Submission Table

Submission No.	Assess No.	Submission	Officer Comments
1.	A189555	 DCP Policy Though the Policy lays out (in broad terms) the required input of each of the City's departments, there is no mention of an overseeing role with ultimate responsibility. We cannot assume, and in fact experience shows us, that inter-departmental co-operation is not always forthcoming, especially when there is such a high level of staff turnover during the life of a DCP. As it stands, any questions or queries by landowners would have to be channelled to the individual departments. There must be someone (position) named as having overall responsibility to drive the DCP and ensure total inter-departmental co-operation. This could be anyone from CEO, a Director or a Manager given the necessary authority to over-ride higher ranks for this specific task. Several references to "agreed timeframes." Agreed by whom? b) Monitoring 2DCP review should occur every 12 months. or b. 12 months from date the last DCP adopted by Council. Experience shows that the total review process (including draft, Council, advertising, reconsideration, Council) takes considerably more than 12 months so wording needs to take that into consideration. 2.6 Pre-Funding States only when City "has adequate funds set aside" There is no allowance for the consideration of borrowed funds from other sources. Developer Pre-funding. This section assumes that requests for Pre-funding will only be instigated by a developer. It does not cover the scenario of the City requesting a developer to Pre-fund infrastructure. This Policy certainly does point out that a DCP is a "whole of City" task and is the way to reduce the risk of repeating previous disasters. 	 Noted. The specific roles identified in the from the final Policy. This is because this which can be subject to change. An inter been created to clearly identify those role Planning Team to be identified as the ow process. There needs to be a degree of flexibility varying circumstances that can arise duri Adequate funds set aside takes into cons (where reasonable and appropriate) and Although it is unlikely the City would requinfrastructure without the developer in the pre-fund the infrastructure, this has beer Inserted: "A developer pre-funding infrastingtigated by either the City or developer agreed upon. In either scenario the developer applicant'." Noted.

the draft Policy has been removed this is an internal process concern nternal procedure document has roles. For clarity the Strategic overseeing 'manager' of the DCA

- ty for the timeframes due to the luring a DCP review.
- nsideration borrowed funds nd other sources.
- equest a developer to pre-fund the first place being willing to een added as a scenario. rastructure/land may be per where an arrangement is
- eveloper is considered 'the