

Date Mon Aug 10 05:17:24 PM AWST 2020

To

cc

bcc

Subject Draft Local Planning Policy 29 - Unhosted Holiday Houses

To whom it may concern

I write to lodge my absolute and complete objection the the Draft Local Planning Policy 29 - Unhosted Holiday Houses.

This Local Planning Policy is a tacit cheating on and supression of my wifes and my natural human rights by all levels of the Australian Government stealing by stealth and completely contravening the local by-laws, zoning R-Codes and their underlying intent and civil protections to allow us to enjoy the utility and amenity of our residential property in peace.

I choose, like most people, to live in a residential area for obvious reasons.

So called 'Unhosted Holiday Houses', which equates to un-managed, un-supervised commercial properties, are in practice actually far more disruptive than licensed authorised hotels which are located in correctly zoned areas.

In fact, the so called supervision of ongoing anti-social behaviour and disruption, in my experience, falls to the neighbours to manage!

Over the past three years, I have had a very unpleasant situation due an Airbnb property next door to my home which has been extremely upsetting for both my wife and I and is affecting my health and wellbeing.

I previously made contact with the Kalamunda Shire in May 2019 regarding anti-social behaviour of guests, the owners unwillingness to rectify the problems and the complete breakdown of my wifes and my amenity and enjoyment of our property.

Notably, Kalamunda Shire took approximately 6 months to reply to me, after several follow up contact attempts by myself, with the final outcome not being to my satisfaction (please confirm this with Mr Peter Varelis : Director – Development Services – Letter attached)

This untenable Policy is no doubt strongly weighted to additional local tourism, as per your advertisement in local papers – but at great and numerous losses to the residents which are too many to list in this short communication but includes substantial loss of monetary value.

I would supose that you would have a very different attitude and approach if this was happening next door to one of your homes.

I also wonder where this will end – likely in court. Notably a very similar case has already been heard and judged by the Supreme Court of Western Australia (Case No: GDA:8/2015)

As I have indicated my interest in this awful situation viv-a-vis my wifes and my personel experiences, I would expect that your department will keep me informed of matters petaining to this matter, in a timely manner.

I would be very keen to meet with you, at your convenience, to further discuss these matters and so with this in mind, I look forward to hearing from you.

Thanks and Regards