block mentioned above is currently zoned "Special Rural" and I would like the zoning to be amended to either "Residential" or a higher density rating. I believe that the council is looking at "Local Housing Strategy 2020" and I would very much like to be a part of this plan. My land consists of 1.431 Ha and although the special rural zoning may have been appropriate 50 years ago, as we change with the times and the new Forrestfield train station is completed and with the future Wattle Grove train station planned, I think a higher density zoning would be much more appropriate. I have spoken to my neighbour on the Eastern side boundary and he is very keen on the idea and would also like to be a participant in the project. He also has 3.5 acres and would like to retain his existing house on 1 acre and add his other 2.5 acres to my 3.5 acres giving us a total of 6 acres. To further support my application, please see the attached drawing showing the close proximity of the existing sever and the proposed extension required to reach my block.	 The City has considered the proposal to consider includ Hartfield Road, Forrestfield for future development invegiven the proximity to Hartfield Park and existing urbar areas. On balance, the City does not support a residential oute site or adjoining rural properties for the following reased a) As a general guide, infill development is to occur on zoned land with limited encroachment into rural are sufficient justification or identification within strateg frameworks. b) The site is not currently identified for any purpose or rural designation in the NE Framework. c) The area is not identified within any investigation ar City's existing or proposed strategic planning frame d) Hartfield Road provides a logical boundary to the ur interface, spot inclusions do not accord with princip and proper planning which promote considered and planning. e) Engagement concerning the Wattle Grove South Co and reported to Council indicated that a number of the principe of the properties of the council indicated that a number of the properties of the council indicated that a number of the properties of the council indicated that a number of the properties of the council indicated that a number of the properties of the council indicated that a number of the properties of the council indicated that a number of the properties of the properise of the properties of the properties of the prop
	For more information refer to Appendix vii. Hartfield Road submission attached.	proximity of this area do not support urban encroa

luding 124 nvestigations oan residential

utcome on this asons;

on existing urban areas without tegic planning

other than the

areas within the nework. urban / rural

ciples of orderly and consolidated

Concept Planning of landowners in pachment within

Local Housing Strategy

		the Lewis Road locale. This was also evident through consideration of development applications for more on Lewis Road (namely, Places of Worship).
		See also the City's response to submission 2 for reasons Foothills Investigation area is recommended to no longe
13. Main Roads	Roads and Noise City of Kalamunda Draft Local Housing Strategy 2020 In response to your correspondence received on 9 April 2020, Main Roads provides the following comments on the City of Kalamunda's Draft Local Housing Strategy (the Strategy): In the Strategy, on Page 74 in Section 17.1 Transport Corridors, there is an incorrect statement about "district distributor connectors owned by Main Roads but under the management of the City of Kalamunda." This statement needs to be reviewed because there are no roads satisfying this description in the City. Road and rail noise In the Strategy, there is a brief reference to the Western Australian Planning Commission's (WAPC) State Planning Policy 5.4: Road and Rail Noise (SPP5.4). Transport related noise issues are significant amenity issues and costly to address so Main Roads would like to see more emphasis in the Strategy on the need for any housing development within the trigger distances for a transport corridor specified in SPP 5.4 to comply with the policy and policy guidelines. In the City of Kalamunda, applies to the freight railway, the Forrestfield-Airport Link passenger railway under construction, Row Highway, Tonkin Highway, Welshpool Road East/Canning Road	
	 as shown in Schedule 3 of SPP 5.4. <u>Regional Roads</u> No mention is made in the Strategy about WAPC Development Control Policy 5.1 - Regional Roads (Vehicular access) (DCP5.1). It is critical that the safe and efficient operation of the regional road network is protected into the future so Main Roads would like to see a reference to DCP 5.1 in the Strategy highlighting the need for development and property access to be achieved via local roads, rather than regional roads, wherever possible. AS an extension of Orrong Road, Welshpool Road East is an important regional road that is planned to become an expressway. When combined with Canning Road, it is an important heavy vehicle freight link between Perth and the Brookton on Highway for heavy vehicles servicing the Wheatbelt and other destinations east of the Perth metropolitan area. Any development, including housing, along of in close proximity to those roads needs to be done in a manner that does not impact on the safety or function of those regional roads. 	development abutting a blue or red road, therefore it is considered necessary to include in the LHS. Infrastructure and Servicing as a result of urban intensit impacts on road traffic volumes and utilities is noted. Th addressed as part of the Local Planning Strategy anticip prepared in 2021/2022. In the meantime traffic impact a and/or statements are required for development applic subdivisions which are considered to impact the local o traffic network.

