



Excellent

73

Excellent



Fair

Fenced Dog Exercise Park: Survey Results





#### What else would you like to see for the proposed Elmore Park Fenced Dog Park?

Enough open, grassed space for throwing ball to dogs

Page not found on engage.kalamunda.wa.gov.au

The larger the area the better, especially for the larger dogs. Shade is also essential as well as double gates and high fences for breeds that can jump such as huskies. The whiteman park dog park is the best I have come across so far due to its size it's reduces the number of dog disagreements coz there's so much space for them to spread out they don't feel like they are ontop of other dogs. It is also essential to separate the little dogs from the big dogs aswell as having drink fountains for the dogs

Larger sand pit in large dog area

The proposed area looks amazing and I am very excited about the idea of a having a fenced area like this in High Wycombe. Thank you so much!!!

I would love to have a bigger area for small dogs. The main feature I am looking for in a dog exercise area is a fenced area big enough to throw the ball a long distance for a small dog. Second to that would be an area to walk around, a watering station and a dig/play area. Provided off lead access to the rest of the park area is not restricted also. We do not want to be confined to just the fenced area.

Tall shaded trees

Parking spaces

Shelter outside the fenced area, I don't love other people's dogs jumping all over me and my kids.

Entrance near car park.

This plan only seems to benefit people in jacaranda springs who can walk to it, what about people in the old high Wycombe who need to drive there?!

Toilets.

Direct Pathway to the large dog park.

More paths on other side of fenced dog park so we can wheel our prams to it, or walk a path til dog is finished playing.

A lot of people have labradors and they love water, that dam out the back of Coles would be
too tempting for my dog.
The fact you can walk your large dog thru small dog park to get to large dog is a major flaw!!!
Picnic facilities eg BBQ area; at least two more shelters; tethering stations for undisciplined
dogs; lighting; dog wash facility; allowance for coffee truck parking.
Is this leash on or off? This isn't noted?
Nothing great to see, do not make size any smaller, good size or bigger
Dog enclosed area in new estate in Bushmead is a joke, far too small!!
Ensure 1.8m fences throughout as required by large number of bigger breeds local to the
area
Parking for how many cars is not clear and how far to walk to the entry gates.?
Overhead shelters should be angled for shade at hottest time of day
Dog waste bins great but also provide human waste bin.
Why are both proposed forced day parks in the factbills and page in the bills?
Why are both proposed fenced dog parks in the foothills and none in the hills?
A water play area additional car parking
I like the idea of a safe place for people to take there dogs, the proposal looks good however
we haven't been to High Wycombe living in Wattle Grove we are spoilt for choice.
a larger fenced area as this parkland is rarely used also parking area.
Bench Seats
Shade Large trees
Good grass and reticulation
This area is used mainly by dog owners and to a lesser degree by physical trainers. It needs
to be a larger area for big dogs. It is good enough as it is with a fence around it. If yo want
to know what a dog exercise area is go to Bayswater.
I would like to see all dog owners cleaning up after their dogs wherever they walk them.
Nothing. All good.
I think an enclosed dog park is a great idea! It would be nice to see something toward the
front of the city suburbs (High Wycombe area).
Judging by the wood chips at the Greenslope drive dog park, I don't think they are a good
idea. My friend told me about the Greenslope drive park being small, the only other issue
was the wood chips as her dog would try to eat them. I don't have the same problem with
my dog but it doesn't look awfully comfortable for them.
Bigger small dog area, more grass, more shelter
Bit more seating/shaded areas
I would like to see a bit more shady areas and also make sure that every gate is a double
entry gate so that people have to shut one gate before opening the other to stop dogs
escaping.
Nothing
Car park
I believe the area could be bigger for both small and large dogs.
I am also concerned on how this will be 'policed' im sure this has come up in conversation,
the amount of non-socialised dogs i have come across in High Wycombe does make me
cautious about tne safety of my dog.
I do love the idea of the agility course, i currently travel to Jandakot to use the one there.
nil
Don't care too much because I won't go there - it's too far away
A decent fence height to ensure the safety of larger dogs that are able to jump would be
fantastic. This means the park can be used for recall training. Also signage about dog
behaviour on/off lead, for example the Whiteman Park dog park has fun and informative
signs at the entrance about dog behaviour and how to best enjoy the area. I am excited

