

Draft Local Planning Strategy – Wattle Grove

Submission	Details	Comments
1.	<p>Victoria Road Wattle Grove</p>	<p>Strongly Agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I feel that aged accommodation will benefit as locals age without relocating from area. 2. It is essential to have some orchard and special rural areas retained and some rezoned to cater for demand of housing. 3. Growth and expansion is inevitable as the population progresses. 4. The urban design and streetscape are very appropriate for the selected areas. 5. I agree with the estimates for population projections and future growth. 6. It is necessary to supply housing development for the expanding demand. 7. The sustainable development based on the Shire’s Biodiversity Strategy is well thought out. 8. The Public Transport improvements to Perth are imperative with growing population. 9. Tourism is good for the area. 10. The more community infrastructure and facilities there are the better.

			<p>particularly for the Shire. In particular, the problem of securing an adequate bus service from the Hills suburbs to Perth is an issue of concern for local residents and needs to be addressed. The Shire is actively pursuing a rail link option from Perth Airport to the eastern suburbs. This would open up rapid bus links and improved cycling facilities options to a station in Forrestfield or High Wycombe.</p> <p>12. Tourism is an important element of the draft Strategy. Planning for sustainable tourism is one of the key goals of the Shire as it can make a significant contribution to the local economy. There are a number of potential business attractions, natural and historical sites that need to be promoted. The Hills Orchard areas offer great potential for further tourism related development.</p> <p>13. The draft Community Facilities Plan will establish a 20 year plan with the aim of obtaining a detailed understanding of the current and future community facilities needs of the Shire and develop a clear direction to guide the provision of the facilities in the future.</p>
2.	<p>██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aged accommodation is a needed thing in the correct location. The location proposed is not the best location that is available. 2. The Special Rural areas and Orchard areas are to be maintained as such. Listen to what the people whom are directly affected want. 3. Wattle Grove should only be urbanized if the majority of the population want it. I am against it and would like the area to be left as Rural. 4. The housing development should be open and transparent. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The draft Strategy identifies a number of potential sites for aged accommodation. Some of these are located on privately owned land and some on reserved land. The timing as to when these sites will be developed will depend on a number of factors, these include when the landowners, developers, State and Local government are in a position to undertake the planning process necessary to develop the sites. 4. The draft Strategy proposes to review the Hills Orchard Area with a view to consider possible

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. I am not sure if the Shire has any say in the public transport to Perth. 6. More Community Infrastructure and Facilities are needed for the new Wattle Grove area. 7. I disagree because the Shire of Kalamunda appears to not listen to the affected households with regards to issues in the Wattle Grove East proposals. 	<p>reduction in the minimum lot size for orcharding areas where it can be demonstrated that such reductions in the lot size will not affect the viability of the orcharding industry.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. The intent of the draft Strategy is to establish the strategic direction of the Shire over the next 20 years during which time the population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million and 3.5 million by 2056. From a planning perspective, Wattle Grove is considered suitable for future urban development given the area is not removed from the urban front, has access to services, infrastructure, is located only 20 minutes from Perth and has access to existing and future employment base around Perth Airport. Based on these attributes it is considered that the Wattle Grove area represents a sustainable development option. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8. 8. Noted, refer Comments 1.10.10 9. If zonings change it will be up to individual land owners to implement or retain the larger land holdings.
<p>3.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Strongly Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I strongly disagree with putting industrial area on Welshpool Road, as it will increase congestion to an already busy area as well as noise and pollution. Keep it at the airport where does not affect anyone. 2. I strongly disagree because the whole attraction of the area is because it is total and putting in Industrial area will change the whole feel of area and what attracts people to the area as well as increasing noise, pollution and traffic congestion. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If the land is zoned for industrial purposes appropriate buffers will be identified and traffic management investigated. 2. The subject land abuts land located in the City of Gosnells which has been identified under the Maddington Kenwick Strategy. Given the potential impacts associated with commercial vehicles accessing Welshpool Road East via Coldwell and Brook Street affected residents expressed some interest in having the land located in the Shire of Kalamunda being also considered as future

<p>4.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Strongly agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Shire of Kalamunda’s residents need to have a variety of options and choices for aged accommodation. 2. The future to rural and orchard areas is limited to hilly areas 3. The Shire of Kalamunda needs more workers and they have to live in affordable homes close to major arteries. Wattle Grove and High Wycombe can be rezoned to provide these things easily. 4. The urban design and streetscape proposals are ok. 5. The high growth proposal is the ideal one. 6. I agree with increasing housing development areas as per the proposal. 7. The remaining points on the pro forma are all ok. 	<p>Industrial.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 2.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 2.2.2. 3. A housing strategy will be developed as a separate process for existing and proposed urban areas. 4. Noted, refer Comments 1.4.4. 5. The various population scenarios will be considered by Council; however the recommended population growth scenario is Option 3 growth of 25,000 by 2031. 6. Noted. 7. Noted.
<p>5.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree/neutral</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increased aged care provisions are required within the Shire, particularly permanent care. 2. The special rural areas and the orchards need to be protected. They are part of the natural environment and bio diversity of the area and home to many species of flora and fauna. 3. Industrial growth and the Perth airport growth are inevitable. The question is the impact on surrounding area and pressure on infrastructure. 4. The Shire is doing well with the Urban Design and Streetscape already and will undoubtedly continue to do so. 5. The housing density is too high. Let’s have larger lot sizes in keeping with the country atmosphere. 6. I don’t use public transport regularly and finding adequate for my needs at present. 7. People and tourists come to the Hills drawn by the natural environment. 8. The Shire does well with the provision of community 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Noted, refer Comments 2.1.1 3. Noted, refer Comments 2.2.2 and 1.7.7. 4. The proposed Forrestfield/High Wycombe industrial area represents a key strategic site given its proximity to Perth Airport and the Forrestfield Marshalling Yards. The areas is well contained by Roe Highway to the east, Poison Gully to the North, the airport to the west and existing industrial land to the south. It is acknowledged that an appropriate transition and buffer will have to be provided as part of the structure plan process to Poison Gully. Main Roads will need to consider the improving the intersection of Berkshire Road and Roe Highway to assist traffic movements in the area. 5. Noted. 6. The Strategy provides for a broad range of lot sizes through the introduction of the residential bushland zone into the foothills providing for

		<p>infrastructure and will undoubtedly continue to do so.</p> <p>9. The wife disagrees with the proposed urban development of Wattle Grove development east of Tonkin Highway and the semi-rural lifestyle should be maintained.</p>	<p>2000sqm and 4000sqm lots. Combine this with the proposed urban areas of Maida Vale south and Wattle Grove will offer a range of housing opportunities for the community.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Tourism and the opportunities that exist for passive and active recreation in Kalamunda is an important element of the draft Strategy.</p> <p>9. Noted, refer Comments 1.10.10.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 2.3.3.</p>
<p>6.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Strongly agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aged Accommodation is very necessary. I don't believe that this area is keeping up with the growing population. 2. Current owners of rural lots should not be forced to sell. 3. Industry is too close to living areas. 4. Urban Design should be open and rural in feel. Tree scapes should be encouraged. 5. Population & Future Growth should be left to experts in the field. 6. Housing Development should be left to experts in the field. 7. Sustainable Development should be left to experts in the field. 8. Public Transport to Perth should be improved. 9. I am open to suggestions in regard to Tourism Development Opportunities. 10. Community Infrastructure should be a consultive matter. <p>I believe we are very well represented by good and competent people and that the future at the district is in very good hands.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer 2.1.1. 2. Owners will not be forced to dispose of land if zonings are changed. 3. Noted, refer Comments 5.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 1.4.4. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 1.7.7. 8. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8. 9. Noted, refer Comments 1.9.9. 10. The draft Community Facilities Plan will establish a 20 year plan with the aim of obtaining a detailed understanding of the current and future community facilities needs of the Shire and develop a clear direction to guide the provision of the facilities in the future. Importantly the plan went through an extensive public consultation process including public workshops where the community was invited to comment on the plan.

7.	Gillings Parade Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We support the aged accommodation development especially in the Wattle Grove area as it is near all facilities. 2. We need industrial development in the area s we already have major highways nearby. 3. The Shire’s work of public open space in Wattle Grove is excellent and Gillings Parade needs to be brought up to the same standard. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 2.1.1. 2. The proposed Forrestfield/High Wycombe industrial area represents a key strategic site given its proximity to Perth Airport and the Forrestfield Marshalling Yards. The area will provide employment opportunities for the local community and drive demand for housing in the area. 3. The proposed improvements to the public open space area in Wattle Grove represent a long term commitment by the Shire to provide local residents with an appropriate level of amenity. Gillings Parade is located in Area 3 which will receive some improvements for the 2011/2012 year.
8.	Courtney Place	<p>Agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We need more aged care facilities in the area. 2. Encourage rezoning of pockets of Special Rural Areas to allow for industry in sync with MKSEA 3. Industrial Growth & Airport: Positive move 4. New subdivisions need to be made to improve Streetscapes. 5. We need to address young families in the area and need to increase available land and facilities. 6. More land needs to be released and more opportunities to subdivide need to be explored. 7. Public transport is reasonable, but services could be extended. 8. More community infrastructure is needed in Wattle Grove. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 2.2.1. 2. Land identified to the south of Welshpool Road East has been identified as future industry due to the planning currently being undertaken by the City of Gosnells as part of the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area. 3. Noted. 4. Urban design and streetscape for the commercial areas of the Shire, i.e. Kalamunda Town Centre is an important element of the Strategy and is reflected in the townscape improvement plans currently being prepared for a number of commercial centres in the Shire. These guidelines include the provision of street trees which given the move towards smaller lots take on added importance with the ‘greening’ of the streetscapes. The greening of the streetscapes will be implemented for existing and future residential areas.

			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. The identification of new urban areas in Maida Vale South and Wattle Grove will provide housing opportunities in areas which are considered to have more affordable land in the Perth Metropolitan Region. 6. Noted, refer Comments 8.5.5. 7. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8 8. The draft Community Facilities Plan will establish a 20 year plan with the aim of obtaining a detailed understanding of the current and future community facilities needs of the Shire and develop a clear direction to guide the provision of the facilities in the future. The existing urban area of Wattle Grove is currently 60% developed, however it is expected over the next 2 to 3 years the majority of the area will be developed for residential housing and a shopping centre and associated specialty shops and community facilities will also be developed.
9.	Johnson Place Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We have enough aged accommodation but we need more home support for aged people so they can remain in their homes. 2. Do not rezone to urban, this is only to allow large aged care development like on Gavour Road. 3. Provide better shopping areas 4. Increase bus transport as it is very bad at the moment 5. Increase infrastructure to Wattle Grove with parks for children to play in. <p>I disagree because Wattle Grove should be rural.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Given the aging of the population over the next 20 years there is a general recognition that there is a need to diversify the housing stock in all localities of the Shire to cater for more compact housing for older members of the community. The draft Strategy identifies a number of potential sites for aged accommodation. Some of these are located on privately owned land and some on reserved land. 2. The issue of Scheme amendment 18 will be dealt with a separate issue to that of the draft Strategy. The focus of the Strategy is to plan the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years. Irrespective of the proposal for Gavour Road the draft Strategy would still recommend that the Wattle Grove area be identified as future urban.

			<p>3. There are no retail shops proposed in the new industrial area. A structure plan has been prepared for the Forrestfield Forum shopping Centre as a designated Activity Centre under the State governments Planning Policy 4.2 . The intent of the plan is to guide future development and the renewal of the shopping centre.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 8.10.10.</p>
<p>10.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aged Accommodation has to be near shopping centres, public transport, doctors and hospitals 2. The land east of Tonkin Hwy is to remain rural. Too many trucks and buses. 3. Keep the Industrial Growth and the Airport out of the rural areas. 4. We need parks for the children to play in small lot subdivisions. 5. Population & Future Growth depends on the mining boom and China's inflation will eventually hit them. 6. Bigger blocks would be better. 7. More sport venues would be great for children. 8. Public Transport to Perth needs to be improved. 9. Tourism Development Opportunities are not to impact on peoples lifestyles. 10. We need more parks in newly developed areas. <p>I strongly disagree with the proposed age care facility east of Tonkin Hwy in Wattle Grove.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Commercial vehicle parking can be considered in the existing Special Rural zone. 3. Typically zoning for industrial development would be considered based on the attributes and constraints of the land, not the underlying zoning at the time. Accordingly, the proposed Forrestfield/High Wycombe industrial area represents a key strategic site given its proximity to Perth Airport and the Forrestfield Marshalling Yards. The area will provide employment opportunities for the local community and drive demand for housing in the area. 4. The provision of open space is identified a structure plan stage and provided when residential land is subdivided. 5. Noted. 6. The draft Strategy provides for a range of lot sizes in the foothills through the introduction of the residential bushland and urban development zone affording new families a range of lifestyle opportunities. 7. The draft Community Facilities Plan will establish a 20 year plan with the aim of obtaining a detailed understanding of the current and future community facilities needs of the Shire and

			<p>develop a clear direction to guide the provision of the facilities in the future. The location of new passive and active recreational facilities will be considered as part of the structure plan process.</p> <p>8. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8.</p> <p>9. Sustainable tourism development is the key.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 10.7.7.</p>
11.	Easterbrook Place Wattle Grove	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aged Accommodation needs to be near shops, medical and social facilities. 2. As the Shire is close to the city, the future rural areas could become an asset to the Shire as a sought after area. 3. Need to look at reducing the fire risk in the town of Kalamunda. 4. Bigger is not always better or more economic. 5. I don't support high density. 6. Encourage renewable energy and building of passive housing. 7. Public Transport to Perth needs improvement 8. Offer something different in regards to Tourism Development Opportunities. 9. Don't overdo Community Infrastructure. <p>I disagree because Kalamunda and the surrounding hills can be developed into a unique area and not another overpopulated suburbia.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. The proximity of the special rural areas in the foothills to Perth also offer opportunities for alternate land use consideration. 3. Fire and mitigation measures will need to be addressed for all urban areas in the Shire. 4. The population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million over the next 20 years. The Shire of Kalamunda like all local authorities is expected to accommodate some measure of this predicted growth. 5. Noted. 6. The Shire is implementing a number of sustainable development initiatives through projects such as Solar Cities and Water Campaign projects. In addition passive solar design initiatives are being implemented through the Residential Planning Codes and Building Code of Australia. 7. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8. 8. Tourism is an important element of the draft Strategy. Planning for sustainable tourism is one of the key goals of the Shire as it can make a significant contribution to the local economy. There are a number of potential business attractions, natural and historical sites that need to be promoted. The Hills Orchard areas offer great potential for further tourism related

			development. 9. Noted.
12.		Disagree 1. It is great that there are parks in new developments. But it is not so good that they are all next to stormwater drains. 2. I would like to see a development of rail link to the CBD. Buses should run more often especially at night. 3. I am worried that there seems to be no plan for local small businesses like local shops.	1. Some areas of open space are required to have the dual function of recreation and drainage on the proviso that the drainage function is still designed as useable public open space. 2. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8. 3. Retail and other commercial opportunities will be identified at the structure plan stage of the planning process.
13.	The Promenade Wattle Grove	Agree 1. Cycling paths need to be constructed. 2. Parks and public open spaces in Wattle Grove need improvement.	1. Multi use paths are provided for typically at the structure plan or subdivision stage of the planning process. 2. A landscape Master Plan is currently being developed for the Wattle Grove Urban Area U9. The plan will guide improvements to the public open space over the next two years.
14.	St John Road Wattle Grove	Disagree 1. It is a big need for people living here as they need to be close to family 2. Leave the rural areas as they are. 3. There are too many trucks clogging up out streets. 4. More trees, footpaths and seating facilities. 5. Lots seem to become too small 6. Most people don't use public transport. 7. People have not enough say on the decisions made regarding Community Infrastructure. I disagree because I think that people need asked before decisions are made.	1. Noted, refer Comments 2.1.1. 2. The population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million over the next 20 years. The Shire of Kalamunda like all local authorities is expected to accommodate some measure of this predicted growth. The proximity of the special rural areas in the foothills to Perth offers opportunities for alternate land use consideration. 3. The Forrestfield/High Wycombe industrial area has been identified by the State government as a key site to accommodate freight movements due to its proximity to air, rail and road links. 4. Noted, refer Comments 1.4.4. 5. Small lot development in locations close to