ugh the ore intensive uses

ons why the nger be pursued.

eriencing multiple using and account for I lane capacity rict road

se Contours. Hosed within il Transport Noise uld be required es, noise und wall or s a condition of oise. "

by Welshpool Rd eliminary concept stricted access for any t is not

nsification – the . This will be cipated to be ct assessments blications and l or regional

	Strategic Infrastructure and Transport Planning	
	Increases to housing density and development of further residential areas to achieve the additional dwelling and population targets identified in the Strategy will increase the volume of vehicle traffic using the road network. It is recommended that the City prepare a strategic City-wide Transport Study and Strategy to help identify the medium to long-term needs of the City's transport network both in terms of safety and efficiency for movement of all modes of transport and users of the network.	
	Additionally, road corridors perform an intrinsic function for urban drainage and accommodate utility services to urbanised areas. The infrastructure underlying these services will often require upgrades and improvements to meet the increased demand of land use intensification.	
	Identifying what transport and other servicing infrastructure is needed in the future will assist with long-term strategic and financial management and help identify the need for, and inform, Developer Contribution Plans to address development generated impacts. The preparation of a Transport Study and Strategy as well as a Servicing Plan and Strategy will also inform the proposed Community Facilities Plan mentioned in Section 3.3.3 of the City's Local Planning Scheme and future Town Planning Scheme requirements.	
14.	Subdivision Enquiry Gooseberry Hill	Noted.
	APPLICATION FOR A CHANGE OF ZONING	At this stage the City is anticipated to meet infill dwelling existing investigation areas, therefore low density subdi area is not supported for a number of reasons including
		aspects:
	Property location: 11 lots bounded by Railway Road, Gooseberry Hill Road, Healey Place and Lenori Road in Gooseberry Hill including lot numbers 1, 2, 3, 57, 56, 500, 501, 54, 55, 58 and 59.	 a) This submission proposes re-zoning which is outside investigation area;
		 a) This submission proposes re-zoning which is outside investigation area; b) The sites are not within an 800m walkable catchmer the Kalamunda Activity Centre;
	Lenori Road in Gooseberry Hill including lot numbers 1, 2, 3, 57, 56, 500, 501, 54, 55, 58 and 59.	 a) This submission proposes re-zoning which is outside investigation area; b) The sites are not within an 800m walkable catchmenthe Kalamunda Activity Centre; c) The area does not have a sewer connection; d) The sites are located in a Bushfire Prone area and;
	Lenori Road in Gooseberry Hill including lot numbers 1, 2, 3, 57, 56, 500, 501, 54, 55, 58 and 59. Proponents: [redacted]	 a) This submission proposes re-zoning which is outside investigation area; b) The sites are not within an 800m walkable catchmer the Kalamunda Activity Centre; c) The area does not have a sewer connection; d) The sites are located in a Bushfire Prone area and;
	 Lenori Road in Gooseberry Hill including lot numbers 1, 2, 3, 57, 56, 500, 501, 54, 55, 58 and 59. Proponents: [redacted] Please refer to our original submission dated 28th April 2020. I refer to the City's reply dated 14th May 2020 and respond to the statements as follows: 1. The land in question in not consistent with the City's endorsed Local Planning Strategy and Local Housing Strategy. 	 a) This submission proposes re-zoning which is outside investigation area; b) The sites are not within an 800m walkable catchmer the Kalamunda Activity Centre; c) The area does not have a sewer connection; d) The sites are located in a Bushfire Prone area and; e) The proposed R10 would be inconsistent with the submission of t
	 Lenori Road in Gooseberry Hill including lot numbers 1, 2, 3, 57, 56, 500, 501, 54, 55, 58 and 59. Proponents: [redacted] Please refer to our original submission dated 28th April 2020. I refer to the City's reply dated 14th May 2020 and respond to the statements as follows: 1. The land in question in not consistent with the City's endorsed Local Planning Strategy and Local Housing Strategy. The City recognises it has a changing and ageing population and many within the community 	 a) This submission proposes re-zoning which is outside investigation area; b) The sites are not within an 800m walkable catchmer the Kalamunda Activity Centre; c) The area does not have a sewer connection; d) The sites are located in a Bushfire Prone area and; e) The proposed R10 would be inconsistent with the submission of t
	 Lenori Road in Gooseberry Hill including lot numbers 1, 2, 3, 57, 56, 500, 501, 54, 55, 58 and 59. Proponents: [redacted] Please refer to our original submission dated 28th April 2020. I refer to the City's reply dated 14th May 2020 and respond to the statements as follows: 1. The land in question in not consistent with the City's endorsed Local Planning Strategy and Local Housing Strategy. The City recognises it has a changing and ageing population and many within the community that will want to age in place and remain within the City well into retirement. It is the City's goal 	 a) This submission proposes re-zoning which is outside investigation area; b) The sites are not within an 800m walkable catchmenthe Kalamunda Activity Centre; c) The area does not have a sewer connection; d) The sites are located in a Bushfire Prone area and; e) The proposed R10 would be inconsistent with the substance of the substant and the substant area substant and the substant area substant area substant area substant area substant area and and the substant area substant area substant area and area and area area and area area and area area area area area area area are
	 Lenori Road in Gooseberry Hill including lot numbers 1, 2, 3, 57, 56, 500, 501, 54, 55, 58 and 59. Proponents: [redacted] Please refer to our original submission dated 28th April 2020. I refer to the City's reply dated 14th May 2020 and respond to the statements as follows: 1. The land in question in not consistent with the City's endorsed Local Planning Strategy and Local Housing Strategy. The City recognises it has a changing and ageing population and many within the community 	 a) This submission proposes re-zoning which is outside investigation area; b) The sites are not within an 800m walkable catchmenthe Kalamunda Activity Centre; c) The area does not have a sewer connection; d) The sites are located in a Bushfire Prone area and; e) The proposed R10 would be inconsistent with the substance of the substant and the substant area substant and the substant area substant area substant area substant area substant area and and the substant area substant area substant area and area and area area and area area and area area area area area area area are
	 Lenori Road in Gooseberry Hill including lot numbers 1, 2, 3, 57, 56, 500, 501, 54, 55, 58 and 59. Proponents: [redacted] Please refer to our original submission dated 28th April 2020. I refer to the City's reply dated 14th May 2020 and respond to the statements as follows: 1. The land in question in not consistent with the City's endorsed Local Planning Strategy and Local Housing Strategy. The City recognises it has a changing and ageing population and many within the community that will want to age in place and remain within the City well into retirement. It is the City's goal to identify opportunities for people to stay whilst facilitating the necessary and appropriate 	 a) This submission proposes re-zoning which is outside investigation area; b) The sites are not within an 800m walkable catchmenthe Kalamunda Activity Centre; c) The area does not have a sewer connection; d) The sites are located in a Bushfire Prone area and; e) The proposed R10 would be inconsistent with the substance of the substant and the substant area substant and the substant area substant area substant area substant area substant area and and the substant area substant area substant area and area and area area and area area and area area area area area area area are