about the proposed plans in our local area, as I need to travel quite far to find a park we can
enjoy that has double gates and a high fence for larger dogs.
More space for smaller dogs. At peak time the proposed area would not be enough space
Larger agility area & overall area of the park, say to throw a frisbee without interfering with
other people please. The proposed dog park should not be located near the children's play equipment, but on the
other side of the park.
The play equipment and area around it is frequently used by families and is ideally located near the Tavern, where parents are able to watch their children while enjoying a drink.
The Tavern also uses the area on weekends for activities for patron's children.
Parking is also convenient to access the play equipment with young children.
The dog park, in the proposed position would significantly impact the use of the play
equipment: dogs could be excited by the children's play; children may startle the dogs; the
area for the children around the equipment would be reduced and access to the ducks and
the pond impacted; and the water animals using the pond may be frightened off by the
close proximity of dogs on a regular basis.
The location of the fenced park near the playground is not pragmatic and fails to take into
account the current use of that area, whereas the other side of the park is under-utilised.
In addition to the sheltered seating area, more informal park benches scattered throughout
the park, so owners are encouraged to keep a closer eye on their dogs, and for those
community members with reduced abilities (eg, ageing residents, people with disabilities,
those with injuries or pregnant women). Signage about park etiquette and responsible pet
ownership would also be great.
More parking spaces
South eastern corner is far too close to the child's playground. Not all children appreciate
dogs.
To have Parking available
Larger space for large dogs
Please please do this I would be here everyday!! I think your plan is fantastic the size of the
proposed areas are really big, well thought through and the location is the best location and
use for the spot. My dogs need an off leash area to exercise and I would be so greatful for
this (1000 D)
A minimum size area for larger dogs of 1 acre (4000 m2)
A minimum size area for smaller dogs of 3/4 acre (3000 m2)
No gated access between smaller-dog-area and larger-dog-area (to avoid mistakes)
Two entry points per each area, on opposite ends of area, with winding path connecting the
two entry points.
Each entry point ideally is an "airlock" ie has 2 gates with "holding" space between gates. Fencing style is ideally one that is not being able to be "climbed out of" ie not a mesh-style
fencing but a vertical-bar style fencing with top and bottom rail, and 150mm concrete strip
at base.
Great plan for the dogs!
Nil toilet facilities at any open park area in Jacaranda Springs. Consider one at this dog park
at the larger park/playground.
Also minimal parking available near this location
It looks like a great plan!
The dog area is not even close to big enough to be able to throw a nap and let the large dogs
truly exercise! You have that entire empty park and only use the area over on the corner
where there is currently a pond! Not big enough at all!!
Security cameras with footage that can be reviewed if there are reportable (serious) issues.
I spent a great deal of time at the Kwinana dog park when it opened and some of the
owners did not control their dogs, resulting in injuries to dogs and owners. Camera fotage
(for serious offences / injuries, or consistently poor behaviour by certain dogs / owners)

ould be reviewed. May also reduce poor owner behaviour within the parks if they knew
here was potential evidence.
water play area
Parking could be an issue
Definitely needed
Nore seats for owners and shaded areas - group areas to sit.
Only the Forrestfield Park plan is of interest to me.
maller dog area could be bigger, but otherwise a great design thank you.
ocation currently houses some undesirable people which leave syringes etc on that park.
lopefully this park will move them on.
small interrogation area would be nice for dog training and socialising them would be
ice.
larger area with more shade would be good.
Vater play area
security camera and lighting set up to monitor access points should there be reason to
evelew in appropriate actions in the area and I am not suggesting between dogs and
where more those people who see fit to use the facility for the purpose it is not built for.
arger area for large dogs if possible. Ensure adequate parking available
nother area of shade and a drinking fountain would be good in the large dog area - to
void having to take your large dog into the small dog area. Otherwise, this looks amazing
hank you
am concerned about the adjoining gates. Will people with large dogs be walking through
he small dog enclosure to get to the big dog enclosure? This is problematic. I would like th
mall dog enclosure to be the same size as the large dog enclosure. Also please consider
aving a fence, one metre gap and then another fence between the two dog enclosures.
rea is not large enough for number of dogs that will be using it
constructed asap!
pond
nother seating area
kids playground
he enclosed area needs to be moved away from the pond. When the pond is full the land
vill soften and the damp areas at the back of the enclosure will become muddy and breed
nsects. Don't make the enclosure smaller move it towards the north eastern side. There's
till plenty of room.
Il the features outlined above would be good
Nore room for the dogs
Infortunately I have not visited the park which is something I need to do. It is important
hat the facility be human friendly as well.
s a resident that lives on Elmore Way, opposite this proposed site, I feel that this plan is
errible.
he park should remain the way that it is.
worry that have amenities in this park, which is next door to the High Wycombe Tavern,
nay encourage antisocial behavior after the pub closes, late at night.
also believe that having half of the park fenced off would be an eye sore for the residents
hat live adjacent to the park.
do not venture to High Wycombe and this park would not interest me, I am more
nterested in the Forrestfield proposal
nother location near Kalamunda town site. Having two Dog Parks in suburbs next to each
ther is ridiculous! Suggest Jorgensen Park as an alternative. I was a Volunteer DEC Snake