			<p>transport, shops and community facilities is a fundamental element of all planning strategies. Affords the community with choice in housing options.</p> <p>6. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8. 7. Noted, refer Comments 6.10.10.</p>
15.	Emanuel Court Wattle Grove	Strongly agree	1. Noted.
16.	██████████ ██████████	<p>Agree</p> <p>1. The sooner we can get more Aged Accommodation the better. 2. I agree with the Urban Design & Streetscape proposal. 3. I agree with the Population & Future Growth proposal. 4. I agree with the Housing Development proposal. 5. I agree with the Sustainable Development proposal. 6. I agree with the Public Transport to Perth proposal. 7. I agree with the Community Infrastructure proposal.</p>	<p>1. Noted, refer Comments 2.2.1. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 6.10.10.</p>
17.	Kelvin Road Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <p>1. I am opposed to the loss of the rural areas in Wattle Grove to future use as urban growth and low density urban areas.</p> <p>I strongly disagree because I think that the vision is short sighted as it will lead to the loss of the special rural areas in Wattle Grove which are unique assets.</p>	<p>1. The population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million over the next 20 years and 3.5 million by 2050. The Shire of Kalamunda like all local authorities is expected to accommodate some measure of this predicted growth. The proximity of the special rural areas in the foothills to Perth offers opportunities for sustainable urban development offering the community with a range of lot sizes and lifestyle opportunities.</p> <p>The Strategy provides the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years, which will retain 74% of the Shire as endemic vegetation.</p>
18.	Gavour Road Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <p>1. Aged care accommodation should not be the number</p>	1. The draft Strategy identifies a number of potential

		<p>one priority but aged care nursing homes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The Future Special Rural Areas should be left as they are for the next generation. 3. Industrial expansion hopefully brings local employment. 4. We should build more two storey houses so families can live together. 5. The expansion of urban areas can be slowed down by building two storey houses. 6. Without sewerage there will be no urbanization of Wattle Grove East according to the Water Corporation. 7. Sustainable Development should be based on the survival of endangered species. 8. No guidelines given to provide a constructive comment on Public Transport to Perth. 9. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 10. The quality and economic viability of the rural areas should be maintained. <p>I disagree because the aged accommodation on Gavour Road does not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p>	<p>sites for aged accommodation. Some of these are located on privately owned land and some on reserved land. Whilst the focus of these developments will be independent living units, some of the facilities will provide residential care facilities as well.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Noted, refer Comments 17.2.2. 3. The proposed Forrestfield/High Wycombe industrial area will generate significant employment opportunities for the local community who wish to reside in the locality. 4. Current legislation allows for two story houses and development of this is a matter for the land owner. 5. Noted. 6. Formal advice from the Water Corporation indicates that Wattle Grove can be serviced. The issue is one of timing and cost. The timing as to when these sites will be developed will depend on a number of factors, these include when the landowners, developers, State and Local government are in a position to undertake the planning process necessary to develop the sites. 7. Noted, refer Comments 1.7.7. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 1.10.10. <p>The Strategy provides the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years, which will retain 74% of the Shire as endemic vegetation.</p>
19.	Gavour Road Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aged Accommodation is needed close to medical facilities, shopping and transport 2. Rural areas act as buffer zone for orchards, so we should leave them as they are 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Special rural areas will be retained in the Hills Orchard areas of Kalamunda. 3. Roads external to new industrial areas will be

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The road system needs upgrading before expansion of Industry and Airport. 4. Lots are too small and roads too narrow to grow decent sized shade trees. 5. Keep the foothills as greenbelt. 6. In the 50 years we have lived here, the bus timetable has not changed. 7. Infrastructure and facilities should be available as soon as housing developments commence. <p>I disagree because I find this Planning Strategy is not complete. More thought needs to be put in to the transport infrastructure.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Road reserves in new subdivisions are designed to incorporate services, footpaths and street trees. It is acknowledged that the provision of street trees is an important issue for residents. As such, the 'greening' of road verges will be an important element of urban design work proposed for existing and proposed urban areas. 5. The introduction of the residential Bushland zone providing for lifestyle blocks of 4,000sqm will require the retention of vegetation for all developments. This zoning will provide the necessary buffer to the scarp. 6. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8. 7. Noted, refer Comments 1.10.10.
20.	Trafalgar Road Lesmurdie	<p>Strongly agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We need more facilities so elderly residents are not obliged to move away. 2. Affordable land and better community services are more important to me than rural areas. 3. Industrial Growth & Airport would improve the depth of labour available locally. 4. Urban Design & Streetscape must be visually pleasing. 5. More people means more opportunity in business. 6. We need more affordable land. 7. Green features such as solar power should continue to be encouraged for residential, commercial and industrial uses. 8. Public Transport to Perth must be improved so people are not so reliant on cars. 9. The Shire is lacking areas that are special. 10. Teenagers should be kept occupied. Must be comparable to what the City of Gosnells provides. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 2.1.1. 2. The future urbanisation of Wattle Grove and Maida Vale South will require the provision of appropriate community facilities. The proximity of the land to Perth will ensure demand is high due to the land being some of the most affordable land in the Perth Metropolitan Region. 3. Noted, refer Comments 18.3.3. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 20.2.2. 7. The Shire is implementing a number of sustainable development initiatives through projects such as Solar Cities and Water Campaign projects. In addition passive solar design initiatives are being implemented through the Residential Planning Codes and Building Code of Australia for commercial and residential developments.

			<p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Tourism is an important element of the draft Strategy. Planning for sustainable tourism is one of the key goals of the Shire as it can make a significant contribution to the local economy. There are a number of potential business attractions, natural and historical sites that need to be promoted. The Hills Orchard areas offer great potential for further tourism related development.</p> <p>10. Noted.</p>
21.	Crystal Brook Road Wattle Grove	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Shire of Kalamunda needs to acquire land and build Shire owned and operated aged care accommodation. 2. The Special Rural areas should be left as Rural. 3. Sensible and common sense planning of the industrial areas and the airport areas in conjunction with private enterprise. 4. More attention needs to be given to drainage and the upkeep of roads. 5. Small lot sizes will encourage future slum areas. 6. Lot sizes are too small in high density areas. 7. People will not use public transport enough to improve the service. 8. The State Tourism Department should be included in developments 9. People are not involved enough in the decisions for Community Infrastructure. <p>I disagree because the opinions of many residents are not taken into account or acted upon.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The draft Strategy identifies a number of potential sites for aged accommodation. Some of these are located on privately owned land and some on reserved land. The Shire is looking to develop partnerships with aged care facility providers to deliver residential care accommodation. 2. Noted, refer Comments 17.2.2. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Small lot development in locations close to transport, shops and community facilities is a fundamental element of all planning strategies. It is one strategy for future growth that underpins the State Governments key strategic document Directions 2031 and beyond. Perth cannot afford to continue sprawling at its current rate. Affords the community with choice in housing options. There is no correlation between small lot housing and slums, if they are designed properly then this should not happen. 6. Noted, refer Comments 21.5.5. 7. The Shire is actively pursuing a rail link option from Perth Airport to the eastern suburbs. This would open up rapid bus links and improved

			<p>cycling facilities options to a station in Forrestfield or High Wycombe.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted, refer Comments 6.10.10.</p>
22.	Honey Road Forrestfield	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Shire of Kalamunda should only facilitate high care aged care. 2. All SR areas should remain as is and orchard areas identified and protected, not be changed into residential. 3. No industrial zoning south of Welshpool Road 4. Include fences along roads and shaded cycle friendly streets with tree protection. 5. We don't have to accept the highest growth scenario. 6. Higher densities can be used around current shopping centres. 7. Trees, bushland and reserves should be protected. 8. Regarding Tourism Development Opportunities focus on orchards, forests and bushland. 9. Wattle Grove needs a sport complex. <p>I disagree because this LPS seems to be a vehicle for urbanising special rural areas and the foothills</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The draft Strategy identifies a number of potential sites for aged accommodation. Some of these are located on privately owned land and some on reserved land. Whilst the focus of these developments will be independent living units, some of the facilities will provide residential care facilities as well. 2. The population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million over the next 20 years and 3.5 million by 2050. The Shire of Kalamunda like all local authorities is expected to accommodate some measure of this predicted growth. The proximity of the special rural areas in the foothills to Perth offers opportunities for sustainable residential development offering the community a range of lot sizes and lifestyle opportunities. The issue of minimum lot size requirements for rural zoned land in the Hills Orchard Areas area and the ongoing viability of the orchard industry will be investigated as part of the review of the Hills Orchard Study. 3. The subject land abuts land located in the City of Gosnells which has been identified under the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area as Industrial. Given the potential impacts associated with commercial vehicles accessing Welshpool Road East via Coldwell and Brook Street affected residents expressed some interest in having the land located in the Shire of

			<p>Kalamunda being also considered as future Industrial.</p> <p>4. Noted.</p> <p>5. The draft Strategy is not proposing the highest population scenario, rather option 3 of an additional 25,000 people by 2031.</p> <p>6. This is proposed under the draft Strategy.</p> <p>7. The residential bushland zone proposed for the foothills areas of Wattle Grove, Forrestfield and Maida Vale will include Scheme provisions which require all development to retain vegetation on site.</p> <p>8. Noted, refer Comments 11.9.9.</p> <p>9. As Wattle Grove develops then community facilities will need to be considered as part of the future growth of the area.</p>
23.	██████████ ██████████	<p>Strongly agree</p> <p>1. I fully support the proposed zoning for industrial areas. It would be of benefit to the business sector as it would encourage employment and business development in the area. Also it is in a good location.</p>	<p>1. Noted, refer Comments 18.3.3.</p>
24.	Crystal Brook Road Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <p>1. No Aged Accommodation in Wattle Grove.</p> <p>2. No urbanisation in the Wattle Grove Special Rural area.</p> <p>No Leighton driven urbanisation in Wattle Grove</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
25.	Crystal Brook Road Wattle Grove	<p>Agree</p> <p>1. We would be happy to have this area set aside for aged accommodation. Traffic would have to be slowed down.</p> <p>2. The rural areas will soon become unaffordable and should be further away from the city.</p> <p>3. Designated areas seem fine for Industrial Growth & Airport but needs good landscaping.</p>	<p>1. Any development proposal would be subject to a traffic impact assessment.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. The proposed industrial areas will be subject to strict development controls and urban design requirements which will be establish in adopted design guidelines.</p>

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Try to include vegetation corridors into Urban Design & Streetscape. 5. We need to leave some vegetation. 6. We need to leave some vegetation when housing development is done. 7. Please make provisions for a large corridor along the water course. 8. It would be great to have a rail link closer to the area. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. This will be determined at structure plan stage. Areas of significant vegetation can be included in requisite public open space. 5. Local natural areas will be required to be protected an incorporated into local or regional reserves. The use of the residential bushland zone will allow for lot sizes which will ensure vegetation can be retained. 6. Noted, refer Comments 25.5.5. 7. Land abutting water courses will be reserved or subject to appropriate buffers. 8. Noted, refer Comments 21.8.8.
26.	Victoria Road	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We don't have the infrastructure or shops in this area for aged accommodation. 2. The rural areas need to remain as it gives our Shire character. 3. If the industrial areas and the airport are going to encroach on our Shire why would you want to urbanise those areas that will be affected? 4. Wattle Grove is always the last area to be improved in regards to urban design and streetscape. 5. Population & Future Growth is of no consequence in the hills because there are no proper facilities. 6. We are not interested Housing Development, we appreciate our rural surroundings. 7. Our area was designated a mitigation zone federally so why should the local government change it. 8. If I wanted to take the bus I would have to walk 1km to the nearest stop. 9. I don't know where Tourism Development Opportunities could be. 10. Certain areas in this Shire get all the money for Community Infrastructure. <p>I disagree because you have no idea about our area.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All services and infrastructure will have to be provided before urbanisation of the area can proceed. This will be addressed at the structure plan stage. 2. Noted. 3. Land use of surrounding areas in respect to aircraft noise is determined under State Planning Policy. 4. A landscape Master Plan is currently being developed for the Wattle Grove Urban Area U9. The plan will guide improvements to the public open space over the next two years. A number of improvements have already been undertaken to the public open space areas, this will be continued over the next two years. 5. Urban growth can only be achieved where all necessary services and infrastructure can be provided. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 22.2.2. 8. It is acknowledged that transport is a major issue, particularly for the Shire. In particular, the problem of securing an adequate bus service from

			<p>the Hills suburbs to Perth is an issue of concern for local residents and needs to be addressed. The Shire is actively pursuing a rail link option from Perth Airport to the eastern suburbs. This would open up rapid bus links and improved cycling facilities options to a station in Forrestfield or High Wycombe.</p> <p>9. Tourism is an important element of the draft Strategy. Planning for sustainable tourism is one of the key goals of the Shire as it can make a significant contribution to the local economy. There are a number of potential business attractions, natural and historical sites that need to be promoted. The Hills Orchard areas offer great potential for further tourism related development.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 10.1.1.</p>
27.	Coldwell Road Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I live in a Special Rural area and want it to stay that way. 2. No Industrial Growth & Airport in Special Rural areas. 3. A sports area for Wattle Grove would be good. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. This would need to be addressed as part of a Community Facilities Plan.
28.	Hale Road Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I am over 80 and don't believe that putting old people all together is right. We need to be with our families. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, this would be a decision for the individual.
29.	Crystal Brook Road Wattle Grove	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aged accommodation needs consideration, but bus routes and access to medical facilities is crucial for such a development. 2. Future Special Rural Areas are important for the retention of the orchard areas. 3. Industrial Growth & Airport are good in limited, controlled ways. 4. Urban Design & Streetscape should be part of the 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 2.2.2. 3. The location of the Forrestfield/High Wycombe Industrial Area is bound by the existing industrial area to the south, Roe High Way to the east and Poison Gully to North and the airport to the west. Development of the area will be controlled through the implementation of industrial design

		<p>Shire’s contribution to establish and maintain existing values</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Population & Future Growth is an airy fairy notion. 6. Housing Development needs to be developed with a sustainable solution. 7. Removing all trees is wrong. 8. Public Transport to Perth needs updating to current needs. 9. Is tourism the touchstone for weekend shopping? 10. How is Community Infrastructure being funded? <p>I disagree because I don’t think there has been genuine consultation</p>	<p>guidelines to ensure good built form outcomes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Noted. 5. Noted, refer Comments 17.2.2. 6. Noted. 7. Sustainable development in particular the integration of the Shire’s Local Biodiversity Strategy is an important element of the draft Strategy. 8. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8. 9. Tourism is an important element of the draft Strategy. Planning for sustainable tourism is one of the key goals of the Shire as it can make a significant contribution to the local economy and support local businesses. There are a number of potential business attractions, natural and historical sites that need to be promoted. 10. Future funding will occur out of reserves created as part of the Shire’s long term financial plan. <p>The draft Strategy has been subject to an extensive advertising process: 8 weeks of formal advertising, notices in the newspapers, letters to residents and a series of public open days.</p>
30.		<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I hope Aged accommodation will not happen to me for a long time. 2. We enjoy driving to buy our fruit in the rural areas. 3. Industrial Growth & Airport progress will happen. 4. The streets are too narrow to park cars in the new areas. 5. Let’s make sure we can help the present population. 6. Public Transport to Perth: from Maamba Way we have no potion for public transport 7. We need help with access by footpaths to the Wattle Grove School 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. The draft Strategy recognises the importance of the Hills Orchard areas of Kalamunda as an important source of food production for the Perth Metropolitan Area. Any change to the minimum lot size will be fully investigated to ensure that such a move does not compromise the long term viability of the industry. 3. The development of the Forrestfield/High Wycombe Industrial Area is in response to the State government desire to develop suitable located industrial land.