area for the foreseeable future, thus freeing up the existing larger homes for younger families as per the policy.
'Kalamunda, Gooseberry Hill and Lesmurdie are predicted to have the greatest rise in young families and the elderly'
2. Railway Road provides clear delineation between the R10 area to the east of Railway Road and the R5 coded area to the west of Railway Road. There are no R10 coded areas in the area surrounding the subject lots. Having roads serve to delineate is a common occurrence throughout the Kalamunda area, however not only do they separate different R code areas but also divide areas with the same coding. It can be said that the band of R10 lots East of Railway Rd effectively join the subject lots to the West in the same way. The situation that there is in effect a boulevard separating the two areas rather than a single road is inconsequential in the scheme of things. 11 lots (or 13) is a reasonable cluster by comparison.
3. There is insufficient planning justification provided for the City to fully consider your request. As with many of the subdivisions, this superblock was part of a greater sub-division that was created pre-1980 and prior to the R Codes being introduced. Of the 11 lots, 8 of them are well undersized under the current zoning. It was perhaps the whim of the developer at the time not to divide lot 56 and 57 and the end result could be called disorderly. Lots 1 and 2 were part of the sub-division and it is only recently that lot 1 has been built on.
It is acknowledged that urban infill and meeting density targets is a State Government priority, however the type and quality of infill development does have an impact on character. Character being a combination of streetscape and architecture.
The current streetscape on Healey Place can be likened to a wide set of teeth with two missing at the front, as lots 56 and 57 are undivided, the backyards are exposed and abut the street. A change in zoning would allow for the subdivision of the two lots and building of homes that would complement the area and effectively make the streetscape unbroken in appearance. As far as the architecture is concerned the City would have the ultimate say in what was built. The sub-division of these lots would certainly meet the criteria for the Government's infill policy.
With regard to sewer, State planning has reduced the lot size of 2000m2 down to 1000m2 for sub-division without a direct connection to the City's sewer providing that a modern treatment system is utilised. This effectively removes the previous barrier to rezone the area from R5 to R10.
The Draft Government Sewerage Policy was prepared in 2017 to reflect the improvement in effluent treatment systems and was formally adopted in 2019. The Government Sewerage Policy 2019 is operational from 23 September 2019. One of the key changes to the Government Sewerage policy include subdivision to an average lot size of 1,000m2 where effluent disposal can be contained onsite and it is in accordance with the zoning.