Remover for 6 years and there is too many snakes in and around Jorgensen Park. A Dog Park
in this location would reduce dog deaths as a result of snake bites.
More interested in proposed Hartfield Park location
I would prefer the cost of this proposal be used to upgrade the Elmore Way /Chipping Drive
Intersection as it is in my opinion dangerous
Advice to users as to the availability and location of public toilets would be very helpful.
Replace woodchips with something softer for the agility base
A few more trees!
I just love that the idea of finally taking off and I know it will get a huge amount of use in the area! Well overdue. Access to play in a pond would be great too!
Is the entry gate fully accessible eg: is the gate opener at a level that a wheelchair user
could reach or is it high up on the tp of the gate?
Is the park fully accessible for people using mobility aids plus other impairments eg sight?
Just make sure a lot of it will stay grass otherwise it gets so messy and hard to walk around
so people won't end up picking up after their dog
Sufficient parking.
It would be great to have a water area for the dogs to enjoy.
Large sign for dog poop pickup
Large dog area needs to be much larger in size. Also I don't like the segregation between
dog sizes.
Shelter over seats
About time. Would be good to have some areas for dogs to run free but mayst have signage
to discourage unresponsible owners.
More space would be great.
Why can't we use more of the park?
It is hardly used by anyone, waste of space to just use a small corner of it. Seems silly to not
use this valuable land for a worthwhile purpose.
Double the size of the dog run area, big and small dogs love to run.
More seating would be great too, I belong to a dog group and we could use this space
regularly. Just need to be able to sit in a group in the shade.
Love this plan, just needs to be amended.
Please please go ahead with it.
the survey is not well laid out, specially for people who do not agree with fenced in areas
nor the waste of taxpayers hard earned money. I'm completely against fenced in areas in
general as it takes away our freedom of choice. We walk our dog very often at parks and I
can't see any reason for enclosed areas.
Bigger area for small dogs along with grassed area. Don't reinvent the wheel go and have
a look at Harmony Park in Maddington perfect !! maybe a 'people' drinking fountain
closer to sitting area is the only thing I would add. Note separate gates for large and small
dog enrty.
Ample parking, not just for the local community but to accommodate for visitors. Then everyones beloved pets can enjoy the proposed Dog Exercise Parks.
No fenced areas
Dog bags & disposable bins
More trees
Too close to the waterbirds home. Move exercise area to north corner.
Beggars cant be choosers. Concept seems very good, except for the use of woodchips
(splinters in pads) and too close to nesting ducks. Some idiot is bound to let their dog swim
in pond, putting birds at risk. I think nearer to Elmore Way would be better.
A larger area for the larger dogs.
Perhaps some more supplimentary planting - trees and shrubs to provide a more 'natural'
environment.
It would be pleasing if the fencing could be as discreet as possible

Doggy bags and bins for droppings.

Why not take the large dog area out towards the road?, it will then serve larger dogs as an actual exercise area, help so it does not become overcrowded And noting that whole oval is Never used for anything other than walking dogs, use the space effectively!

Additional parking options

Lighting available for winter months

General, The City of Armadale has play equipment/exercise stations, and I've never seen them in use. Why didn't you canvas dog kennels/trainers before you splash out all these thousands?

It appears to be very well considered

## **SECTION 2** Hartfield Park (Federation Gardens) Proposed Concept 10 - 1 Call 2. 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9. 12. 13. a

### **Design Features/Legend**

- Park shelter with seating and drink fountain with tippable dog bowl Dog sand play / dig area; edged with
- a flush 150mm concrete kerb and limestone block seats Circulation footpath surfaced with
- exposed aggregate insitu concrete type 2 Entry Gate Black PVC coated chainlink mesh style
- fencing with top and bottom rail and 150mm concrete strip at base Double access gates for maintenance
- staff
- New Trees
- Double access gates for maintenance staff
- Park shelter with seating and drink fountain with tippable dog bowl Dog agility equipment area surfaced with 10. insitu concrete type 1
- Dog sand play / dig area; edged with a flush 150mm concrete kerb and 11. limestone block seats
- Central gate crossing between the two areas
- Dog agility equipment area surfaced with insitu concrete type 1



















# What else would you like to see for the proposed Hartfield Park Fenced Dog Park?

- '- Grassed open space for throwing ball to dogs
- Access to water play
- Path network to walk dogs off lead
- Retain areas of natural bush land of the area for sensory engagement of dogs
- Have dog training tips & information on signs throughout the park
- Engage with local mobile coffee vendor to attend the venue on a regular basis eg
- Saturday/Sunday morning

Page not found on engage.kalamunda.wa.gov.au - fence height in survey cant be selected Obviously again the bigger the area the better especially for the large dogs as it reduces the number of disagreements

Larger sand play area and a second drink station

These plans look fantastic. The size of the two areas is amazing.

I would like confirmation that we won't lose the rest of the area for off lead exercise also. For dogs that love water play and swimming this would be a major loss to the community if we lost access to the lake area and surrounds. We do not want to be confined to the fenced area only.