		I disagree because the plan says little to improve our problems outlined above	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. If on street parking is to be provided then the road reserve widths will be designed to accommodate this requirement. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8. 7. The provision of multi-use paths in the Wattle Grove urban area is a priority for the Shire. There will be on going provision of footpaths and improvements to the public open space in 2011/2012.
31.	Victoria Road Wattle Grove	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aged accommodation could possibly impinge on my enjoyment of my property. I don't need the aged residents creating problems for me. 2. Future Special Rural Areas are important for the establishment of the commercial properties in the area. 3. Industrial Growth & Airport needs constant monitoring so that it imping on the existing areas. 4. As an 'up the hill' resident Urban Design & Streetscape does not feature too highly. 5. It is up to the Shire to restrict future expansion. 6. Housing Development seems to be within the Shire's control. 7. Hopefully Sustainable Development is covered by the Shire's Strategy. 8. The Shire needs to liaise with the relevant authorities. 9. Tourism Development Opportunities is not really a priority. 10. Community Infrastructure is a major priority that should receive more of the Shire's commitment. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The issue of Scheme amendment 18 will be dealt with a separate issue to that of the draft Strategy. The focus of the Strategy is to plan the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years. Irrespective of the proposal for Gavour Road the draft Strategy would still recommend that the Wattle Grove area be identified as future urban. 2. Special Rural do allow for limited commercial development primarily in the form of truck parking. 3. Noted. 4. Urban design and streetscape for the commercial areas of the Shire, i.e. Kalamunda Town Centre and Forrestfield District Shopping Centre, is an important element of the Strategy and is reflected in the townscape improvement plans currently being prepared for a number of commercial centres in the Shire. These guidelines will allow for the creation of safe, attractive, environmentally sustainable, economically successful and socially equitable places. 5. Noted, refer Comments 22.2.2. 6. Noted. 7. Sustainable development in particular the integration of the Shire's Local Biodiversity

			<p>Strategy is an important element of the draft Strategy.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Tourism is an important element of the draft Strategy. Planning for sustainable tourism is one of the key goals of the Shire as it can make a significant contribution to the local economy. There are a number of potential business attractions, natural and historical sites that need to be promoted. The Hills Orchard areas offer great potential for further tourism related development.</p> <p>10. The draft Community Facilities Plan will establish a 20 year plan with the aim of obtaining a detailed understanding of the current and future community facilities needs of the Shire and develop a clear direction to guide the provision of the facilities in the future.</p>
32.	Victoria Road Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aged accommodation is needed. 2. Future Special Rural Areas have no future as located too close to CBD. 3. There is a big need for affordable housing. 4. Public Transport to Perth needs improvement. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 2.2.1. 2. The proximity of the special rural areas in the foothills to Perth also offer opportunities for alternate land use consideration. 3. The location of Wattle Grove and Maida Vale South and the potential for future urban development will ensure demand is high due to the land being some of the most affordable land in the Perth Metropolitan Region. 4. Noted.

<p>33.</p>	<p>Victoria Road Wattle Grove</p>	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Future Special Rural Areas should be maintained to stop urban sprawl in Kalamunda. 2. The Shire is not a good industrial area so keep it out. 3. Less urban areas as the blocks are too small. 4. More Special Rural growth and less urbanisation. 5. Less land should be developed. 6. The Shire approves clearing complete urban blocks to maximise profit to developers. 7. Public Transport to Perth is ok. 8. There is enough tourism in Kalamunda. 9. There is a need for more Community Infrastructure in the Wattle Grove area. <p>I disagree because I bought land in Victoria Road for the peace and rural atmosphere close to Perth.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal to introduce the Residential Bushland zone allowing for lots of between 2,000sqm and 4,000sqm will provide an appropriate buffer to the scarp and future planned medium to low density residential development. 2. The proposed Forrestfield/High Wycombe industrial area represents a key strategic site given its proximity to Perth Airport and the Forrestfield Marshalling Yards. The areas is well contained by Roe Highway to the east, Poison Gully to the North, the airport to the west and existing industrial land to the south. The area has been recognised by the State government as a priority given its strategic location. 3. Noted, refer Comments 1.4.4. 4. The population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million over the next 20 years and 3.5 million by 2050. The Shire of Kalamunda like all local authorities is expected to accommodate some measure of this predicted growth. The proximity of the special rural areas in the foothills to Perth offers opportunities for sustainable residential development offering the community a range of lot sizes and lifestyle opportunities. If the Shire does not plan for these areas in a sustainable way, the risk is that the State government will resume the strategic planning for the Shire. 5. Noted, refer Comments 33.5.5. 6. Noted, refer Comments 31.7.7. 7. Noted. 8. Tourism is an important element of the draft Strategy. Planning for sustainable tourism is one of the key goals of the Shire as it can make a significant contribution to the local economy and create jobs for the local community. There are a number of potential business attractions, natural
------------	---------------------------------------	---	--

			and historical sites that need to be promoted. 9. Noted.
34.	██████████ ██████████	Strongly agree 1. We are very disappointed that our block was not included on the urban subdivision, but we are pleased that it is included in the bush block subdivision. 2. We realise that development has to come as we are so close to Perth and the airport.	1. Noted. 2. The growth of Perth Airport is predicting an additional 14,000 jobs by 2031. anecdotal evidence suggest the growth of the airport is having a significant demand for housing in the eastern suburbs of the Shire. This is expected to increase as the commercial development of the airport continues.
35.	██████████ ██████████	Strongly agree 1. It would be nice to keep bush blocks. 2. I would have liked to see Ridley Road become urban, so my parents could retire. 3. When I grew up there was no bus service or shops.	1. Noted, refer Comments 22.7.7. 2. Noted. 3. Noted.
36.	██████████ ██████████	Strongly agree 1. I am in favour of aged accommodation as long as it doesn't interfere with the local residents . 2. Residential Bushland lots should go to half acre which would give more people the chance to live on a bush block. 3. Industrial Growth & Airport development must happen because we are so close to Perth. 4. I have lived in Wattle Grove for 38 years in the hope that one day it would be rezoned, but the urban zoning is going to circle past our lot. 5. More housing will bring better schools, shops and bus service. 6. Hopefully Public Transport to Perth will improve.	1. Noted. 2. The introduction of the residential bushland zone into the foothills areas will allow for subdivision in some areas down to 2,000sqm sized lots with the balance being 4,000sqm. 3. Noted, refer Comments 34.7.7. 4. The proposed residential bushland zone is an urban zoning allowing for lots sizes of 2,000sqm and 4,000sqm. 5. All new urban development areas will require structure planning to be undertaken to ensure that there will be the appropriate transport, commercial and community facilities

			6. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8.
37.	██████████ ██████████ ██████████	Strongly disagree 1. Aged accommodation not in Wattle Grove. Keep aged care together either in Lewis Road, Forrestfield or Kalamunda. 2. Future Special Rural Areas should be kept rural. 3. Tonkin Hwy needs more fly overs. 4. No more subdivisions in Wattle Grove. 5. Public Transport to Perth is hopeless. I disagree because we would like to keep Wattle Grove a rural area, not a built up area.	1. Noted, refer Comments 9.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 17.2.2. 3. This is a matter for Main Roads WA to determine. 4. The intent of the draft Strategy is to establish the strategic direction of the Shire over the next 20 years during which the population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million. It is sustainable and/or realistic to continue to expect growth to sprawl north and south of the Perth Metropolitan Region into areas which does not have access to the necessary services and infrastructure, when land such as Wattle Grove being only 20 minutes from Perth which can be serviced with the necessary infrastructure and services? 5. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8.
38.	Maamba Road Wattle Grove	Neutral 1. Future Special Rural Areas should be maintained. 2. Public Transport to Perth: we need more frequent buses and stops on Arthur Road 3. We need a shopping centre on the Welshpool toad side of Tonkin Hwy.	1. The introduction of the residential bushland zone into the foothills areas will allow for lifestyle blocks of 4,000sqm and 2,000sqm. A key element of this zone will be the requirement to retain the vegetation on site. 2. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8. 3. Future urbanisation of the Wattle Grove area would require a small commercial centre to be provided.
39.	Schofield Road Wattle Grove	Strongly agree 1. Future Special Rural Areas: we are very happy with the	1. Noted.

		<p>proposed zoning changes</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Very much in favour of improved transport options. 3. Wattle Grove is in desperate need of more community infrastructure. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. It is acknowledged that transport is a major issue, particularly for the Shire. In particular, the problem of securing an adequate bus service from the Hills suburbs to Perth is an issue of concern for local residents and needs to be addressed. The Shire is actively pursuing a rail link option from Perth Airport to the eastern suburbs. This would open up rapid bus links and improved cycling facilities options to a station in Forrestfield or High Wycombe. 3. The draft Community Facilities Plan will establish a 20 year plan with the aim of obtaining a detailed understanding of the current and future community facilities needs of the Shire and develop a clear direction to guide the future provision of the facilities.
40.	Victoria Road Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is a State wide shortage of aged accommodation and we need to develop here for the near future of the aged. 2. Current land shortage and future population growth urgently needs urban development. Land is available in rural areas and should be used. 3. Many streets in Wattle Grove have no curbing or footpaths. 4. A shopping centre to cater for future growth is needed. 5. Rural to urban is required for development. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 2.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 37.6.6. 3. It is acknowledged that the urban area of Wattle Grove needs improvement in respect to the provision of footpaths. These will be provided as the area develops. 4. Noted, refer Comments 38.10.10. 5. Noted.
41.	Phar Lap Road Wattle Grove	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed industrial area between Welshpool Road East and the Shire boundary will devalue a significant number of residential properties. <p>I disagree because there is a major industrial area being expanded and it is too close to our homes</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The area identified to the south of Welshpool Road East has been identified on the basis of the proposed Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area in the City of Gosnells. In the event that the zoning of the land is changed to industry, then appropriate buffers in addition to Welshpool Road East will be considered for the

			existing urban area of Wattle Grove.
42.	Coldwell Road Wattle Grove	Strongly agree	
43.	Gavour Road Wattle Grove	1. The traffic to and from the Shire will become a major problem with further population.	1. The issue of traffic management will have to be considered as part of the detailed investigations required to implement the proposed urban development areas.
44.	Johnson Place Wattle Grove	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I agree that there is a need for aged accommodation in the Shire but I think that the options should be carefully considered (see letter) 2. Special Rural Areas are special and should be left as they are. 3. Industrial Growth & Airport is a good idea. 4. Urban Design & Streetscape is looking good. 5. All new houses in the Shire should be built to passive solar specifications and the use of grey water. 6. I hope that Public Transport to Perth can be improved as it is unreliable. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The draft Strategy identifies a number of potential sites for aged accommodation. Some of these are located on privately owned land and some on reserved land. The timing as to when these sites will be developed will depend on a number of factors, these include when the landowners, developers, State and Local government are in a position to undertake the planning process necessary to develop the sites. 2. Noted, refer Comments 37.6.6. 3. Noted. 4. Noted, 5. The Residential Planning Codes and the Building Code of Australia have introduced more stringent design requirements for the building of new homes. The Shire is implementing a number of sustainable development initiatives through projects such as Solar Cities and Water Campaign projects. 6. Noted, refer Comments 1.8.8.

45.	Johnson Place Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increase services to keep aged persons in their own home. 2. Future Special Rural Areas should be kept rural as it is what makes us special instead of suburbia. 3. I am not interested in industrial growth, but I would like better shopping. 4. I would like large housing lots and large rural areas in regards of Urban Design & Streetscape. 5. Facilities for youth should be increased. 6. Don't let Kalamunda become too small density. 7. Keep the environment as natural as possible. 8. Bus transport needs to be increased at more regular intervals. 9. We need more restaurants. 10. Increase youth infrastructure and restaurants. <p>I don't agree because I don't want to see Special Rural changed to Urban</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. The intent of the draft Strategy is to establish the strategic direction of the Shire over the next 20 years during which the population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million. Is it sustainable and/or realistic to continue to expect growth to sprawl north and south of the Perth Metropolitan Region into areas which does not have access to the necessary services and infrastructure, when land such as Wattle Grove being only 20 minutes from Perth which can be serviced with the necessary infrastructure and services? The introduction of the of the residential bushland zone into the foothills areas will allow for a range of lifestyle options for the community with lot sizes of 2,000sqm and 4,000sqm. These lots will have provisions incorporated into our Scheme which will require the retention of existing native vegetation. 3. Noted. 4. Housing choice and a range of lot sizes and lifestyle options is an important element of the draft Strategy. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 45.4.4. 7. Noted, refer Comments 1.7.7. 8. Noted, refer Comment 1.8.8. 9. We can provide the necessary zonings to allow for restaurants, however it is the private sector that will decide if a restaurant is to be built or not. 10. Noted, refer Comments 1.10.10.
46.	Johnson Place Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aged accommodation is needed in the area and I fully support the development of such accommodation. 2. I support the idea of urban development in our area. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 2.1.1. 2. Noted. 3. Urban design and streetscape for the commercial

		<p>3. I would like to see new design for future urban development structure to reflect the "Village atmosphere".</p> <p>4. We need homes in the metro area.</p>	<p>areas of the Shire, i.e. Kalamunda Town Centre and Forrestfield District Shopping Centre is an important element of the Strategy and is reflected in the townscape improvement plans which have either been adopted or are in the process of being prepared for a number of commercial centres in the Shire. These guidelines will allow for the creation of safe, attractive, environmentally sustainable, economically successful and socially equitable places.</p> <p>4. The identification of new urban development areas in the foothills will provide affordable land and housing 20 minutes from Perth.</p>
47.	Belfry Way Wattle Grove	<p>Agree</p> <p>1. No areas appear to have been included on the plan for aged accommodation.</p> <p>2. Special Rural should be a matter of choice for residents in the area.</p> <p>3. Industrial Growth & Airport will result in heavy and long vehicles which will result in congestion.</p> <p>4. A shopping centre in Wattle Grove is much needed.</p> <p>I agree but am concerned with traffic congestion and noise</p>	<p>1. Pages 87 to 89 of the report identify a number of potential sites for aged accommodation facilities.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Traffic management will need to be fully investigated and measures implemented as part of the structure plan process for the proposed area.</p> <p>4. A shopping centre is proposed for the wattle grove urban area. Various design options for the commercial site on Hale Road are currently being considered.</p>
48.	██████████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. We need POS to be developed around our area. Where we live we have no facilities.</p> <p>I disagree because no parks or POS was in the draft for the Cell 9 area of Wattle Grove</p>	<p>1. It is acknowledged that the Wattle Grove urban areas lacks an appropriate level of amenity in its public open areas and is currently working towards the preparation and implementation of a landscape Master Plan for the area. Development of the open space will commence following the adoption of the plan.</p>

49.	Valcan Road Wattle Grove	<p>Strongly agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I am in favour of aged care facilities in Wattle Grove. 2. It would be good for the Future Special Rural Areas to remain as 1 acre lots. 3. Industrial Growth & Airport should not expand towards the hills side. 4. Maintain the hills atmosphere. 5. We need to expand but we need to keep the rural atmosphere. 6. No low cost housing as it would change the area too much. 7. The extension of the rail line as proposed would be excellent. 8. Tourism should be encouraged but the rural atmosphere should be maintained. 9. Community Infrastructure is already good so it needs to be maintained. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 2.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 38.2.2. 3. There is no proposal to develop industrial areas in such locations. 4. Maintaining the village theme of the Kalamunda Town site is an important element of the adopted townscape improvement plan. 5. Noted, refer Comments 38.2.2. 6. It is anticipated that the proposed urban areas in the foothills will be in high demand because of the proximity of the land to Perth and the fact that it represents some of the more affordable housing in Perth. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 1.9.9. 9. Noted, refer Comments 1.10.10.
50.	Crystal Brook Road Wattle Grove	<p>Agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is vital to have a rail link from Perth to the airport. <p>I don't see urban development of 'old rural' Wattle Grove is achievable as there is no possibility of deep water sewerage.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Shire has been advocating for a rail link from Perth Airport into the eastern suburbs for the last two years. <p>Formal advice received from the Water Corporation is that the necessary services can be provided to Wattle Grove, it's a question of who is prepared to meet the cost.</p>
51.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. Wattle Grove should remain rural, so the children can 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. The issue of Scheme amendment 18 will be dealt with a separate issue to that of the draft Strategy. The focus of the Strategy is to plan the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years. Irrespective of the proposal for Gavour Road the draft Strategy would still recommend that the Wattle Grove area be identified as future urban.