Local Housing Strategy

	 4. The proposal is considered ad hoc and not in accordance with the principles of orderly and proper planning given the lack of strategic context. I am sure there are numerous examples of ad hoc segments from previous planning schemes that have resulted in zoning changes over the years. The area encircling the corner of Blamire and Canning Roads appears to be a case in point as is the single R5 block on Orange Valley Road. There are other examples of R10 blocks encompassed by 4 roads with only 4 lots (Lewis and Guppy for example) I believe that I have demonstrated that far from being ad hoc, the proposal to rezone the 11 lot super-block and subsequent sub-division, completes the jigsaw and in effect, tidies up the streetscape while meeting the objectives in the City's Local housing Strategy. A further benefit for the City would be the annual rates generated in perpetuity if the two 	
	It would be informative for the City to visit the site from the Healey Place perspective.	
	We would be grateful if the city would give kind consideration to this proposal.	
	[redacted] 16 th July 2020 2 Attachments	
	For more information refer to Appendix viii. Gooseberry Hill submission attached.	
15. City of Gosnells	The City of Gosnells provides the following submission on the City of Kalamunda Local Housing Strategy.	Noted. Interface of any investigation areas abutting the bound
	8.2 Wattle Grove South – WAPC Urban Expansion and Investigation Area This precinct adjoins the municipal boundary with the City of Gosnells (City) and the suburb of Orange Grove.	should take account of adjoining zoning and land uses
	 Local Planning Strategy The City's has adopted a new Local Planning Strategy that is currently being advertised for public comment. Land to the south of Precinct 8.2 Wattle Grove South, is designated as a Potential Urban Expansion Area. Residential development is anticipated to occur in the long term (10-15 years) due to the need for regional/ local structure planning, rezoning of the land and extension of services to the area. The Strategic planning framework for both local government areas is consistent with the State 	
	Governments regional planning framework for the foothills area.	

ndary to Gosnells es.

Local	Housing	Strategy
Looui	nouoing	onutogy

	 Council's Foothills Rural Strategy covers the Orange Grove area and includes two Rural Planning Precincts. Rural Planning Precinct 3 (Tonkin Highway East) is located to the east of Precinct 4 and includes Valcan Road and the boundary of the City of Kalamunda. The planning objectives of this Precinct are: Protect, conserve and enhance the landscape character of the Precinct. Protect, conserve and enhance the natural environment in the Precinct. Protect, conserve and enhance the natural environment in the Precinct. Protect drinking water resources in the Precinct and adjacent reserved areas. To protect and manage land uses within the Precinct. Maintain an adequate level of bush fire protection. Rural Planning Precinct 4 (Kelvin Road Precinct) is bounded by Tonkin Highway, Kelvin Road, the end of Valcan Road and the boundary of the City of Kalamunda. Precinct 4 creates a more flexible approach to land use and development and supports a variety of land use activities that are commercial in nature. The planning objectives of this Precinct are: Minimise detrimental impacts on the amenity of the locality acknowledging the lesser amenity values of the Precinct compared to the broader Foothills area. Provide a flexible approach to land uses. Maintain an adequate level of bush fire protection. Sicourage amendment proposals that are not compatible with future urbanisation of the Precinct. Most of the land on the onthern side of Kelvin Road is owned by Council and is a former waste disposal site. The City is progressing with plans to develop the land as a new Council depot, public open space and a rural-residential subdivision. Town Planning Sc	
16. Department of Fire and Emergency Services	 Dear Sir/Madam, I refer to your email dated 14 April 2020 in relation to the referral of the City of Kalamunda Draft Local Housing Strategy 2020-2025 (Draft Strategy). It is unclear from the documentation provided if the City of Kalamunda has applied <i>State Planning Policy 3.7 – Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas</i> (SPP 3.7) to this proposal. Section 18.5 identifies that <i>the entirety of the rural area of the City is designated as bushfire</i> prone. It is further noted that 	BMP's are required for all Structure Plan, Amendment a subdivisions in Bushfire Prone Areas as standard. Noted that DFES does not support Pickering Brook town expansion investigation areas.