Dog Wash, Coin Operated or an area to hand wash dogs

Hartfield Park is ALREADY a dog park and forrestfield is getting a fenced in dog park in that new estate on Cambridge st

Being from High Wycombe I don't have much idea of how central this is to that area. It will be however in an area that is already over provided for and busy as during sporting events. I'd like to see a standardised lead/ identifier system. Green for friendly, amber for wary, red for does not play well with others

I think it would be good to have more than 1 drinking fountain for the dogs.

Am curious why Jorgensen Park was not considered as an option.

Picnic area with BBQ facilities; at least two more weather proof shelters; tethering points for undisciplined dogs; lighting; dog wash station; an allowance for coffee truck parking. I would like to see the existing vegetation retained. Large tree canopies are essential.

Bigger size for large dog exercise area please comparable with Whiteman park please
Ensure 1.8m fences throughout
Shelters orientated for shade at hottest time of day
Human waste bins provided as well as dog waste bins (and bags)
Why are both proposed fenced dog parks in the foothills and none in the hills?
Water play area
Located closer to car parking
More trees please and shade.
Good grassed areas
Shade
Bench seats
It does not need a fence. The concept is far too small. It is perfectly ok as it is.
People cleaning up after their dogs everywhere.
I think overall I like the whole design, it accommodates to shelter for the humans and also
no wood chips for the dogs.
Would suggest a spot for dog bags, and to explore lighting options
Why is the whole park not allowed to continue as an exercise area. If the fenced area
becomes the only " lead off" area then responsible dog owners have lost space. The park
area is used 50/50 dog owners and others. The coexist with no issues.
Doggy Poo Bag Stations
Bigger small dog area, more maintained grass and shelter
Just to make sure that all gates are double gates.
Nothing
Nil
remove the childrens play area
Would love to see somewhere safe to take a greyhound to let them off the leash to run.
Adequate lighting to ensure safety while using the park, outside the summer months it will
be dark by the time most will be home to use the park. Lights at the entry/exit points and at
sheltered areas, it isn't a safe location. The new trees should be kept clear around the base
to reduce leaf little to harbour snakes.
More shade shelters, could be family picnic sort. Also perhaps protection from rain in the
winter for the dog owners.
Dumpage bins and bags located at more than one position to ensure owners pick up after
their friends.
No comment
Larger overall area
Please.
Signs stating if your dog is not social with other dogs then they must remain on a lead
More informal park benches to encourage people to sit more closely to where their dogs are
playing - this is especially important for people who are ageing, have disabilities, have
temporary injuries or are pregnant, and who can't walk far but need to exercise their canine
companions. Also, signage re park etiquette and responsible dog ownership.
More sheltered seating.
More shaded areas, elderly people may take their dogs to play with other dogs and need
ample places and shade to sit down. (seats in the small and large dog enclosures)
Security cameras to record any unsocial behavior of dogs or owners & a sign that states
aggressive dogs must be muzzled off leash.
I wish the area for small dog is bigger.
I wish to see lawn for the small dog area too.
No gated access between smaller-dog-area and larger-dog-area (to avoid mistakes)
Two entry points (minimum) per each area, on opposite ends of area, with winding path
connecting the two (or more) entry points.

Each entry point ideally is an "airlock" ie has 2 gates with "holding" space between gates.
Fencing style is ideally one that is not being able to be "climbed out of" ie not a mesh-style fencing but a vertical-bar style fencing with top and bottom rail, and 150mm concrete strip
at base.
the plan looks really good :)
Security cameras (see previous comment for other park).
Also, 'time out' areas were very helpful at my old dog park, which consist of one or two
smaller fenced areas inside each dog park where dogs could be placed for short periods to
'calm down' if they got overexcited, or could be used for timid dogs who needed to feel safe
and observe before going into the main play area.
I realise it's next to the lake, however a water play area within the enclosure would be a
terrific addition.
Definitely needed
Dog poo bags and disposal bin at gate
Shaded areas needed
Could we please have proper shadow gazebo or some hard form on shelter as from
experience, it's a very sun hit park when there is not many trees that side of the park.
experience, it's a very sum in park when there is not many trees that side of the park.
Also it desperately needs public toilets as the dog park is growing and many people are
spending more quality time there. Adding in all of the new fire pit and seating under the
trees, you can't spend as much time as one would like due to a bathroom factor.
Seating for adults
Lighting and security
Ensuring adequate parking available in the area.
Free biodegradable poop bags at the entrances would be lovely!
Water play area
A double fence with a good gap between the small dog area and large dog area as from
experience my dog loves running up to and staring at dogs or sometimes barking at dogs in
the small dog area. This way the dogs can't get at each other through the fence.
More seating around the fence line as from experience at Harmony Fields it can get
crowded at certain times and not all owners like to sit together
Area is not large enough for number of dogs utilising it
Unsure about parking facilities at this location?
more is better
Water play area for the dogs
More seating. larger areas for both sized dogs
No fenced in area. Put the sand pit, shade area, water fountain and agility equipment in but
don't fence it off.
I would appreciate councilors actually coming to the park to speak directly with dog owners
and see first hand how the park is currently used.
In principal, I welcome the proposed concept plan and the additional facilities provided.
However, my concern is that the area surrounding the lake should remain an off lead dog
area as there are many dogs that already utilise this area and have hours of enjoyment
swimming in the lake. As you are aware, the proposed area does not include the lake.
Access to the lake (off lead) is especially important during the summer months for dogs to
enjoy and cool off, particularly when a lot of other places in the Shire are off limits due to
extreme heat, potential of bush fires and snakes with beaches being quite a drive away.
Forrestfield dog park already works really well and is enjoyed by dogs and owners alike so I
would be strongly opposed to any development that would take access to the lake away in
an off lead capacity.
access to lake and surrounding bush for good sniff around
BBQ Facility??