		<p>continue to play safely in the area.</p> <p>5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>6. I disagree because I have a strong bond with land and I believe you should listen to the people.</p>	<p>3. The draft Strategy will establish the strategic vision and planning framework required for planning of the area to proceed. If the Strategy is adopted then it will be up to primarily landowners, and developers to decide whether they wish to develop the land. The issue of traffic management would be investigated as part of the structure plan process.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 45.2.2.</p> <p>5. Buffers to existing and proposed medium to low density residential development will still be achieved with the proposed residential bushland zone.</p> <p>6. Noted.</p>
52.	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area.</p> <p>3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>4. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <p>5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove.</p> <p>6. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions.</p> <p>7. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20,000 by 2031.</p> <p>8. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 51.3.3.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2.</p> <p>5. The intent of the draft Strategy is to establish the strategic direction of the Shire over the next 20 years during which time the population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million and 3.5 million by 2050. Is it sustainable and/or realistic to continue to expect growth to sprawl north and south of the Perth Metropolitan Region into areas which does not have access to the necessary services and infrastructure, when land such as Wattle Grove being only 20 minutes from Perth which can be serviced with the necessary infrastructure and services and has access to existing and future employment base around Perth Airport.?</p> <p>6. Noted.</p> <p>7. The projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is</p>

		<p>9. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>10. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031.</p> <p>11. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>12. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>13. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>14. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife.</p> <p>15. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs.</p> <p>16. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>17. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos</p> <p>18. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>19. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>20. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>21. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>I disagree because the LPS leaves out biodiversity and goes against the wishes of the majority of residents.</p>	<p>released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2013 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond.</p> <p>8. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth.</p> <p>9. It is the understanding of the Shire that the City of Gosnells is progressing ahead with Stage 1 of the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area and will be looking at Stage 3A shortly.</p> <p>10. The draft Outer Metropolitan Perth and Peel Sub Regional Strategy identifies Wattle Grove for future consideration as an urban investigation area due to its proximity to the urban front and employment opportunities around the airport.</p> <p>11. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2.</p> <p>12. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2.</p> <p>13. Noted.</p> <p>14. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat can be provided for.</p> <p>15. Noted, refer Comments 52.14.14.</p> <p>16. Noted.</p> <p>17. Noted.</p> <p>18. Carnabys Cockatoos are protected under Federal legislation, as such all identified habitats will have to be protected.</p> <p>19. Not sure where such a zone is referenced. It does not form part of the Directions 2031 and beyond strategic vision.</p> <p>20. In the event that urbanisation of the area does proceed, no landowners will be forced to sell or subdivide their land. Effectively owners can remain on their landholding indefinitely.</p> <p>21. Noted, refer Comments 51.5.5</p>
--	--	--	--

53.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 5. I disagree because the natural environment should not be disturbed in the manner proposed by the Shire. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 52.5.5. 4. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 5. Noted.
54.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25,000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 2. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 3. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 4. I disagree because rezoning this area makes very little sense in terms of planning and infrastructure. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 52.7.7. 2. Noted, refer Comments 52.10.10. 3. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18. 4. Noted, refer Comments 52.5.5.
55.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 5. In the event that urbanisation of the area does proceed, no landowners will be forced to sell or

		<p>Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation 5. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I disagree because the Shire of Kalamunda has no intention of hearing what we have to say. 	<p>subdivide their land. Effectively owners can remain on their landholding indefinitely. The draft Strategy establishes the vision and framework for change.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Noted, refer Comments 52.14.14. 7. Noted.
56.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation 5. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I disagree because we chose to live in a rural setting and do not want to see that destroyed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 5. Noted, refer Comments 55.5.5. 6. Noted, refer Comments 52.14.14. 7. Noted.
57.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2 2. Noted, refer Comments 51.3.3. 3. Noted, refer Comments 52.5.5. 4. Noted, refer Comments 52.9.9. 5. Noted, refer Comments 55.5.5. 6. Noted, refer Comments 52.14.14. 7. Noted.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 10. I want to continue to ride our horses. 11. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. I disagree because it would be a shame to lose the abundant wildlife and rural lifestyle. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 52.20.20. 11. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18. 12. Noted.
<p>58.</p>	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 4. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20,000 by 2031. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to continue to ride our horses. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 52.7.7. 5. Noted, refer Comments 52.9.9. 6. Noted, refer Comments 52.14.14. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 52.20.20. 10. Noted, refer Comments 51.5.5. 11. Noted.

		<p>zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>11. I disagree because this area is unique and would like to stay it that way for my children’s children.</p>	
59.	<p>██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 5. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 6. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 7. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 8. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 9. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 10. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 11. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 12. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 13. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 14. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 15. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 16. Wattle Grove was designated a ‘Mitigation Zone’ and as such this area should be maintained. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, 2. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 52.9.9. 7. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 8. Noted, refer Comments 55.5.5. 9. Noted, refer Comments 52.14.14. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted. 13. Noted. 14. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18. 15. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18. 16. Noted, refer Comments 52.19.19. 17. Noted, refer Comments 52.20.20. 18. Noted, refer Comments 51.5.5. 19. Noted.

		<p>17. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>18. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>19. I disagree because I live in this area because it is peaceful and I don't want to be invaded by urban lots.</p>	
60.	<p>██████████ ████████████████████ ████████████████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. I disagree because living in Wattle Grove is a lifestyle choice and the LPS will remove that choice. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. Noted, refer Comments 51.3.3. Noted, refer Comments 52.5.5. Noted, refer Comments 52.7.7. Noted, refer Comments 52.8.8. Noted, refer Comments 52.10.10. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18. Noted, refer Comments 52.19.19. Noted, refer Comments 52.20.20.
61.	<p>██████████ ████████████████████ ████████████████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. Noted, refer Comments 52.8.8. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 7. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 8. I disagree because I believe that better use of land would be with terrace housing. This would fit well with the rural lifestyle. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18. 7. Noted, refer Comments 52.19.19. 8. Noted.
62.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 3. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 4. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 5. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 6. I disagree because the continuing redevelopment of mitigation zones is just wrong. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 52.14.14. 3. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18. 4. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18. 5. Noted, refer Comments 52.19.19. 6. Noted, refer Comments 52.19.19.
63.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 51.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 5. Noted, refer Comments 52.5.5. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 52.7.7. 8. Noted, refer Comments 52.8.8. 9. Noted, refer Comments 52.9.9.

		<p>the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <p>5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove.</p> <p>6. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions.</p> <p>7. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031.</p> <p>8. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement.</p> <p>9. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>10. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031.</p> <p>11. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>12. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>13. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>14. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife.</p> <p>15. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs.</p> <p>16. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>17. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic.</p> <p>18. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos</p> <p>19. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>20. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>21. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the</p>	<p>10. Noted, refer Comments 52.10.10.</p> <p>11. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2.</p> <p>12. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2.</p> <p>13. Noted.</p> <p>14. Noted, refer Comments 52.14.14.</p> <p>15. Noted.</p> <p>16. Noted.</p> <p>17. Noted.</p> <p>18. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18.</p> <p>19. Noted, refer Comments 52.18.18.</p> <p>20. Noted, refer Comments 52.19.19.</p> <p>21. Noted, refer Comments 52.20.20.</p> <p>22. Noted.</p> <p>23. Noted.</p>
--	--	--	---

		<p>extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>22. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>23. I disagree because we and our grandchildren like the space.</p>	
64.	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 4. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 5. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 6. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 7. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 8. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. I disagree because I would like to see Wattle Grove remain as a Rural Lifestyle. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 51.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 51.3.3 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 55.5.5 7. Noted, refer Comments 52.14.14. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 52.20.20. 10. Noted.
65.	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The issue of Scheme amendment 18 will be dealt with a separate issue to that of the draft Strategy. The focus of the Strategy is to plan the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years. Irrespective of the proposal for Gavour Road the draft Strategy would still recommend that the

		<p>community in Wattle Grove.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 6. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 9. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 10. I want to continue to ride our horses. 11. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. I disagree because I enjoy the tranquillity and rural lifestyle and it would be a shame to lose it. 	<p>Wattle Grove area be identified as future urban.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The draft Strategy will establish the strategic vision and planning framework required for planning of the area to proceed. If the Strategy is adopted then it will be up to primarily landowners, and developers to decide whether they wish to develop the land. The issue of traffic management would be investigated as part of the structure plan process. 3. The intent of the draft Strategy is to establish the strategic direction of the Shire over the next 20 years during which time the population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million and 3.5 million by 2050. Is it sustainable and/or realistic to continue to expect growth to sprawl north and south of the Perth Metropolitan Region into areas which does not have access to the necessary services and infrastructure, when land such as Wattle Grove being only 20 minutes from Perth which can be serviced with the necessary infrastructure and services and has access to existing and future employment base around Perth Airport.? 4. It is the understanding of the Shire that the City of Gosnells is progressing ahead with Stage 1 of the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area and will be looking at Stage 3A shortly. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. In the event that urbanisation of the area does proceed, no landowners will be forced to sell or subdivide their land. Effectively owners can remain on their landholding indefinitely. The draft Strategy establishes the vision and framework for future change. 8. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat can be provided for.
--	--	--	---

			<p>9. Noted.</p> <p>10. Noted.</p> <p>11. Carnabys Cockatoos are protected under Federal legislation, as such all identified habitats will have to be protected.</p> <p>12. Noted.</p>
66.	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 5. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 6. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 9. I want to continue to ride our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 13. I disagree because I think that high density housing is inappropriate for this parcel of land. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4 7. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 65.10.10 11. Noted, refer Comments 65.10.10. 12. Buffers to existing and proposed medium to low density residential development will still be achieved with the proposed residential bushland zone. 13. The residential densities proposed are low to medium residential density.
67.	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the event that urbanisation of the area does

	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>changed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 3. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 4. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 5. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 6. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 7. I want to continue to ride our horses. 8. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 9. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. I disagree because we love this place and want it to remain the way it is. Rezoning should not be allowed. 	<p>proceed, no landowners will be forced to sell or subdivide their land. Effectively owners can remain on their landholding indefinitely. The draft Strategy establishes the vision and framework for change.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.8.8. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 9. Not sure where such a zone is referenced. It does not form part of the Directions 2031 and beyond strategic vision. 10. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 11. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12. 12. Noted.
<p>68.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 2. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 3. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 4. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 5. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 6. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 7. I want to continue to ride our horses. 8. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 9. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. I disagree because I love this place and want it to remain the way it is. Rezoning should not be allowed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.8.8. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 9. Not sure where such a zone is referenced. It does not form part of the Directions 2031 and beyond strategic vision. 10. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 11. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12. 12. Noted.

<p>69.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 4. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 5. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 6. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25,000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20,000 by 2031. 7. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 8. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 9. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 10. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 11. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 5. Noted. 6. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth. 7. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 8. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4. 9. The draft Outer Metropolitan Perth and Peel Sub Regional Strategy identifies Wattle Grove for future consideration as an urban investigation area due to its proximity to the urban front and employment opportunities around the airport. 10. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 11. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 12. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7 13. Noted, refer Comments 65.8.8 14. Noted. 15. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 16. Noted, refer Comments 67.9.9. 17. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 18. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12. 19. Noted.
------------	-------------------	---	--

		<p>13. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 14. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 15. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 16. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 17. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 18. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 19. I disagree because I chose the rural life style and object to any changes to it by the Shire of Kalamunda.</p>	
<p>70.</p>	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree 1. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25,000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20,000 by 2031. 2. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 3. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 9. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 10. I disagree because the increasing population densities can be dealt with by building double rather than single</p>	<p>1. Noted, refer Comments 69.6.6. 2. Noted, refer Comments 69.7.7. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 5. Noted, refer Comments 65.8.8. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 9. Noted, refer Comments 65.111.11. 10. Residential infill is one of the strategies proposed to accommodate population growth. Two storey dwellings is one of the built forms associated with medium density residential development. To accommodate the projected population growth however, new urban areas have to be identified. Solely looking at infill will not be sufficient.</p>

		storey dwellings.	
71.	██████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 3. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 4. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 5. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 6. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 7. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to continue to ride our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 13. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 14. I disagree because I don't want to see Wattle Grove become a retirement village like Kalamunda. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.8.8. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 11. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 12. Noted, refer Comments 67.9.9. 13. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12. 14. Noted.
72.	██████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 5. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 5. The draft Outer Metropolitan Perth and Peel Sub Regional Strategy identifies Wattle Grove for future consideration as an urban investigation area due to its proximity to the urban front and employment opportunities around the airport. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 7. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7 8. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 9. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 10. I disagree because I have intended to raise my children here and commercialization of this area would severely impede these plans. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 10. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7.
73.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 3. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 7. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 8. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 9. I disagree because as a resident of Wattle Grove I hope that this small rural area is left as it should be. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 5. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7. 7. Noted, refer Comments 67.9.9. 8. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 9. Noted.

<p>74.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 6. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 7. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 8. I disagree because I believe that the planned additional housing development will increase the carbon emissions. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 72.5.5. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 5. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 7. Noted, refer Comments 67.9.9. 8. Noted.
<p>75.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 5. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.8.8. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 9. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 10. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 8. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 9. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 10. I disagree because this part of Wattle Grove is not fit for aged accommodation due to its proximity to a busy road and lack of amenities. 	
76.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 6. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 7. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 8. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 9. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 10. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 11. I want to continue to ride our horses. 12. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 5. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4. 8. Noted, refer Comments 72.5.5. 9. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7 10. Noted, refer Comments 65.8.8. 11. Noted. 12. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 13. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12. 14. Noted.

		<p>13. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>14. I disagree because we came here because of the rural life style and we see this life style at risk.</p>	
77.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 6. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 7. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 8. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 9. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 10. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 11. I want to continue to ride our horses. 12. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 13. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 5. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4. 8. Noted, refer Comments 72.5.5. 9. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7 10. Noted, refer Comments 65.8.8. 11. Noted. 12. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 13. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12. 14. Noted.

		14. I disagree because I believe the Shire should protect the interests of its rural ratepayers and maintain the special rural zone.	
78.	██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 6. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 65.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 69.6.6. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 5. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4. 7. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 10. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 11. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12.
79.	██████████ ██████████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 65.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 69.6.6.