Attachment 10.1.1.2

t and

wnsite

	bushfire risk is specifically referenced for some of the Investigation Areas (such as Pickering Brook Townsite Expansion).	
	Given the Draft Strategy seeks to intensify residential development in a number of Investigation Areas, it provides an opportune mechanism for the coordination of bushfire risk. SPP 3.7 seeks to reduce vulnerability to bushfire through the identification and consideration of bushfire risks in decision-making at all stages of the planning and development process. A Bushfire Management Plan (BMP) is required to accompany strategic planning proposals, subdivision and development applications in areas above BAL–LOW or areas with a bushfire hazard level above low (refer to clause 6.2b). A BMP includes the bushfire assessment, identification of the bushfire hazard issues arising from the relevant assessment and a clear demonstration that compliance with the bushfire protection criteria contained within Appendix 4 of these Guidelines, is or can be achieved.	
	BMP's should be prepared for Investigation Areas (if not already) as early as possible in the planning process and progressively refined or reviewed as the level of detail increases. The level of detail provided within a BMP should be commensurate with the applicable planning stage and scale of the proposal or application.	
	DFES takes this opportunity to reiterate its position as outlined on 23 February 2020 regarding the proposed Pickering Brook MRS Scheme Amendment - that the Amendment is not supported due to extreme fire hazard.	
	Should you apply SPP 3.7 then, we request the relevant information pursuant to this policy be forwarded to DFES to allow us to review and provide bespoke comment prior to the City endorsement of the Strategy.	
	Land Use Planning staff are available to discuss planning proposals and provide general bushfire advice at any stage of the planning process. Please do not hesitate to contact me on the number below, should you require clarification of any of the matters raised.	
17. City of Swan	We have assessed the draft Housing Strategy and believe that it will not directly impact on development in the City of Swan. We accordingly have no objection to the proposals in the City of Kalamunda's Draft Local Housing Strategy.	Noted.
18. Department of Water and	CITY OF KALAMUNDA DRAFT LOCAL HOUSING STRATEGY Thank you for providing the above referral for the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (Department) to consider.	Noted.
Environmental Regulation	The Department has identified that the draft Local Housing Strategy has the potential for impact on water values and management. While the Department does not object to the proposal, key issues and recommendations are provided below and these matters should be addressed:	
	The Department has identified a number of water issues across the following investigation and expansion areas. These issues will need to be investigated at future planning stages.	



Pickering Brook Townsite Expansion	
The Department has had ongoing consultation regarding the Pickering Brook Townsite	
Expansion. There are a number of significant water issues that must be overcome to allow the	
future development of this site.	
The proposed future development is situated within the Middle Helena Public Drinking Water	
Source Area and is managed for Priority 2 (P2) source protection. P2 source protection areas are	
defined to ensure that there is no increase in risk of pollution to the water source. P2 areas are	
declared over land where low intensity development (such as rural) already exists. Protection of	
public water supply sources is a high priority in these areas. P2 areas are managed in accordance	
with the principle of risk minimisation and some development is allowed under specific	
guidance.	
· The Department has advised that it conditionally supports the concept of the townsite	
expansion and acknowledges the possible local strategic need for some additional housing	
within the townsite location. However, The Department is clear that conditions would need to be	
adequately met to satisfy concerns associated with contamination of the drinking water	
catchment, as the proposal does not meet current public drinking water source areas policy.	
Additionally, the Department would further take into consideration the need for the townsite	
expansion if it is recognised as a State Government priority.	
· Suitable wastewater treatment will be required. This area is currently unsewered and as such,	
future development will need to comply with requirements outlined in the Government	
Sewerage Policy (2019).	
\cdot A minor waterway traverses the site. Appropriate setback and protection of the waterway in	
accordance with Operational Policy 4.3: Identifying and establishing waterways foreshore areas	
(DWER, 2012) will need to be provided for any future development.	
Wattle Grove South	
\cdot Crystal Brook flows through the northern portion of the area. Appropriate setback and	
protection of the waterway in accordance with Operational Policy 4.3: Identifying and	
establishing waterways foreshore areas (DWER, 2012) will need to be provided for any future	
development.	
• Suitable wastewater treatment will be required. This area is currently unsewered and as such,	
future development will need to comply with requirements outlined in the Government	
Sewerage Policy (2019).	
There is surroutly limited groundwater evollable for line size in this area. Dublic Orace Course	
• There is currently limited groundwater available for licencing in this area. Public Open Space	
requirements will need to seek a groundwater allocation, if available, or source alternative water	
sources.	
Forrestfield North Project Area	
• The proposed Forrestfield North residential precinct is bound by Poison Gully to the north.	
Appropriate setbacks and waterway protection and management will be required.	
Appropriete seconde and matering, protection and management will be required.	