A water trough so that the dogs can splash in.
Perfect location and big enough. High wycombe one is too small
This area is grossly undersized. The Council already reduced the size of the dog park when
they chopped down Australian Native trees to make way for the Hockey Ovals. Now you
want to take away more open space. I have had many dogs since moving to the City of
Kalamunda 26 years ago. I pay my rates and all my dogs have been registered with the city.
Why is the council becoming so dog unfriendly? Have you not heard they are mans best
friend
More sheltered areas in case of bad weather.
A dog wash area would be a good option as most dogs get pretty filthy when taking them to
the dog park. Can be situated just outside the main entrance and a paid service if you need
to make some money off of it.
Refer comments under Elmore Park.
Having two dog parks in suburbs next to each other is ridiculousthe Media will have a
great time demonstrating this ridiculous proposal. Refer Jorgenson Park comments under
Elmore Park
lighting for evening use
Perhaps the small dog area could be a little bigger.
Advice to users as to the location/availability of public toilets would be of benefit.
We are against the fenced dog park as we have been taking our dog (previously dogs) to the
off lead area for 10 years now. Currently there is a lovely group of people and dogs who
meet there most evenings and the dogs play together and the people socialize. There are big
dogs, medium and little dogs all playing together and this would not be possible with the
separate fenced areas. Our dog is a medium size so where does he go? Part of taking our
dog to the off lead area is that we get exercise while walking 4-5 laps of the pond. Walking
around a small fenced area would not benefit the humans. When the dogs get hot or thirsty
they can swim in the pond, which is good exercise for them too.
More space. particularly for small dogs.
Small dogs like to run and chase balls as well as large dogs.
I don't want to see Hatfield fenced. The appeal of taking my dogs there, who are 2 kelpie
crosses who need space to run, is that I can enjoy a walk around the lake while they have
plenty of space to run and explore and can swim in the lake. The concept plan has the
fenced areas much too small for large dogs to get sufficient exercise plus blocks access to
the lake. I feel the money would be better spent improving the current facilities - more dog
bins along the park, drink fountains, better care of the grass which is always dried up,
repairing the foot path and completing the logged yarning circle which looks completely
unfinished. The park, since it's more or less surrounded by bush, is essentially fenced
already. If the addition of the fenced dog areas go ahead, reducing the space my dogs can
run and play and restricting their access to the lake, I will no longer be using this park.
Ensure surfaces are soft underfoot for dogs and will not be too hot to walk on in the
summer.
Water play area for the puppers similar to jets of water that bobble up out of the ground
(recycled water)
I would like to see this park kept the way it is!! Why add more fences? Will the dogs be free
to run around the whole area as they do now?
Is the entry gate fully accessible eg: is the gate opener at a level that a wheelchair user
could reach or is it high up on the tp of the gate?
Is the park fully accessible for people using mobility aids plus other impairments eg sight?
Parking
A lot more space
I would like to see off lead access to and around the lake in combination with the Fenced
areas, giving dog owners the choice to go off lead in either areas. Swimming is a great way
a cas, gring dog owners the time to go on lead in the a cas. Swimming is a great way

to exercise dogs who are kept in small yards all day, I do not want to loose this option to