		<p>Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25,000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20,000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 6. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 7. Proposals like this create uncertainty with residents not being able to carry out development of their properties while waiting for the LPS to be approved. 8. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 9. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 10. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 11. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 12. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 5. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4. 7. All applications for development will be assessed in accordance with the applicable zoning at that time. 8. Noted, refer Comments 65.7.7 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 11. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 12. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12.
<p>80.</p>	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 2. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 3. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 7. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 8. Noted, refer Comments 67.9.9.

		<p>Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 5. I want to safely walk our dogs without increased traffic. 6. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 7. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 8. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 9. I disagree because there is a decreasing amount of rural land in the metropolitan area and we should leave this area as it is. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Noted, the land although zoned Special Rural is essentially used residential lifestyle blocks.
81.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 4. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 5. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 6. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 7. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 8. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 3. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 4. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 65.4.4. 7. Noted, refer Comments 72.5.5. 8. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 9. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11. 12. Noted, refer Comments 67.9.9. 13. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1. 14. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12. 15. The intent of the draft Strategy is to provide the strategic vision and framework for the next 20 years. Those who wish to develop will do and those who don't will not have to.

		<p>facilities.</p> <p>9. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>10. I want to continue to ride our horses.</p> <p>11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>12. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>13. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>14. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>15. I disagree because the proposal will only benefit one or two individuals regardless of our objections.</p>	
82.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area.</p> <p>3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>4. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <p>5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove.</p> <p>6. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25,000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031.</p> <p>7. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement.</p> <p>8. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support</p>	<p>1. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1.</p> <p>2. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 65.2.2.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 65.3.3.</p> <p>6. Noted, refer Comments 69.6.6.</p> <p>7. Noted, refer Comments 69.7.7.</p> <p>8. Noted, refer Comments 72.5.5.</p> <p>9. Noted, refer Comments 65.1.1.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11.</p> <p>11. Noted, refer Comments 65.11.11.</p> <p>12. Noted, refer Comments 67.9.9.</p> <p>13. Noted, refer Comments 66.12.12.</p> <p>14. Noted, refer Comments 67.1.1.</p>

		<p>Directions 2031.</p> <p>9. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos</p> <p>11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>12. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>13. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>14. I disagree because it seems so unfair that families can be subject to pressure arising from threats to their way of life because one person wants to make money.</p>	
83.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████</p> <p>██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>2. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031.</p> <p>3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>4. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove.</p> <p>6. The WA Planning Commission has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>7. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p>	<p>1. Scheme amendment No.18 (Lot 500 Gavour Road) will be dealt with a separate issue by the Minister for Planning to that of the draft Strategy. Council has not formally considered a Scheme Amendment for Lots 303, 53 and 213 Brentwood Road.</p> <p>2. The draft Outer Metropolitan Perth and Peel Sub Regional Strategy identifies Wattle Grove for future consideration as an urban investigation area due to its proximity to the urban front and employment opportunities around the airport.</p> <p>3. The draft Strategy will establish the strategic vision and planning framework required for planning of the area to proceed. If the Strategy is adopted then it will be up to primarily landowners, and developers to decide whether they wish to develop the land. The issue of traffic management would be investigated as part of the structure plan process.</p>

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 9. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 10. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 11. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Noted. 5. It is acknowledged that there is some community opposition to the recommendations in respect to Wattle Grove. However, there are broader strategic issues which need to be considered when preparing a plan for the Shire for the next 20 years. During this period Perth's population is expected to grow to 2.2 million and 3.5 million by 2050. It is not sustainable and/or realistic for growth to sprawl north and south of the Perth Metropolitan Region into areas which do not have access to the necessary services and infrastructure, when land such as Wattle Grove, being only 20 minutes from Perth, can be serviced with the necessary infrastructure and services. 6. It is the understanding of the Shire that the City of Gosnells is progressing with Stage 1 of the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area and will be considering Stage 3A shortly. 7. Noted. 8. It is not clear in what document such a zone is referenced. It does not form part of the "Directions 2031 and beyond" strategic vision. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted.
84.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.4. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 82.2. 5. See comment 82.1. 6. See comment 82.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. See comment 83.8.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. The WA Planning Commission has confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 10. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 10. Noted.
85.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The LPS does not represent the views of the Shire residents and the State Government. 2. There is no reticulated sewer available on Gavour Rd for aged accommodation. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The process of handling the LPS is flawed. 5. Opponents to Amendment 18 to the Local Planning Scheme (500 Gavour Road) have put up alternative sites for development for aged persons. 6. Opposed to the LPS in regard to aged accommodation and any urbanisation of Wattle Grove east of Tonkin Highway. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. The Water Corporation has advised that there is the technical capacity to provide this service. 3. Noted. The view of the community is being sought by virtue of the advertising of the LPS. 4. Noted. 5. Noted. 6. Noted.

86.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We want to keep Wattle Grove Rural. 2. The claim that the area can be serviced by a reticulated sewer system is misleading. 3. The Shire of Kalamunda needs to find a more appropriate location for aged accommodation. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 85.2. 3. See comment 82.1.
87.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 11. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.4. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. See comment 83.8. 11. Noted.

88.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 11. The WA Planning Commission has confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. Noted. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. Noted. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. See comment 83.8. 11. See comment 83.1.
89.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.4. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. Noted. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 11. The WA Planning Commission has confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 10. See comment 83.8. 11. See comment 83.1.
<p>90.</p>	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. Noted. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. See comment 83.8. 11. See comment 83.1.

		<p>10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>11. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p>	
91.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 2. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 3. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 7. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 8. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 9. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.1 3. See comment 83.2. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. See comment 83.6. 6. Noted. 7. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth. 8. See comment 83.1. 9. Noted.

<p>92.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 6. The WA Planning Commission has confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 10. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 11. I want to continue riding our horses. 12. I want to continue to safely walk our dogs. 13. Disagree because there has been no community consultation and I am extremely disappointed that the opinion of the majority of the community is being ignored. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 83.3. 4. Noted, refer comment 91.4.4. 5. Noted. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. See comment 83.1 8. Noted. 9. If the Local Planning Scheme is amended, it will be subject to assessment by the Environmental Protection Authority. 10. See comment 83.6. 11. Noted. 12. Noted. 13. Advertising of the LPS is the community consultation.
<p>93.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We enjoy the style of living that the large blocks allow. 2. The infrastructure is not available in this area to cater for this development. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Provision of requisite infrastructure will be determined at the appropriate stage.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The biodiversity of the adjoining regional park would disappear due to increased population. 4. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 5. The high voltage power line that traverses the area would be a health issue with a larger population. 6. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 7. Most progressive cities are surrounded by green belts. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Comment not substantiated. 4. See comment 92.9. 5. If zoning proceeds, this will be assessed at Structure Plan stage based on relevant legislation. 6. See comment 83.2 7. Comment not substantiated.
94.	<p>██████████ ████████████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We enjoy the style of living that the large blocks allow. 2. The infrastructure is not available in this area to cater for this development. 3. The biodiversity of the adjoining regional park would disappear due to increased population. 4. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 5. The high voltage power line that traverses the area would be a health issue with a larger population. 6. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 7. Most progressive cities are surrounded by green belts. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 93.2. 3. See comment 93.3. 4. See comment 92.9. 5. See comment 93.5. 6. See comment 83.2. 7. See comment 93.1
95.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The high voltage power lines should have a buffer zone of 500 metres on either side. 2. We need more trees in new urban developments. 3. We need the trees to absorb carbon dioxide. 4. The high voltage power line that traverses the area would be a health issue with a larger population. 5. We choose to live in a semirural environment and this style of living should be encouraged. 6. Planning should allow for both urban and semirural. 7. We don't want a concrete jungle. 8. The road structure needs to be improved. 9. Increased traffic will become an issue. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. If a Structure Plan is prepared it will take into account the transmission lines. 2. Provision of street trees can be determined at the time of subdivision application. 3. Noted. 4. See comment 92.9. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Road upgrading will be addressed at the Structure Plan and subdivision stage. 9. See comment 83.3.

96.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The high voltage power lines should have a buffer zone of 500 metres on either side. 2. We need more trees in new urban developments. 3. We need the trees to absorb carbon dioxide. 4. The high voltage power line that traverses the area would be a health issue with a larger population. 5. We choose to love in a semirural environment and this style of living should be encouraged. 6. Planning should allow for both urban and semirural. 7. We don't want a concrete jungle. 8. The road structure needs to be improved. 9. Increased traffic will become an issue. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 95.1. 2. See comment 95.2 3. Noted. 4. See comment 92.9. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. See comment 95.8. 9. See comment 83.3.
97.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.3. 2. Recommendations in the LPS were formulated irrespective of proposed amendment No. 18 to the Local Planning Scheme. 3. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth. 4. Noted. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. See comment 83.6.

		<p>9. I want to continue riding our horses.</p> <p>10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos</p> <p>11. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p>	
98.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions.</p> <p>4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife.</p> <p>7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. See comment 83.3.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p> <p>4. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>5. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>6. Noted.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted. If land is zoned for urban purposes, it will be a matter for individual land owners whether or not to develop.</p> <p>10. Noted.</p>
99.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. See comment 83.3.</p> <p>3. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>4. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>5. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>6. Noted.</p>

		<p>six occasions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. See comment 98.9. 10. Noted.
<p>100.</p>	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. See comment 83.1 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. See comment 83.8. 10. Noted.

		<p>such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p>	
101.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. See comment 83.8. 10. Noted.
102.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 83.3. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want to continue riding our horses. 9. I want to safely walk our dogs. 10. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 	<p>plan for population growth.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Noted. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. See comment 83.1. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. See comment 83.6.
103.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.3. 2. See comment 97.2. 3. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth. 4. See comment 83.6. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted.

		<p>facilities.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. Noted. 12. Noted.
104.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.3. 2. See comment 97.2. 3. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth. 4. See comment 83.6. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. See comment 83.1 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.

		<p>10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos</p> <p>11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p>	
105.	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 11. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. <p>I disagree because the rezoning of the Wattle Grove area will benefit very few and seriously impact on the majority of people.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. See comment 83.8. 11. See comment 83.1.

<p>106.</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to safely walk our dogs. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. I want to continue riding our horses. <p>I disagree because I firmly believe there is a huge importance in maintaining the buffer zone at the foothills of Wattle Grove.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 83.3. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. See comment 83.6. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. See comment 83.1. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.
<p>107.</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.3. 2. See comment 97.2. 3. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of

		<p>the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<p>date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. See comment 83.6. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.
108.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.3. 2. See comment 97.2. 3. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth. 4. See comment 83.6. 5. See comment 83.1.

		<p>Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. <p>I disagree because Wattle Grove is one of the only semi-rural areas left that is not extremely far away from the city and should be left that way.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.
109.	<p>██████████ ████████████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.1. 4. See comment 83.1. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	
110.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to safely walk our dogs. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because I do not want the urbanisation to Wattle</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 83.3. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. See comment 83.6. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. See comment 83.1. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. 12. Noted.

		Grove.	
111.	██████ ██████ ██████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 11. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. <p>I disagree because I would like this area to remain as it is for the future generation of families to enjoy.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. See comment 83.1 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted.
112.	██████ ██████ ██████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. See comment 83.1.

		<p>community in Wattle Grove.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 11. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. <p>I disagree because changing the zoning would change our rural lifestyle.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. See comment 83.8. 11. Noted.
113.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83.2. 5. Noted. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. See comment 83.8. 11. See comment 83.1.

		<p>are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>11. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>I disagree because rural buffer zones are a necessity for the amenity and enjoyment of the current generation.</p>	
114.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions.</p> <p>4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife.</p> <p>7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. See comment 83.3.</p> <p>3. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>4. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>5. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>6. Noted.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted.</p> <p>10. Noted. See comment 98.9.</p>

		<p>9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>I disagree because it would be a crime to urbanise it when it is so unique to have something like this so close to the city.</p>	
115.	<p>██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. I want to safely walk our dogs. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 83.3. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. See comment 83.6. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. See comment 83.1. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.

116.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.1. 4. See comment 83.1. 5. See comment 83.1 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. See comment 98.9. 10. Noted.
117.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 2. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.1. 2. See comment 83.1. 3. See comment 83.1. 4. See comment 83.3. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted.

		<p>the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because over 80% of residents want special rural and not large unsightly developments.</p>	
118.	<p>██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to safely walk our dogs. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 83.3. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. See comment 83.6. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. See comment 83.1. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. See comment 85.2.

		<p>10. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>I disagree because I am concerned about the sewerage.</p>	
119.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want to safely walk our dogs. 9. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 11. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 83.3. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. See comment 83.6. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. See comment 83.1. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted.
120.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.3. 2. See comment 97.2. 3. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire

		<p>Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to continue riding our horses. 9. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<p>prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2013 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. See comment 83.1. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted.
121.	<p>██████ ██████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 3. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 4. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 5. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 6. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 7. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 83.3. 2. See comment 83.1. 3. See comment 83.1 4. Noted. 5. Noted. 6. See comment 93.1 7. Noted. 8. See comment 98.9. 9. Noted.

		<p>8. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p>	
122.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See comment 97.2. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth. 4. See comment 83.6. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.
123.	<p>██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted.

	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because there is too much industrial and commercial property already vacant and I want this area to remain rural.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.1. 4. See comment 83.1. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted.
<p>124.</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.5. 4. See comment 83. 2. 5. See comment 83.1. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. See comment 83.8. 11. Noted.

		<p>not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>I disagree because we have lived here since 50 years and we like our home in the forest.</p>	
125.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>3. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>4. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area.</p> <p>5. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>6. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement.</p> <p>7. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>8. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p> <p>4. Noted.</p> <p>5. See comment 83.3.</p> <p>6. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth.</p> <p>7. See comment 83.6.</p> <p>8. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>9. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>10. Noted.</p> <p>11. Noted.</p> <p>12. Noted.</p>

		<p>9. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>10. I want to safely walk our dogs.</p> <p>11. I want to continue riding our horses.</p> <p>12. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>I disagree because I find that rezoning Wattle Grove is premature and not in accordance with Directions 2031.</p>	
126.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 83.3. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. See comment 83.6. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. See comment 83.1. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.

		<p>12. I want to safely walk our dogs.</p> <p>I disagree because this is a unique area in close proximity to the CBD and should remain as it is.</p>	
127.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 10. I want to safely walk our dogs. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. I want to continue riding our horses. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 83.3. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. See comment 83.6. 6. See comment 83.1. 7. See comment 83.1 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.
128.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.1

		<p>the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 5. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 6. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 7. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 8. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. <p>I disagree because we have lived here since 45 years and find that the rezoning in this area is inappropriate.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. See comment 83.1. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. See comment 83.1. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. See comment 98.9.
129.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 5. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 6. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 7. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. See comment 83.3. 3. See comment 83.1. 4. See comment 83.1. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 9. See comment 83.6. 10. Noted.