Local Housing Strategy

	 Suitable wastewater treatment will be required. This area is currently unsewered and as such, future development will need to comply with requirements outlined in the Government Sewerage Policy (2019). There is currently limited groundwater available for licencing in this area. Public Open Space requirements will need to seek a groundwater allocation, if available, or source alternative water sources Heidelberg Park Project Area The Department has previously advised that due to the limited water issues on this site, a District Water Management Strategy will not be required to support the rezoning of the land. Suitable wastewater treatment will be required. This area is currently unsewered and as such, future development will need to comply with requirements outlined in the Government Sewerage Policy (2019). Maida Vale South 	
	 Crumpet Creek flows through the northern portion of the area. Appropriate setback and protection of the waterway in accordance with Operational Policy 4.3: Identifying and establishing waterways foreshore areas (DWER, 2012) will need to be provided for any future development. Suitable wastewater treatment will be required. This area is currently unsewered and as such, future development will need to comply with requirements outlined in the Government Sewerage Policy (2019). There is currently limited groundwater available for licencing in this area. Public Open Space requirements will need to seek a groundwater allocation, if available, or source alternative water sources. Environment issues have not been considered at this point, however will need to be investigated at subsequent stages of the planning process. 	
19.	Statistics and Wattle Grove DRAFT LOCAL HOUSING STRATEGY General Comments: Statistical Relevance It is always difficult to ensure the relevancy of a document based on ever changing statistics. However, we note that the Reference List does not show that consideration has been given to the land availability statistics contained in the government publication, Urban Monitor 11, issued in January 2020. This document provides a 'whole of metropolitan' area context with respect to the current and projected land available for housing in the metropolitan area. It would seem important therefore, to ensure that the key points in Urban Monitor 11 are reflected in the draft Local Housing Strategy. Planning for Pandemics The Covid 19 pandemic is having had a huge social and economic effect on the world. According	Urban Growth Monitor 11 Report (January 2020) is a hig assessment of land availability within the Perth metroport While useful to Landgate and DPLH, it is less relevant to LHS targets are based off the North-East Sub-regional P Framework. The City has included an analysis of the DP Activity for Kalamunda which is included in the estimate targets. Western Australia due to the geographic isolation and p density has been fortunate to be less affected than othe states by the COVID-19 pandemic. While housing design impact, for example minimising transmission through s such as elevators, it is more reliant on hygienic use, clear education and human behaviour. In this case planning v limited influence, as use of buildings relates more to are design.
	to media and medical reports, it would be unrealistic to expect this kind of medical emergency- accompanied by long periods of exclusion- to be a one-off event.	Ancillary dwellings are already a permissible use on resource rural lots greater than 450m ² .