allow dogs access to the lake. Having the option to walk around the lake with my dog also	
allows me to enjoy Federation Gardens and get much needed exercise myself. We will be	
left to just stand around in a fenced area.	
More turf/grass	
Less dirt	
More trees if possible	
A bigger small dog enclosure- just because they're small doesn't mean they don't like to ru	n
around	
As previous comments	
Once again, why plan it so small?	
Not all dogs socialise with others, they need to be able to retreat to a quiet spot.	
My older dog is blind, so is anxious around others. He loves to get out though and have a	
good free range sniff around, in a safe area.	
The rest of the park needs more seating and shade and the lake needs more attention	
during summertime.	
no fence, no restrictions, access to the lake for a dog swim	
Samee comments as Maida Vale site please cut and paste.	
This is the only off leash dog park in forrestfield, and the whole park should stay as off least	sh
even if there is a enclosed area.	
Fence the park - provide small dog enclosure and leave dogs to roam and enjoy trees and	
bushes - rather than a vanilla boring park where they are trapped	
Shade and a water fountain are all I can say would be useful.	
My dog loves the lake for swimming	
My only concern about Hartfield Park, is the snake risk	
Fenced lake/pond	
Everything is covered	
A 50m, open air, heated April-Nov swimming pool	
Dogs still permitted to be walked off load around lake/swim and fetch ball in water. Park is	
very hot in summer months and one of its best features is water play for dogs as our subu	rb
is situated far from water.	
Doggy bags and bins for droppings.	
Perhaps some form of lighting so the park can still be used at night/very early morning	
All gates to be self closing	
We would like to see the lake either incorporated into the design or a water play area for	
both size dogs	
I am not in favor of fencing the dog area at all! Do not agree with the installation of dog	
agility equipment. The existing area is usable but you are proposing to reduce the usable	
area by at least 70%. I very strongly suggest that more covered seating be installed at the	
existing park.	
I consider this project ill advised. In my 30 odd years of dog ownership Iv'e never felt the	
need for sand pits; play areas; tunnels etc. The cost must be prohibitive and were I the	
Mayor, I would recommend expenditure on conservation.	



#### SECTION 3: About you and your dog

I am thrilled to see a plan is in place for creating fenced dog exercise areas in the City of Kalamunda. Pets are an important part of peoples lives and therefore the community. With increased access to safe exercise areas for dogs in our community it will greatly impact on the social capital in the City of Kalamunda.

the pages on the dog parks don't work on the system

Love that there will be an enclosed dog park

I think both locations would be a fantastic addition to the city, but the larger proposed area would give a better exercise opportunity for large dogs especially.

Enclosed dog parks are great.

I really hope these areas go ahead, they look excellent and are really needed. We often drive to Riverside Gardens in Bayswater to exercise our dog and would love to not have to drive there a few times a week. Thank you.

Why are we bothering with spending so much money on something like this? Is it necessary? Lots of people use Hartfield park now as it is for dog exercise and it seems to work, perhaps small dog owner may be a bit overwhelmed about running their dogs with big dogs but there are also a lot of smaller dogs that play happily with big dogs. Could this just be a major waste of money? By all means extend the irrigation to provide an irrigated surface instead of dead grass, provide shade shelters and a dog bowl, but fencing the whole area is extremely excessive, and also has me worried that by introducing a fenced area for dogs that the council will then restrict us access to the rest of Hartfield park, especially the lake area. Do we really need agility equipment? Have you considered a set of swings for kids to play on instead of wasting money on fencing? it's a shame that both fenced areas are down the hill, as a Lesmurdie resident it would be ideal if we could not walk to a dog area then atleast have a short drive. I believe it would be better to have one up the hill and another down the hill.

High Wycombe needs a fenced in dog park. The biggest dog park at Matthew Gibney is shared with a kids sports playing field I'm sure they love playing near dog poo and urine. And again same problem if water is near by labradors go in it, no stopping them. Labradors are the number 1 family friendly dog and you're trying to make family friendly suburbs correct? So cater to families!!

Would love to see the dog park in High Wycombe as I don't drive I could walk to it

Can we please have a fenced dog exercise park in either Kalamunda, Lesmurdie, Walliston? (or anywhere up the hill)

First I have heard of the dog parks being fenced etc. I have lived in the area for 40 years and attend many community functions but this is the first time I have heard of this. who was involved in the research?

I really really really hope this happens.

Once again it appears that Kalamunda has missed out on a facility that could be used by Kalamunda residents. Whilst both Forrestfield and High Wycombe are deserving suburbs, these two areas appear to be the recipients of favourable treatment in terms of facilities that are lacking "up the hill". With the closure of the Kalamunda Pool in the not too distant future, Kalamunda itself finds itself further lacking in recreational activities, much to the local population, both young and old.

A dog park close to the environs of Kalamunda CBD could be another tourist attraction, similar to Whiteman Park, for local business and the Bickley/Carmel area and thus create yet another reason for those from the "flats" to visit one of WA's best kept secrets in terms of tourism.

Why does this plan involve potentially expanding a grassed area? Could we not plant more drought resistant plants and retain the existing vegetation? Trees are necessary for other critters, dogs are going to be visitors not the primary residents of the park. Also, dogs like to pee on trees. Keep the trees and this will become an excellent from me.

Excellent idea to use otherwise under utilised area especially on Elmore Way

I like the proposal of fenced dog parks as they are used not only for exercise but for training in a secure area and owners are publicly responsible for cleaning up after their dogs Community Dog clubs training mornings could also use the space.