		<p>and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area.</p> <p>8. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement.</p> <p>9. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>10. I want to safely walk our dogs.</p> <p>11. I want to continue riding our horses.</p> <p>12. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>I disagree because we like our home in the forest and don't want to see that changed.</p>	<p>11. Noted.</p> <p>12. Noted.</p>
<p>130.</p>	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <p>3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031.</p> <p>4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p>	<p>1. See comment 83.3.</p> <p>2. See comment 97.2.</p> <p>3. The population projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growths by 2013 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. The projected figure of 25,000 is considered sustainable growth.</p> <p>4. See comment 83.6.</p> <p>5. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>6. See comment 83.1.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted.</p> <p>10. Noted.</p> <p>11. Noted.</p> <p>12. Noted.</p>

		<p>7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>I disagree because we moved here to escape suburbia and don't want to live on top of our neighbours.</p>	
<p>131.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 11. I disagree because we moved here to enjoy the rural lifestyle and don't want our property to be devalued. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. The draft Strategy will establish the strategic vision and planning framework required for planning of the area to proceed. If the Strategy is adopted then it will be up to primarily landowners, and developers to decide whether they wish to develop the land. The issue of traffic management would be investigated as part of the structure plan process. 3. Noted. 4. The issue of Scheme amendment 18 will be dealt with a separate issue to that of the draft Strategy. The focus of the Strategy is to plan the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years. Irrespective of the proposal for Gavour Road the draft Strategy would still recommend that the Wattle Grove area be identified as future urban. 5. Noted, refer comment 4. 6. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat can be provided for. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer comment 2. 9. In the event that urbanisation of the area does

			<p>proceed, no landowners will be forced to sell or subdivide their land. Effectively owners can remain on their landholding indefinitely.</p> <p>10. Buffers to existing and proposed medium to low density residential development will still be achieved with the proposed residential bushland zone.</p> <p>11. Noted.</p>
132.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to safely walk our dogs. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. The issue of Scheme amendment 18 will be dealt with as a separate issue by the Minister for Planning. The focus of the Strategy is to plan the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years. 3. The draft Strategy will establish the strategic vision and planning framework required for planning of the area to proceed. If the Strategy is adopted then it will be up to primarily landowners, and developers to decide whether they wish to develop the land. The issue of traffic management would be investigated as part of the structure plan process. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. It is the understanding of the Shire that the City of Gosnells is progressing ahead with Stage 1 of the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area and will be looking at Stage 3A shortly. 6. Noted, refer Comment 2. 7. Noted, refer Comment 2. 8. Noted. 9. Noted.

			<p>10. Noted. 11. Carnabys Cockatoos are protected under Federal legislation, as such all identified habitats will have to be protected. 12. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.</p>
<p>133.</p>	<p>██████████ ████████████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because the area is rural and should be retained.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 132.3.3. 2. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 3. The projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growth by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 7. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat can be provided for. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11. 11. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.

<p>134.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. <p>I disagree because we need bands of rural country side.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 132.3.3. 2. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 133.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 7. Noted, refer Comments 133.7.7. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 12. Noted.
<p>135.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 133.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5.

		<p>the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. <p>I disagree because I feel it is of cardinal importance that the Perth foothills remain rural.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 7. Noted, refer Comments 133.7.7. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 12. Noted.
<p>136.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 132.3.3. 2. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 133.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 133.7.7. 8. Noted.

		<p>by 2031.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. <p>I disagree because we don't need further urbanisation in this area.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 12. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.
<p>137.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.4.4. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5. 6. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 7. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 8. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11. 12. Noted.

		<p>Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 9. I want to safely walk our dogs. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. <p>I disagree because we do not want our lifestyle compromised in any way.</p>	
<p>138.</p>	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 5. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 6. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 7. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 131.6.6. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 131.9.9. 10. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.

		<p>extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>I disagree because I enjoy the bush block I live on.</p>	
139.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 4. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 5. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 6. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 7. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 8. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because it is the semi-rural areas that make the hills and surrounding foothills so special.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 4. Noted. 5. Not sure where such a zone is referenced. It does not form part of the Directions 2031 and beyond strategic vision. 6. LPS is a broad strategic document that takes into consideration a large number of factors, including the community wishes, to make projections and predictions of where the Shire as well as individual areas might be heading in the next 20 years. 7. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.
140.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 3. Noted. 4. Noted, refer Comments 139.5.5.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 4. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 8. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 9. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 10. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Noted, refer Comments 139.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 9. The draft Outer Metropolitan Perth and Peel Sub Regional Strategy identifies Wattle Grove for future consideration as an urban investigation area due to its proximity to the urban front and employment opportunities around the airport. 10. Noted.
141.	<p>██████ ██████████ ████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 3. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 4. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 5. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 6. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 7. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 8. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 7. Noted, refer Comments 132.4.4. 8. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5. 9. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 10. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.

		<p>9. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>10. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>11. I want to continue riding our horses.</p> <p>12. I want to safely walk our dogs.</p> <p>I disagree because we recently moved here for the rural lifestyle and don't want it changed.</p>	
<p>142.</p>	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 3. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 4. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 6. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 7. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 8. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 9. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 10. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 11. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. <p>I disagree because I came to this area for the lifestyle and</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 4. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 5. Noted, refer Comments 139.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 140.9.9. 7. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 8. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 139.5.5.

		do not want that to change.	
143.	██████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 3. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 4. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 6. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 7. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 8. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 9. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 10. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 11. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 4. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 5. Noted, refer Comments 139.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 140.9.9. 7. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 8. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 9. Noted, refer Comments 139.5.5. 10. Noted. 11. Noted.
144.	██████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 3. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 4. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted, refer Comments 131.9.9. 4. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.

		<p>accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>7. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>8. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions.</p> <p>9. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife.</p> <p>10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>I disagree because the residents of Gavour road chose to live in a rural area and they are now having that threatened.</p>	<p>9. Noted, refer Comments 131.6.6.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.</p>
<p>145.</p>	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>6. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>7. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area.</p> <p>8. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>6. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11.</p> <p>7. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>8. Noted, refer Comments 132.4.4.</p> <p>9. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5.</p> <p>10. Noted.</p> <p>11. Noted.</p> <p>12. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.</p>

		<p>9. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>10. I want to continue riding our horses.</p> <p>11. I want to safely walk our dogs.</p> <p>12. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p>	
146.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>6. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>7. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions.</p> <p>8. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife.</p> <p>9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>6. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 131.9.9.</p>
147.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p>

		<p>the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 7. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 8. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 9. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 10. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 11. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. <p>I disagree because wildlife could be endangered by increased traffic from urbanisation.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 139.6.6. 9. Noted, refer Comments 140.9.9. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 139.5.5.
<p>148.</p>	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 131.6.6. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 9. Noted, refer Comments 131.9.9. 10. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.

		<p>Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because there is no consideration for the majority of people who actually live in the area.</p>	
149.	<p>██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 3. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 4. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 6. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 7. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 8. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 9. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11. 5. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 6. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 7. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 8. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5. 9. Noted, refer Comments 132.4.4. 10. Noted. 11. Noted. 12. Noted.

		<p>10. I want to safely walk our dogs. 11. I want to continue riding our horses. 12. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p>	
150.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want to continue riding our horses. 9. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 133.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 7. Noted, refer Comments 131.6.6. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11. 11. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 12. Noted.
151.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 131.6.6. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 131.9.9. 10. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.
<p>152.</p>	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 6. Noted, refer Comments 131.6.6. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 10. Noted, refer Comments 131.9.9.

		<p>8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p>	
153.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions.</p> <p>4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife.</p> <p>7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>6. Noted, refer Comments 131.6.6.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 131.9.9.</p>
154.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2.</p> <p>6. Noted, refer Comments 131.6.6.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p>

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because based on advice from the Shire of Kalamunda's Town Planners I made a decision to leave the urban sprawl and buy a rural property in an area that would not change.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 131.9.9. 10. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10.
155.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 3. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 4. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 6. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 7. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 4. Noted. 5. Noted, refer Comments 131.10.10. 6. Noted, refer Comments 132.2.2. 7. Noted, refer Comments 131.2.2. 8. Noted, refer Comments 132.4.4. 9. Noted, refer Comments 132.5.5. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 132.11.11. 12. Noted.

		<p>the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>8. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement.</p> <p>9. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>10. I want to safely walk our dogs.</p> <p>11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>12. I want to continue riding our horses.</p>	
156.	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>3. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031.</p> <p>4. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove.</p> <p>5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>11. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. The draft Strategy will establish the strategic vision and planning framework required for planning of the area to proceed. If the Strategy is adopted then it will be up to primarily landowners, and developers to decide whether they wish to develop the land. The issue of traffic management would be investigated as part of the structure plan process.</p> <p>3. The draft Outer Metropolitan Perth and Peel Sub Regional Strategy identifies Wattle Grove for future consideration as an urban investigation area due to its proximity to the urban front and employment opportunities around the airport.</p> <p>4. LPS is a broad strategic document that takes into consideration a large number of factors, including the community wishes, to make projections and predictions of where the Shire as well as individual areas might be heading in the next 20 years.</p> <p>5. Buffers to existing and proposed medium to low density residential development will still be achieved with the proposed residential bushland zone.</p> <p>6. The issue of Scheme amendment 18 will be dealt</p>

		<p>I disagree because this area is home to Cockatoos and they are endangered species.</p>	<p>with as a separate issue by the Minister for Planning. The focus of the Strategy is to plan the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted.</p> <p>10. Not sure where such a zone is referenced. It does not form part of the Directions 2031 and beyond strategic vision.</p> <p>11. Noted, refer Comment 6.</p>
<p>157.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 9. I want to safely walk our dogs. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 4. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 5. It is the understanding of the Shire that the City of Gosnells is progressing ahead with Stage 1 of the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area and will be looking at Stage 3A shortly. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 8. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Carnabys Cockatoos are protected under Federal legislation, as such all identified habitats will have to be protected. 12. Noted.

		<p>11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p>	
158.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. <p>I disagree because I don't want to live next to a housing estate.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. The projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growth by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. 4. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat can be provided for. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 11. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 12. Noted.

159.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 8. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 11. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. <p>I disagree because we as local residents and rate payers have told the Shire of Kalamunda that we don't want the rezoning in our area changed just to suit 2 landowners.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.3.3. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 8. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted.
160.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.3.3. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 8. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7.

		<p>Directions 2031.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 8. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 11. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. <p>I disagree because there are not enough facilities in Wattle Grove to develop a retirement village.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.
161.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. In the event that urbanisation of the area does proceed, no landowners will be forced to sell or subdivide their land. Effectively owners can remain on their landholding indefinitely. 10. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.

		<p>extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>I disagree because after growing up here the rural lifestyle has shaped who we are and we want the same for our future kids.</p>	
162.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.3.3. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 156.10.10. 11. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.
163.	<p>██████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. <p>I disagree because I chose this lifestyle and we want a Government that stands by its citizens and support their decisions.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Noted, refer Comments 158.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 11. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 12. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.
164.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. Noted, refer Comments 158.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 5. Noted.

		<p>3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031.</p> <p>4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>5. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs.</p> <p>8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>9. I want to continue riding our horses.</p> <p>10. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>12. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos</p> <p>I disagree because there is not enough service available in the area to urbanise it.</p>	<p>6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.</p> <p>7. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.</p> <p>11. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.</p> <p>12. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11.</p>
165.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove.</p> <p>4. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 156.4.4.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.</p> <p>6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted.</p>

		<p>accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>11. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031.</p> <p>We were told 28 years ago when we moved in the area that it would never be rezoned.</p>	<p>10. Noted, refer Comments 156.10.10.</p> <p>11. Noted, refer Comments 156.3.3.</p>
166.	<p>██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known.</p> <p>2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values.</p> <p>3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions.</p> <p>4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife.</p> <p>7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.</p> <p>6. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 161.9.9.</p>

		<p>9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land.</p> <p>I disagree because I think that Shire funds should be put to good use and not on draft strategies.</p>	
167.	<p>██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. Noted, refer Comments 158.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 11. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 12. Noted.

		I disagree because I think that Shire funds should be put to good use and not on draft strategies.	
168.	████████ ████████ ████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 11. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. <p>I disagree because money matters should be left out.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.3.3. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 156.10.10. 11. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.
169.	████████ ████████ ████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 4. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 5. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 8. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 8. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 9. Noted, refer Comments 161.9.9.
<p>170.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 4. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 5. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 8. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 9. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 161.9.9. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 8. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 9. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.

		facilities.	
171.	██████ ██████ ██████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 6. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 7. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 12. I want to safely walk our dogs. <p>I disagree because I would like to see this area remain rural.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 4. Noted, refer Comments 157.4.4. 5. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 12. Noted.
172.	██████ ██████ ██████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2.

		<p>and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 5. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 9. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 10. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 11. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. <p>I disagree because we enjoy our rural area and we would be very disappointed to see this zoning change happen.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Noted, refer Comments 157.4.4. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.10.10. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 9. Noted. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5.
<p>173.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. Noted, refer Comments 158.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 11. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.

		<p>Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to continue riding our horses. 10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 12. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. <p>I disagree because the Shire of Kalamunda cannot choose which blocks it wants to rezone in the area. It should be one in all and no spot rezoning.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 12. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.
<p>174.</p>	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 5. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 10. Noted, refer Comments 161.9.9.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 10. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 	
175.	<p>██████ ██████████ ████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 5. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 6. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 7. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 8. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 9. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 10. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because I feel aged care accommodation should be placed close to doctors and land zoned rural would be inappropriate.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 161.9.9. 9. Noted, refer Comments 156.4.4. 10. Noted, refer Comments 156.3.3. 11. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.

176.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 5. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 6. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 7. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 8. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 9. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because as a shift worker sleep is restful and I am not disturbing neighbours when I come home or leave from work.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 161.9.9. 8. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 9. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 10. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.
177.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 3. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 4. Noted. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 158.3.3.

		<p>Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 6. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 7. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 8. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 9. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 12. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 9. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 12. Noted.
<p>178.</p>	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 3. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 4. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 6. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 4. Noted. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 158.3.3. 8. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 9. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 12. Noted.

		<p>Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 8. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 9. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 12. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 	
179.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 3. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 4. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 5. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 6. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 7. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 8. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 4. Noted. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 158.3.3. 8. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 9. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 10. Noted. 11. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.

		<p>9. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs. 10. I want to continue riding our horses. 11. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p>	
<p>180.</p>	<p>██████ ██████████ ████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 4. The LPS is inconsistent with the Outer Metro Perth and Peel Sub Strategy. This strategy is critical to support Directions 2031. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 7. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 9. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 10. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 11. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. <p>I disagree because I moved here to keep my horses with me on our property and I don't want to lose that.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.3.3. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 7. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 156.10.10. 11. Noted.

181.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 5. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 6. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 7. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 8. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 9. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. <p>I disagree because we are happy to remain on septic and according to the Water board sewerage is not appropriate.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 4. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 5. Noted, refer Comments 161.9.9. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 9. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 10. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.
182.	<p>██████ ██████ ██████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 2. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 3. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 4. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 156.2.2. 2. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 3. Noted, refer Comments 158.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 157.5.5. 5. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6. 6. Noted. 7. Noted, refer Comments 158.7.7. 8. Noted. 9. Noted. 10. Noted, refer Comments 157.11.11.

		<p>develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature.</p> <p>5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p> <p>6. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>7. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs.</p> <p>8. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>9. I want to continue riding our horses.</p> <p>10. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos</p> <p>11. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>12. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>I disagree because on purchase we understood the long term plan for our area to always remain a buffer zone.</p>	<p>11. Noted, refer Comments 156.5.5.</p> <p>12. Noted, refer Comments 156.6.6.</p>
183.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed.</p> <p>2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area.</p> <p>3. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>5. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic.</p> <p>6. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>I disagree because I want the area to stay as it is.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Not sure where such a zone is referenced. It does not form part of the Directions 2031 and beyond strategic vision.</p> <p>4. The issue of Scheme amendment 18 will be dealt with as a separate issue by the Minister for Planning. The focus of the Strategy is to plan the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years.</p> <p>5. Noted.</p> <p>6. Buffers to existing and proposed medium to low density residential development will still be achieved with the proposed residential bushland</p>

			zone.
184.	██████████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 2. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 3. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained. 4. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 5. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted, refer Comments 183.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 183.6.6. 5. Noted. 6. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.
185.	██████████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I oppose the proposed Scheme Ammendment to rezone 32 Gavour Rd from Special Rural to Special Use. 2. My property adjoins Mr Leightons property and if the proposal would be approved it would completely destroy the rural amenity of the area. 3. It is important that we protect the natural environment of local animals for future generations. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 2. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 3. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat can be provided for.
186.	██████████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When we moved to Wattle Grove 16 years ago, we deliberately picked a block with a serene environment and intend to live here until we can no longer care for ourselves or the property and we are now shocked to learn that the Shire is considering changing the zoning from SR to residential. 2. We view this as a breach of the Shire's Organisational values. 3. The foothills should remain rural to prevent the further destruction of native animal habitats. 4. The Shire should make an effort to maintain this greenbelt and require owners to plant large trees. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the event that urbanisation of the area does proceed, no landowners will be forced to sell or subdivide their land. Effectively owners can remain on their landholding indefinitely. 2. Noted. 3. In the event of the urbanisation of this area, structure planning would ensure that the animal habitats and environmental links are preserved. 4. Local Planning Strategy proposes a green belt of Residential Bushland and Special Rural to be maintained between the foothills and the scarp.