high-level opolitan area. t to the City as al Planning DPLH State Lot nated dwelling

d population other Australian sign can have an h shared spaces cleaning regimes, ng would have architectural

esidential and

Therefore, City planners ought to factor into the local Housing Strategy the need for	
geographically spaced dwellings and for public open space within walking distance of dwellings,	Wattle Grove South (Crystal Brook) Investigation Area w
to assist in preventing the future transmission of viruses, particularly for the more vulnerable	by Council in November 2020. The Housing Strategy ha
members of the community, including the elderly.	updated to reflect the latest information in this respect.
By way of further illustration of this point, the Financial Review published on the 8 April 2020	The Current Subdivision map shows all properties whic
contained an article in which Professor Gary Geelhoed, Executive Director of the Western	subdivision potential under the current zoning and is th
Australian Health Translation Network said that, "The traditional suburban quarter-acre block has	accurate.
played an important role in keeping the death rate from COVID-19 relatively low in Australia.	
He said, "the layout of the nation's suburbs is an advantage when trying to cut the rate of new	
infections."	
"Just look at the streetscape of cities and towns of Italy and compare it to the average Australian	
suburban streetscape – the difference is obvious," Professor Geelhoed said.	
"Australia's vast open spaces, its "sweeping plains", are also important because they allow for a	
population density of about 3 people per square kilometre compared to Spain's, 91 and Italy's, 206".	
The draft Local Housing Strategy needs to be updated to take account of pandemic risk	
management with respect to residential planning.	
Unlike inner City local government authorities, the City of Kalamunda does have the opportunity	
to factor in the need for reduced urban intensification across the City and to market the City as a	
'a safe haven'.	
Ancillary Dwellings	
Whilst we note that the draft Local Housing Strategy explores the use of alternative style dwellings,	
including 'tiny houses' which may interest a very small minority of residents, we believe that	
more publicity should be afforded to promoting 'ancillary dwellings' on residential properties as	
this provision is already governed by City of Kalamunda policy. 'Ancillary dwellings' are useful for	
intergenerational considerations but also, can be rented under current taxation policy.	
Specific Comments re Wattle Grove South	
Firstly, with reference to that section of the draft Local Housing Strategy identified below;	
8.2 Wattle Grove South –WAPC Urban Expansion and Investigation Area	
The text for this inclusion within the draft Local Housing Strategy omits relevant facts and	
therefore, misrepresents the true situation. It ought to be amended (see additional wording	
appearing in red typeface below) to read as follows:	
8.2 Wattle Grove South – WAPC Urban Expansion and Investigation Area	
The Framework has identified a significant portion of an area in Wattle Grove, known by project	
name as Wattle Grove South, as an Urban Expansion area / Investigation area (refer Fig 3)	
sometime after 2022 . This area is currently zoned 'Special rural' under the Local Planning Scheme.	
The Framework cautions that further detailed planning is required for areas identified as Urban	
Expansion and Urban Investigation prior to consideration for any urban intensification. In	
particular, planning must establish whether the identified area contains significant	
environmental attributes.	

a was determined has been ect.