The new Community Centre building is starting in 2020 in Jorgenson Park. A fenced dog area for training would be ideal in that location and needed just as much as foothills. Concern for distance from car parks and hence security

We and the dogs use this park a lot it's very convenient and is one of there favourite places. What I would be concerned over is, will dogs be restricted to the fenced area only or would the rest of the park be free as is now? We lost a lot of space when the hockey fields were developed, now that is a great facility but I can't for the life of me understand why its so big and seems under-utilised and consuming vast amounts of water we could take some of it back plant a some more trees to make up for those pushed over during the development? I would like that the existing off lead excercise area to stay that way, and the shire not now make the only off lead area be the fenced area . if the existing area was to become on lead only scrap the plan totally . An excellent initiative. Agility and sand pit good, might be worth 2 sand pits on each area, they get full Would love to see the Forrestfield dog park, we have so many nice parks in the area but just missing a dog park. There are lots of dogs in the suburb so everyone would benefit and utilise it. There are so many dogs in the area that would thouroughly enjoy this park daily, please make this concept a reality I hope you make both parks Looking forward to seeing the end results The Forrestfield area looks like it is more shady than the High Wycombe one, which would be better especially in the warmer months. They are both good really but possibly the Forrestfield one looks a little bit better. This is such a great idea and long overdue for having a fenced dog park. Myself and my family can't wait for it to actually happen, oh and the dogs too. Thanks and look forward to being able to take our dogs somewhere where they can be let off and run. I like how big the proposed Hartfield dog park is, but the High Wycombe park would be much for convenient. Also, Hartfield park is already a good dog park, as it is set well back from the road, so is safe for dogs to be off lead without a fence, where as the off lead dog parks in High Wycombe are all close to roads, so a fenced park would be amazing I would prefer the park to be in High Wycombe so I can walk there more shade is required Appreciate the consideration to create somewhere for our fur grand babies to socialise and exercise. Not at this time It seems to be a little out of the way and would only benefit people that either live down that way or take their kids to sport on the weekend - it needs to be more in the middle of Forrestfield - like Pioneer Park on Dawson Avenue and then turn that area into a family friendly picnic area as well as a dog park. No It would be great to also install park benches at Flora Terrace dog park to encourage local residents to exercise their dogs more regularly (particularly aged residents and those unable to walk/stand for long periods). Signage also at Flora Terrace to tell people where the off lead dog park section is - we have been shouted at more than once by people for having our dog off lead, and we regularly see dogs off lead in the wrong (western) section of the park. Enclosed dog parks are great, and we WILL use them, but basic facilities at parks closer to home will encourage more regular dog exercise and reduce problem behaviours in local dogs. The park is in an excellent area . There is a conflict when taking our dog there on a lead and other dogs are not on leads. Make sure there is enough shade provided for dogs. Otherwise wonderful idea! After having my dog attacked twice recently, I am concerned that if too many dogs are contained in a considerably smaller area than before, that submissive dogs would find it threatening. Also some owners have both a small & a large dog making walking them an issue. Will we still be able to walk our dogs outside of these areas either on or off leash? There is already a good dog park at Hartfield so i would prefer to see the one at high Wycombe in my local area on Elmore so I wouldn't need to go all the way to forrestfield and I can walk my dogs to the park that is really important to me. Please do the one at Elmore and I would love to have more information about when that is going ahead and to ensure that it is constructed asap Love it in High Wycombe

Great news to hear that Kalamunda is planning to build its own enclosed dog exercise areas !! Once the suburbs "down the hill" have their enclosed dog exercise areas, we hope the next two enclosed dog exercise yards will be planned for "up the hill".

no other comments, these are great initiatives

Consider specific rules / guidelines for owners who have dogs of mixed sizes or with special needs. At a previous dog park I visited often, I used the small dog area as I had a young, small dog and an old, large dog. The large dog was well known by most visitors in the small dog area, and this area better suited the temperament of both my dogs, but some owners 'told me off' for bringing a large dog into the small dog area. If I entered the large dog area, I was 'told off' because my smaller dog was 'too delicate' for this area. Also, the large dog area got quite rough with lots of very large, strong, uncontrolled dogs and many owners feared for their dogs' well-being.

Perhaps rename the areas as 'general play' and 'quiet play' areas, with guidelines to say that quieter / older / special needs / more timid dogs are welcome in the smaller area regardless of size.

Dogs all have to be sociable and under effective control anyway - it is just that some larger dogs can play faster and harder, which may be too much for other dogs, regardless of size.

For the Agility area, I would prefer to see something other than concrete or aggregate as this gets too hot in Summer for the dogs feet.

Facility could be larger given the available land in the area

Very excited about seeing this come to fruition

An excellent concept, can't wait for it to happen. I exercise my dogs at Ledger Rd reserve where they can be off lead in winter but am not happy to allow them off lead up there in summer and don't trust them not to run onto roads in local dog parks. So they have to be on lead in summer. You could look at fencing Progress Park in high wycombe which already has shade and only needs fences on two sides

In the Hartfield Park proposal, it suggests there may be an irrigated section. Since you have already put the new hockey oval in, the lakes water level has never been so low, and the mushrooms growing on the hockey oval lawn in summer indicate overwatering.