<p>187.</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I oppose the DLPS in particular the proposed urbanisation of Special Rural Wattle Grove. 2. The DLPS is not acting as sustainably as it states. 3. The Shire of Kalamunda has failed to incorporate the Local Biodiversity strategy. 4. The proposed sites for aged care accommodation in Wattle Grove are located far away from essential services and public transport. 5. Another issue is that there is no access to reticulated sewerage in Wattle Grove East. 6. With issues such as climate change urban dense development should occur in areas that are close to public transport and essential services. 7. The WAPC has advised that there is no intention to develop the land south of Wattle Grove (City of Gosnells). Therefore any consideration to rezone Wattle Grove for urban uses is premature. 8. The majority of residents want this area to remain rural. 9. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 10. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 11. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Local Biodiversity Strategy is one of the documents referenced within the Local Planning Context for the DLPS. 4. All the sites proposed for aged accommodation have been individually assessed and are considered to have the necessary locational and servicing attributes for aged accommodation. 5. Provision of infrastructure will be a condition to be fulfilled before the urbanisation of the area is made possible. 6. Agreed. 7. It is the understanding of the Shire that the City of Gosnells is progressing ahead with Stage 1 of the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area and will be looking at Stage 3A shortly. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 183.6.6. 10. The projection of 20,000 for the Shire prepared by the Department of Planning is out of date and expected to be revised upwards when new data is released consistent with the projected 2.2 million growth by 2031 outlined in Directions 2031 and beyond. 11. Carnabys Cockatoos are protected under Federal legislation, as such all identified habitats will have to be protected.
<p>188.</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As a resident of Wattle Grove I am concerned about the future developments in our area. 2. Welshpool Road is already congested and if industry is allowed it will not only increase this but also devalue the housing in the area. 3. There is no indication for a shopping centre facility in 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. The issue of traffic management will have to be considered as part of the detailed investigation required to implement the proposed industrial areas. Should the zoning change, the appropriate buffers will be implemented towards the

		<p>Wattle Grove.</p> <p>4. Public transport is an issue in the area.</p>	<p>residential areas.</p> <p>3. The land is set aside for a commercial centre in Wattle Grove. The Shire of Kalamunda is finalising a draft Master Plan for the area. It will now depend on the landowners when they will choose to develop their land for a shopping centre.</p> <p>4. It is acknowledged that public transport is a major issue for the residents of the Shire. Transperth is currently reviewing Forrestfield/Wattle Grove area and hopefully will provide a better service in the future. The Shire is actively pursuing a rail link option from Perth Airport to the eastern suburbs.</p>
189.	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. I don't understand how the zoning of the inner parts of the Wattle Grove area can be changed to urban without also changing the current zoning of Rural Composite to urban as well.</p> <p>2. If the Special Rural areas are changed then there is no remaining need for the Rural Composite area.</p> <p>3. The DLPS is not prescriptive enough with the definition and timing for changes to the zoning.</p>	<p>1. & 2. Boundaries as shown on the Key Elements of the LPS plan are not definitive- this comment will be used to review the proposal.</p> <p>2. Specific current zoning is not relevant as to whether or not land should be considered for further investigation.</p> <p>3. LPS is a broad vision document. The regulatory framework will be determined under the Local Planning Scheme and other legislation.</p>
190.	<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████████████</p> <p>██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. I don't support the DLPS in respect of the Aged Accommodation in Wattle Grove.</p> <p>2. My property adjoins the proposed Aged Accommodation and given the proximity I can see all my aspirations for the area suddenly disappear.</p> <p>3. It is likely that rates will rise if the area is rezoned.</p> <p>4. I enjoy our Special Rural status and want the area to remain so.</p> <p>5. If the owner of Lot 500 wants to do something then let the party apply for SR.</p> <p>6. If the DLPS is adopted it will destroy many of the</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p> <p>3. Rates do not change with the rezoning of an area. Rates might change when a property is developed or subdivided in accordance with the new zoning.</p> <p>4. Noted.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p> <p>6. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat can be provided for.</p> <p>7. Noted.</p>

		<p>natural features that make the area so endearing to local residents and passers-by.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 8. The hills have some special character and significance to residents which must be preserved at all costs. 9. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 10. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 11. It is inevitable that Perth becomes a focal point for urbanization and industrial development but the rural areas close to the city should be preserved. 12. The proposed rezoning areas in Gavour Rd are totally unsuitable for Aged Care Accommodation give the severity of traffic and noise. 13. The close proximity of high voltage power lines on the Gavour Rd property are a health issue. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Noted. 9. LPS is a broad strategic document that takes into consideration a large number of factors, including the community wishes, to make projections and predictions of where the Shire as well as individual areas might be heading in the next 20 years. 10. The vision of the State Planning Strategy statement is a broad and general statement of how Perth should develop in the long term. This vision acknowledges that local government must plan for population growth. 11. The intent of the draft Strategy is to establish the strategic direction of the Shire over the next 20 years during which time the population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million and 3.5 million by 2050. Is it sustainable and/or realistic to continue to expect growth to sprawl north and south of the Perth Metropolitan Region into areas which does not have access to the necessary services and infrastructure, when land such as Wattle Grove being only 20 minutes from Perth which can be serviced with the necessary infrastructure and services and has access to existing and future employment base around Perth Airport.? 12. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 13. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.
<p>191.</p>	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I don't support the DLPS in respect of the Aged Accommodation in Wattle Grove. 2. My property adjoins the proposed Aged Accommodation and given the proximity I can see all my aspirations for the area suddenly disappear. 3. It is likely that rates will rise if the area is rezoned. 4. I enjoy our Special Rural status and want the area to remain so. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 3. Noted, refer Comment 190.3.3. 4. Noted. 5. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 6. Noted, refer Comments 190.6.6. 7. Noted. 8. Noted.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. If the owner of Lot 500 wants to do something then let the party apply for SR. 6. If the DLPS is adopted it will destroy many of the natural features that make the area so endearing to local residents and passers-by. 7. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 8. The hills have some special character and significance to residents which must be preserved at all costs. 9. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 10. The LPS is inconsistent with the State Planning Strategy (December 2007) 'Vision' Statement. 11. It is inevitable that Perth becomes a focal point for urbanization and industrial development but the rural areas close to the city should be preserved. 12. The proposed rezoning areas in Gavour Rd are totally unsuitable for Aged Care Accommodation give the severity of traffic and noise. 13. The close proximity of high voltage power lines on the Gavour Rd property are a health issue. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Noted, refer Comments 190.9.9. 10. Noted, refer Comments 190.10.10. 11. Noted, refer Comments 190.11.11. 12. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 13. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.
<p>192.</p>	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I object the proposal in the DLPS of aged care accommodation in Gavour Road because Council has rejected a proposal to rezone land at lot 500 Gavour Rd in 2004 and 2007. 2. The elderly should not be tucked away into lifestyle villages. 3. High care aged accommodation needs to be addressed by Federal and State Governments so that specific facilities can be built. 4. The area East of Tonkin Hwy is identified for future Urban Development is lacking infrastructure and deep sewerage. 5. Traffic problems would have to be eased somehow. 6. The buffer along the foothills should be retained. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 2. Noted? 3. Agreed, however, most facilities like this are built by the private developers. 4. Any urbanisation will have to be preceded by the provision of necessary infrastructure. 5. The issue of traffic management will have to be considered as part of the detailed investigation required to implement the proposed urban areas. 6. Should the zoning change, the appropriate buffers will be implemented towards the residential areas. 7. Noted. 8. See the answer to 6.

		<p>7. I chose this lifestyle to bring up my daughter in a rural area.</p> <p>8. In this age of higher concentration of population I believe it is more important than ever to maintain buffer zones of open spaces.</p>	
193.	██████	<p>1. I would like to voice my disapproval for the plan to develop the area South of Welshpool Road East as an Industrial Area.</p>	<p>1. The subject land abuts land located in the City of Gosnells which has been identified under the Maddington Kenwick Strategic. Given the potential impacts associated with commercial vehicles accessing Welshpool Road East via Coldwell and Brook Street affected residents expressed some interest in having the land located in the Shire of Kalamunda being also considered as future Industrial.</p>
194.	██████ ██████ ██████	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. I object the proposed rezoning of 32 Gavour Rd from special rural to special use because I do not want to see this unique rural environment destroyed to satisfy the financial aspiration of the landowner.</p> <p>2. The urbanisation of Wattle Grove should not be supported.</p> <p>3. The Wattle Grove residents have chosen a rural lifestyle and we don't want to lose this.</p> <p>4. This proposed rezoning will result in a development which conflicts with the rural character of the surroundings.</p> <p>5. The proposed density is effectively equivalent with an R20 zoning.</p> <p>6. The land use conflicts directly with the Shire's own Town Planning Scheme No 3.</p> <p>7. The urban use would conflict directly with the rural zoning under the MRS.</p> <p>8. The WAPC has made a recommendation to the Shire in June 07 to not go ahead with the proposal.</p> <p>9. The WAPC recommended that the Minister for Planning</p>	<p>1. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p> <p>2. Noted, refer Comments 190.11.11.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p> <p>4. Noted.</p> <p>5. The density of the proposed Urban Growth area in Wattle Grove has not being defined at this stage.</p> <p>6. The Local Planning Scheme No 3 defines land uses in specific zones. Should the zone change, land uses would change as well.</p> <p>7. MRS zoning would have to be changed to accommodate the change of zoning in this area.</p> <p>8. The WAPC has reviewed the Local Planning Strategy and allowed the Shire to start with the advertising of the document. WAPC will have a final say on the Strategy once the Council adopts the document.</p> <p>9. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p> <p>10. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p> <p>11. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p>

		<p>doesn't allow the Shire to advertise Amendment No 18 and not support the rezoning.</p> <p>10. There is no guarantee that this proposal would provide high care nursing facilities.</p> <p>11. There will be a significant increase in traffic and which will destroy the rural lifestyle.</p>	
195.	<p>██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. I do not support the draft of Lot 500 Gavour Rd on any other rezoning in my area.</p> <p>2. Rezoning of Wattle Grove East Hwy would threaten all of the mitigation zone native bird and bush life.</p> <p>3. It needs to remain as it is to provide a buffer zone for all to enjoy including bikes, horses and wild life.</p>	<p>1. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p> <p>2. & 3. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat can be provided for.</p>
196.	<p>██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. I can understand that the area of Wattle Grove East of Tonkin Hwy may be needed for urban development but not for a long time.</p> <p>2. The thriving wild life needs to be protected.</p> <p>3. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area.</p> <p>4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation.</p> <p>5. Wattle Grove was designated a 'Mitigation Zone' and as such this area should be maintained.</p> <p>6. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia.</p> <p>7. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities.</p>	<p>1. The Strategy is looking at potential changes in the next 20 years.</p> <p>2. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat can be provided for.</p> <p>3. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p> <p>4. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p> <p>5. Noted, refer Comments 183.3.3.</p> <p>6. Noted, refer Comments 183.6.6.</p> <p>7. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.</p>
197.	<p>██████████ ██████████████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. I object to the proposed industrial development to the</p>	<p>1. Noted, refer Comments 193.1.1.</p>

	██████████	<p>Brook Rd area as I feel there is already a large number of industrial and commercial properties in the Welshpool/Kewdale area that are currently on the market or void of tenancy.</p> <p>2. There are a large number of commercial properties that require renovation or a rebuild to lift the general streetscape and quality of business.</p>	<p>2. Noted.</p>
198.	██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. I oppose the industrial development planned for Wattle Grove as I live nearby.</p> <p>2. Such a development would devalue our home.</p> <p>3. We chose to live here because of the rural outlook.</p>	<p>1. Noted, refer Comments 193.1.1.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p>
199.	██████████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. I don't support the DLPS in respect to aged accommodation or the urbanisation of Wattle Grove because there should be areas left to stay with their original natural settings.</p> <p>2. Wattle Grove is one of the best locations around Perth metro and urbanisation will just destroy it.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted, refer to Comments 190.11.11.</p>
200.	██████████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <p>1. I disagree with the DLPS especially the proposal to change the zoning in Wattle Grove from Special Rural to Urban development as it will cause further loss of trees, native flora and fauna and birdlife.</p> <p>2. The urbanization will end our hopes of a home in the Forest.</p> <p>3. The proposed location lacks shopping, medical and pharmaceutical facilities.</p> <p>4. I am concerned to see the Light Industry zoning proposed for the area to Wattle Grove as it will increase the traffic on Welshpool Rd East.</p> <p>5. There is no reference in the DLPS to the Brixton Wetlands.</p>	<p>1. The introduction of the residential bushland zone with its emphasis on the retention of vegetation will ensure trees and habitat is preserved.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Should the area be urbanised, structure plan would have to provide for all the necessary facilities.</p> <p>4. Should the zoning in this area change to industrial, the issue of traffic management would be investigated as part of the structure plan process.</p> <p>5. Brixton Wetlands are in the City of Gosnells, not in the Shire of Kalamunda.</p>

201.	[REDACTED]	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wattle Grove is in desperate need of community facilities and there are very little details, plans or time frames for this. 2. The proposed development of the area south of Welshpool Rd East as an industrial area is of concern as it would ruin the peaceful rural aspect of Wattle Grove. 3. This area should be kept as a rural area in order to preserve the quality of life enjoyed by residents. 4. The Shire of Kalamunda is putting financial and economic interests over the interests of its residents. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Structure Plan for Wattle Grove urban area allocates the land for community facilities. The Shire is in the process of reviewing the community facilities throughout the Shire- the outcome of this review will see a maintenance done on existing facilities and a provision of the new facilities where the need has been established. 2. Noted, refer Comments 193.1.1. 3. Noted. 4. The Shire of Kalamunda is responding to the requirement and predictions from the State Government in terms of providing additional accommodation for the predicted increase in population in the next 20 years.
202.	[REDACTED]	<p>Agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I note that the proposed Future Urban Area in Wattle Grove is designated in a circular form is inconsistent with the way in which other proposed future land uses are designated across this LPS and I suggest with respect that this area should be similarly defined. 2. This circular form is confusing the owners of those lots where this circle cuts diagonally through. 3. I understand that the individuals who support this proposition will be required to demonstrate that this proposed urban zone is able to be service by power, telephone, water and sewerage. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The boundaries of the proposed area are not definite. This is a broad stroke proposal that will be refined through further research and planning. 2. See the answer to 1. 3. There will be no need for the individual landowners to demonstrate such availability. The area will be looked at as a whole and the provision of infrastructure will be researched and looked at as a whole.
203.	[REDACTED]	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. State Planning has designated the area we live in as a wildlife corridor for many years, but if the DLPS is implemented, most of this corridor will disappear. 2. The majority of residents in this area have long opposed rezoning and yet the Shire of Kalamunda persists in trying to rezone. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Should the area zone change to urban, structure planning has the ability to identify environmental areas and linkages and preserve them. 2. The Strategy is looking in the next 20 years, not necessarily in short term rezoning. 3. Any urbanisation will have to be services by the

		3. Infrastructure in the area of Gavour Rd does not support the urbanisation as depicted in the DLPS.	necessary infrastructure.
204.	██████ ██████████ ██████	Disagree 1. State Planning has designated the area we live in as a wildlife corridor for many years, but if the DLPS is implemented, most of this corridor will disappear. 2. The majority of residents in this area have long opposed rezoning and yet the Shire of Kalamunda persists in trying to rezone. 3. Infrastructure in the area of Gavour Rd does not support the urbanisation as depicted in the DLPS.	1. Noted, refer Comments 203.1.1. 2. Noted, refer Comments 203.2.2. 3. Noted, refer Comments 203.3.3.
205.	██████ ██████ ██████	Disagree 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known. 2. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS seems to have been formulated by the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 6. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 7. The LPS is based on the assumption of a predicted population growth of 25'000 by 2031, which is inconsistent with State Government forecasts of 20'000 by 2031. 8. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 9. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 10. I want to be able to look at trees rather than roofs.	1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 3. The draft Strategy will establish the strategic vision and planning framework required for planning of the area to proceed. If the Strategy is adopted then it will be up to primarily landowners, and developers to decide whether they wish to develop the land. The issue of traffic management would be investigated as part of the structure plan process. 4. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 5. Noted, refer Comments 190.9.9. 6. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 7. Noted, refer Comments 187.10.10. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 185.3.3. 10. Noted, refer Comments 185.3.3. 11. Noted, refer Comments 187.11.11. 12. Noted, refer Comments 187.11.11.