hich have therefore

 Where significant environmental attributes are identified, the Framework states that further planning for these sites will need to prioritise avoidance or protection, or both, of the environmental values. Importantly, the Framework also states that the classification of existing special rural zoned areas as Urban should not however, be construed as support for the further development of these areas at a higher density. Similarly, the Framework states that the classification of Urban Investigation areas is not to be construed as a commitment by the WAPC to support any reconing, as this too, will depend upon the outcome of further planning investigations. In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to relaning landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensifications is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values. Similarly these desktop pro	
environmental values. Importantly, the Framework also states that the classification of existing special rural zoned areas as a urban should not however, be construed as support for the further development of these areas at a higher density. Similarly, the Framework states that the classification of Urban Investigation areas is not to be construed as a commitment by the WAPC to support any rezoning, as this too, will depend upon the outcome of further planning investigations. In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values. Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt to fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, inc	Where significant environmental attributes are identified, the Framework states that further
Importantly, the Framework also states that the classification of existing special rural zoned areas as Urban should not however, be construed as support for the further development of these areas at a higher density. Similarly, the Framework states that the classification of Urban Investigation areas is not to be construed as a commitment by the WAPC to support any rezoning, as this too, will depend upon the outcome of further planning investigations. In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental Investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in Italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values. Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> del	planning for these sites will need to prioritise avoidance or protection, or both, of the
areas as Urban should not however, be construed as support for the further development of these areas at a higher density. Similarly, the Framework states that the classification of Urban Investigation areas is not to be construed as a commitment by the WAPC to support any rezoning, as this too, will depend upon the outcome of further planning investigations. In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 pe	environmental values.
these areas at a higher density. Similarly, the Framework states that the classification of Urban Investigation areas is not to be construed as a commitment by the WAPC to support any rezoning, as this too, will depend upon the outcome of further planning investigations. In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values. Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha min	Importantly, the Framework also states that the classification of existing special rural zoned
Similarly, the Framework states that the classification of Urban Investigation areas is not to be construed as a commitment by the WAPC to support any rezoning, as this too, will depend upon the outcome of further planning investigations. In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values. Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 2: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10.920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwe	areas as Urban should not however, be construed as support for the further development of
construed as a commitment by the WAPC to support any rezoning, as this too, will depend upon the outcome of further planning investigations. In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,92	these areas at a higher density.
the outcome of further planning investigations. In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 p	Similarly, the Framework states that the classification of Urban Investigation areas is not to be
In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt the fact that the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below IDELEFE! Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3:	construed as a commitment by the WAPC to support any rezoning, as this too, will depend upon
determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELEFE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons	the outcome of further planning investigations.
community consultation. In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per he	In July 2018, the Council considered a preliminary feasibility investigation over the area to
In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons	determine the appropriate path forward for future planning, technical investigations and
In February 2019, the Council resolved that the City would not consider any industrial land use outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons	community consultation.
outcomes for the area and would seek instead, to establish the level of community support for various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons	
various aspects of possible future land use related to retaining landscape characteristics and vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons	
vistas maintaining ecological linkages and reflecting existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below IDELETEJ Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 2800 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households =	
 opportunities of the area. Further to the Council decision, the area for analysis was also expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETEF] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons 	
expanded slightly to include some properties north of Welshpool Road east (refer Fig 4). Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below IDELETEJ Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons	
Depending on the outcomes of community consultation, environmental investigations, concept planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons	
planning and future approval of the WAPC, the preparation of a Strategic District Structure Plan may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons	
 may be initiated. The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below IDELETEJ Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons 	
The desktop projections contained in the draft Local Housing Strategy which appear in italics below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households =	
 below, pre-empt the fact that the WAPC has not finally determined that any urban intensification is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons 	
 is warranted in this area or, if intensification is approved, any conditions which may apply, including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456 	
 including protection of any environmental values . Similarly these desktop projections also appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons 	
 appear to pre-empt or influence the outcome of ongoing community consultation processes about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456 	
about future land use in the area and therefore, ought to be deleted. We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
 We note also, that none of the other sections of the draft <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> dealing with current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456 	
current investigation areas contain pre-emptive scenario predictions as below [DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
[DELETE] Wattle Grove South desktop projections based on 280ha (400ha minus 30% equal to 120ha for roads, POS and drainage).Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 personsScenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 personsScenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 personsScenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
for roads, POS and drainage). Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
Scenario 1: Estimated 15 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 4200 households = 10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
10,920 persons Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
Scenario 2: Estimated 10 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 2800 households = 7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
7280 persons Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
Scenario 3: Estimated 5 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 1400 households = 3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
3640 persons Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456	
	Scenario 4: Estimated 2 households per hectare and 2.6 persons per dwelling = 560 households = 1456



	Map on Page 131.	
	That section of the map on page 131 which applies to the area with the project name of Wattle	
	Grove South either needs to be removed entirely with the area being left blank or alternatively,	
	modified as described below, as it is not accurate with respect to current lot sizes which have	
	subdivision potential .	
	The Map contains a sentence which states that the map shows' the subdivision potential based on	
	minimum lot size as defined by Local Planning Scheme No 3'	
	There is no map in Local Planning Scheme No 3 which delineates this depicted distinction with	
	respect to Wattle Grove South. In addition, the text describes this 'special rural' zoned area as	
	'urban investigation'. Whilst the text in the Scheme states that with respect to special rural areas	
	at "59.1 Subdivision of land	
	a) Subdivision in Special Rural zones shall not create lots less than 1 hectare in area "	
	the reality is that the City has already permitted at least 61 lots of 2000 square metres zoned	
	'special rural' in Wattle Grove South. In other words about 25 % of the study area consists of	
	special rural properties of 2000 square metres.	
	As the Government Sewerage Policy states that lot sizes in areas without reticulated sewerage	
	ought not be smaller than 2000 square metres, if any delineation of subdivision potential for this	
	area is required for the purposes of this map, based on past precedent, it would be to identify all	
	of the lots that are above 2000 square metres as being potentially subdivisible and not the	
	inaccurate delineation depicted in this map with respect to Wattle Grove South.	
	End of Submission	
20. Perth Airport	For more information refer to Appendix ix. Perth Airport submission attached.	Modifications made to relevant sections of LHS.