I suggest you just leave Hartfield Park as is, before you destroy the native land. Concerned citizen

Both High Wycombe and Forestfield need this

Need plenty of shaded areas.

Thank you for asking for feedback and making this happen for dog owners.

Great to see development but quality of life for the public would need to see public toilets to improve it. We'd really like to see the walk areas around the lake remain an off lead walk area. The animals learn a lot from being able to sniff the natural smells and have a good run.

I prefer ensfield

Fantastic ideas - looking forward to using them!

I think providing more dog off lead areas is a great idea but you also need to be enforcing the 'on lead' dog areas too. Most of the time when I go to 'on lead' parks my dogs are the only dogs on lead and I constantly have people's 'off lead' dogs running up to us. This can be very uncomfortable for dogs on lead as they have no where to go if the off lead dog is threatening. Some dogs are also reactive to other dogs and people go to these 'on lead' parks to exercise their dogs expecting some peace and quiet but now every park seems to be considered 'off lead' which is also why the dog fouling is getting out of control.. I see people all the time walking along staring at their phones while their dogs are off bothering other dogs and fouling the area in 'on lead' places.. The owners are obliviously (sometimes I think on purpose). While providing more off lead areas is super can you please therefore clamp down on off lead dogs in on lead areas and on people letting their dogs foul everywhere and not picking up. That would be super.

Looks amazing!

I take my dog to federation gardens every day and have done for 3 years. It's a friendly environment and the dogs love it. Putting the enclosures in the park would be very devastating and upsetting for myself and many other dog walkers as we love the park the way it is.

My dog enjoys the Federation garden as is, I feel a fenced dog park within it will reduce the enjoyment she gets of having such a large existing area to run and play ball. All dog owners I've met there are good and respectful. Personally I feel perhaps just fencing the perimeter of the whole garden would be a better option.





As a resident that overlooks the park - and paid a substantial amount of money for the amenity and aesthethic value of a the location - having a large fenced dog park my concern is how well will it be maintained?

more benefit to everyone? We currently use the old rubbish tip park on Forrestfield, is this area going to be upgraded too. It is such a large area not really used to its full potentional Listen to other majority No one wants this
Waste of money = put more bins Enclose/fence whole park and leave the bush & trees. This park is the reason we returned to Forrestfield
<ul> <li>'I have been attending this park for 15 years with my dogs and we have always enjoyed the freedom and the environment of walking around the lake with my dogs exploring and sniffing all around.</li> <li>I do not feel the need to change this area. The whole reason I attend this park daily is due to my dogs being locked in a fenced yard all day so our favourite part of the park is walking around freely (off lead) and explorir and meeting new dogs and catching up with friends here.</li> <li>My next issue is that I have a small and a large dog so I would not be attending this park anymore (If this wa to go ahead) as I wouldn't be able to have my dogs off lead together. Which is the whole reason I attend the park.</li> </ul>
- In the years that I have been attending, I have not had any issues with any of the other owners or Dogs they all get along.
All that equipment is great however dogs just like to run or play with other dogs. My dog loves the lake area for swimming.
Is this Shire seriously going to provide "agility" equipment for pets? How about bringing the swimming pool into the 21st Century - or better still, if Shire has spare funds it could donate it to Kalamunda SHS - a derelict school for our community's children.
Dogs currently have more free space to play and run at full extension in current park. Will access be maintained to lake area? Concerned that my dog will have better and more modern play facilities in our subut than our children! Where is our new children's nature play park that was promised, large enough for all kids in our community? Not just a mandated small new park in a new subdivision. Our City sadly lags behind other areas such as City of Belmont.
Not sure about the fencing. Glad no wood chips, think you should not have any wood chips at High Wycombe either. I owned a Beagle for 9 years and could not ever let him off a lead, so think it is great that this Shire is finally making fenced areas for dogs to run and play with other dogs. Hooooray. My do has passed, but will granother one in the near future.
Please build Both parks to accommodate rate payers dogs efficiently, considering kalamunda shire has the strictest rules for dogs amongst neighboring suburbs, both these parks need to be built to accommodate residents exercising dogs without having to travel large distances. Please make the parks big enough to actually exercise larger dogs, half the area in winter is lake/ swamp.
I dont believe the sand pit is required and will be a maintenance nightmare.
I think using the irrigated turf in the large dog area is an excellent idea.
All dogs to be wearing collars and on a leash from the car park to the play area, and checked now and then Will the lake be available for use by the dogs to swim????? Very important!!!
More covered seating as this park is used by a lot of senior citizens and we have trouble dodging between the raindrops.
Would it be economical to have a coin operated dog wash facility? Is this a precurser to mandatory lead use in
non-fenced areas? I think this is an excellent proposal that will increase community engagement and improve safety and health i the area.