		<p>11. I want to provide a refuge for Carnaby Cockatoos 12. Clearing trees impacts on Carnaby Cockatoos.</p> <p>I disagree because the Shire of Kalamunda has no respect for the wishes of the local community of Wattle Grove.</p>	
206.	<p>█████ ████████ ████████</p>	<p>Yes to Scenario 4</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. With the projected population growth I think we should get it right from the start by working with a few property owners rather than many in the future. 2. I see no reason to have residential bushland south of Welshpool Road because most properties on Fontana, Judith and Jack road are already the minimum proposed size you are recommending for residential bushland. 3. Real estate agents don't feel that there is a desire for larger block sizes. 4. If you look at this area now my place included all that can be seen are dead paddocks in summer and weeds in winter. 5. Scenario 4 is a great plan that can only benefit the Shire and the community and has my full support. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted. 5. Noted.
207.	<p>███████ ██████████ ████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I oppose to the proposed industrial development in the area south of Welshpool Road as I believe an industrial area would spoil the area for me and other residents of Wattle Grove. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 193.1.1.
208.	<p>█████</p>	<p>Agree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Areas of Wattle Grove which have large land holdings should be used for retirement villages. 2. As set out in Scenario 4 a small strip of special rural and the national park is enough of a buffer. 3. All other areas should be urban. 4. Orchard areas should be retained if they are used for food production. 5. In infill areas it was a good idea to include, that older 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Orchard areas are currently under review in a separate process. 5. This can be requested under the Residential Design Codes of WA. 6. They may do that. Nothing will force anyone to

		<p>houses must be brought up to a higher standard before sale.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Land owners in proposed urban areas may wish to retain their lifestyle on larger blocks. 7. Land owners in proposed residential bushland areas may not be able to sell to developers as I am told it is not as profitable to them to develop larger blocks. 8. This may result in properties retained to such a time as urban development is allowed. 	<p>subdivide if the zoning changes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Noted. 8. Any subdivision will only happen when there is a market demand for a certain type/size lots in a certain area and subdividing becomes financially viable exercise for the owner
209.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 2. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road. 5. The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. 6. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 2. Noted. 3. Noted, refer Comments 205.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 5. Noted, refer Comments 190.9.9. 6. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.
210.	<p>██████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The local community want to keep Wattle Grove rural and the proposed aged accommodation conflicts with the rural character of the area. 2. We like our area as it is and do not want to see it changed. 3. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 4. The LPS seems to have been formulated be the Shire of Kalamunda in an attempt to minimise local objection to 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 2. Noted. 3. Noted, refer Comments 205.3.3. 4. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 5. Noted, refer Comments 190.9.9. 6. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4.

		<p>the rezoning of Lot 500 Gavour Road.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The LPS completely ignores the wishes of our community in Wattle Grove. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 	
211.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The several industrial areas close by may not be within the Shire’s boundary but they would be a better answer instead of clearing more natural environment. Wattle Grove residents feel that their area is being sacrificed to make money for the Shire. There is nothing around here for the teenagers to do. The traffic on Welshpool Rd is already far too much fir the road design and an industrial area would exacerbate this problem to the extreme. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Does this comment propose industrial development instead of urban? Re zoning is considered as a result of the State Government projections for the future increase in population in the next 20 years, not as a financial endeavour. Noted. The traffic issues will have to be dealt with at the stage of structure planning for the area.
212.	<p>██████████ ██████████</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> We act on behalf of the owner of Lots 1-4 Hartfield and Lewis Road in Forrestfield. On behalf of our clients we seek Council support for a medium density Residential zone R30/R40 over this land. Land forms a natural extension of urban land to the north and medium density would assist in meeting population scenarios. Proposed Special Residential 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. It is acknowledged that there is merit in considering more intensive development in this area as the land is frontal to an established residential area. Further consideration may be given to identifying the sites for residential purposes with appropriate densities to be determined.
213.	<p>██████████████████ ██████████████████ ██████████ ████████</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> We act on behalf of the owners of Lot 500 Gavour Rd, Wattle Grove. We request for the boundaries of the recommended ‘proposed urban investigation area’ in Wattle Grove to correspond with the existing lot layout and street network. We request that the proposed ‘urban investigation area’ proceed directly to ‘urban’ zone in the Metropolitan Regional Scheme (MRS). We request for portion of the area proposed as 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. The “Urban Growth Area” identified for Wattle Grove was not intended to be reflective of existing property or road boundaries at this stage. At this stage it is considered premature to proceed to amending the Metropolitan Region Scheme. Not supported at this stage.

		'residential bushland' to be amended and the 'proposed urban investigation area' be changed to the urban zone and be extended.	
214.	██████████ ██████████ ██████████	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We act on behalf of the Landowners of Lots 303, 53, 214 and 213 Brentwood Road, Wattle Grove. Our client has had a vision to develop the sites for a Lifestyle Village for many years. 2. The purpose of this submission is to support the strategic intent for the Wattle Grove locality as reflected in the Strategy. 3. References to the subject land in the Strategy should include each of the constituent lots. 4. The boundaries of the Wattle Grove Urban Investigation Area should be modified to correlate with logical cadastral and road reserve boundaries. 5. Details pertaining to the distribution of housing densities within the Wattle Grove Urban Investigation Area should be clarified and amended. 6. A commitment from the Shire to facilitate required planning, servicing and environmental investigations should be identified and reinforced in the Strategy. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. See comment 213.2. 4. See comment 213.2. 5. It is premature to assign residential densities at this stage. 6. Investigations should be the responsibility of all stakeholders, including the state and land owners involved with the implementation of the various elements of the Strategy.
215.	██████████ ██████████ ██████████	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I don't want more people in Wattle Grove. 2. I don't want more traffic in Wattle Grove. 3. I don't want more rules and restrictions or loss of rural lifestyle living space in this area. 4. I want to keep Wattle Grove rural. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. Noted.

<p>216.</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 205.3.3. 3. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 5. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 6. Noted. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 161.9.9. 10. Noted, refer Comments 183.6.6.
<p>217.</p>	<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>Disagree</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Wattle Grove community has made their feelings regarding the urbanisation of the area well known 2. If adopted, the LPS would increase traffic resulting in the loss of rural lifestyle and values. 3. I am a resident of Wattle Grove and have expressed disapproval to rezone Lot 500 Gavour Road on at least six occasions. 4. The Wattle Grove community have stated that Lot 500 Gavour Road and Lots 303, 53, 213 Brentwood Road are inappropriate for aged accommodation. 5. The WAPC have confirmed that the aged accommodation sites in Gavour and Brentwood Road do not meet the need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted, refer Comments 205.3.3. 3. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 4. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 5. Noted, refer Comments 183.4.4. 6. Noted, refer Comments 185.3.3. 7. Noted. 8. Noted. 9. Noted, refer Comments 161.9.9. 10. Noted, refer Comments 183.6.6.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. I want to enjoy the trees and wildlife. 7. I want children to enjoy safe playing in the area. 8. I want to ride my bicycle without increased traffic. 9. I want to maintain my interests that are reliant on the extra space available on my rural land. 10. The metropolitan urban dwellers rely on the rural buffer zones for more than a visual break from suburbia. 	
218.	<p>██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████</p>	<p>Greg Rowe and Associates acts on behalf of the Wattle Grove Action Group.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Our client disagrees with the statement on page 10 of the DLPS saying that Scenario 3 best meets the expressed needs and wants of the community, because the Land Use Planning Workshops undertaken in 2007/08 revealed that the overwhelming majority of residents within the Shire desired the retention of Wattle Grove as semi-rural area. 2. Our client disagrees with the statement on page 10 of the DLPS saying that the introduction of new urban areas in Maida Vale South and Wattle Grove recognise the locational attributes of these areas for urban growth by virtue of the fact that urbanisation of Wattle Grove is heavily constrained by a lack of existing and planned service and infrastructure and in this relation considers the DLPS premature and unrealistic. 3. The identification of a new urban cell in Wattle Grove is not consistent with State Government strategy as the urban cell is not identified within any five urban expansion categories listed in the Strategy. 4. The DLPS does not recognise undeveloped urban zoned land such as Cell 7 as accommodating short-term population growth and our client is of the view that development within existing residential areas should be considered before the urbanisation of semi-rural areas is contemplated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The intent of the draft Strategy is to establish the strategic direction of the Shire over the next 20 years during which time the population of Perth is expected to grow to 2.2 million and 3.5 million by 2050. It is not sustainable and/or realistic to continue to expect growth to sprawl north and south of the Perth Metropolitan Region into areas which does not have access to the necessary services and infrastructure, when land such as Wattle Grove being only 20 minutes from Perth which can be serviced with the necessary infrastructure and services and has access to existing and future employment base around Perth Airport. 2. Advice received from the relevant service authority is that the necessary services can be provided to the Wattle Grove area. The question is who is prepared to bring the necessary services to the area. 3. The draft Outer Metropolitan Perth and Peel Sub Regional Strategy forms an integral part of Directions 2031 in identifying areas to accommodate future population growth. In this regard, the strategy identifies Wattle Grove for future consideration as an urban investigation

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Our client requests that the Shire of Kalamunda reviews its population projections to reflect population growth obtainable through infill development opportunities. 6. The Shire of Kalamunda's projected population increase can be accommodated without rezoning any land in the Wattle Grove locality as demonstrated on Table 3 on page 90 of the DLPS and on this basis the proposed urban cell in Wattle Grove should be deleted and the area retain its existing zoning. 7. The identification of Wattle Grove as a new urban area is premature given the known servicing constraints and inconsistent with WAPC's State Planning Policy No. 3 – Urban Growth and Settlement that states that new urban area should only be considered where they can be sufficiently serviced by local and regional infrastructure. 8. Our client is of the view that planning for service provision needs to be undertaken well before commencing structure planning and requests that the Shire of Kalamunda amend the DLPS to include specific reference to the requirement for comprehensive planning of urban growth areas as a precursor the rezoning, structure planning and subdivision. 9. Our client requests clarification of the meaning of the term 'residential compatible use and development' (page 86 of DLPS). 10. Our client supports WAPC's request to analyse issues relating to bushfire risk, future servicing of the area and other relevant planning considerations before supporting such a change. 11. The DLPS recognises the difficulties presented by servicing constraints and in this regard it would seem logical that a comprehensive servicing program be prepared with relevant authorities before areas of urban growth are identified and on this basis our client recommends that the DLPS be amended to require the preparation of such a program. 	<p>area due to its proximity to the urban front and employment opportunities around the airport.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. All existing urban cells in the Shire have been considered and incorporated into the population growth scenarios. If the Shire progresses Option 1 growth by 10,000, then it is considered that all existing urban cells will be completed by 2017. This is not the preferred scenario as the draft Strategy is a 20 year plan for growth. 5. Infill development opportunities have been considered and form an important part of the growth strategies. However, as per Directions 2031 growth is proposed to be achieved through a combination of strategies including the identification of new urban areas. 6. The proposed growth scenario can only be achieved through the implementation of a range of planning strategies. The 20,000 is based on the projections prepared by the Department of Planning which are out of date and need to be revised consistent with the projections contained in Directions 2031. 7. Noted, refer Comments 218.2.2. 8. Future planning of the area will require detailed planning investigations to be undertaken, including servicing to support the MRS amendment process. 9. This refers to the suitability of the land use with its emphasis on tree and vegetation retention as adjacent to low to medium density residential development. 10. A Bush Fire Strategy will be prepared to plan for the risk in the Shire. 11. Noted, refer Comments 218.8.8. 12. Issues relating to appropriate transition zones and buffer to the City of Gosnells will be considered as part of the structure plan process.
--	--	--	--

		<p>12. The proposed urban cell in Wattle Grove is incompatible with immediately adjoining rural zoned land located within the City of Gosnells and on this base we seek advice from the Shire as to how potential land use conflicts are to be managed and whether discussion with the City of Gosnells has been undertaken.</p> <p>13. Page 87 of the DLPS recognises the opportunity for increased residential densities around the Kalamunda and Forrestfield District Centres which is supported, as these areas take advantage of existing services and infrastructure.</p> <p>14. An analysis of the potential lot yield generated by increasing residential densities in the vicinity of existing commercial centres should be undertaken and the findings included in the DLPS.</p> <p>15. The DLPS identification of six potential sites for the development of aged person accommodation within the Shire of Kalamunda is not exhaustive and our office has undertaken its own assessment and has identified in excess of fifteen (15) additional alternative sites.</p> <p>16. Lot 500 Gavour Road is not suitable for accommodating aged persons development as the provision of appropriate services such as reticulated sewer are inexistent and the comment on page 89 of DLPS, that the provision of appropriate services can be provided, is therefore incorrect and require comment.</p> <p>17. Our client requests that the statement on page 89 of the DLPS regarding the suitability for accommodating aged persons be removed from the DLPS, that the locational and servicing constraints presented at Lot 500 are recognised in the text and that Lot 500 be removed from the list of potential sites.</p> <p>18. The introduction of urban land use and the development of an aged person facility on Lot 500 conflicts with the purpose and intent of the Residential Bushland Zone as this zone is providing for low density residential development on larger lot sizes and an</p>	<p>13. Noted.</p> <p>14. A structure plan is currently being prepared for the Forrestfield District Centre in accordance with SPP 4.2 which will consider increased densities around the commercial centre. Infill growth projections in Forrestfield have been included in the population growth scenarios.</p> <p>15. Noted.</p> <p>16. The issue of Scheme amendment 18 will be dealt with a separate issue to that of the draft Strategy. The focus of the Strategy is to plan the strategic direction of the Shire for the next 20 years. Irrespective of the proposal for Gavour Road the draft Strategy recognises the suitability of the Wattle Grove area as future urban.</p> <p>17. Noted.</p> <p>18. Noted, refer Comments 218.16.16.</p> <p>19. Noted, refer Comments 218.16.16.</p> <p>20. Noted, refer Comments 218.16.1.</p> <p>21. Noted. Locational criteria will be established in a Local Planning Policy made under the Local Planning Scheme.</p> <p>22. Noted. Appropriate wording will be included in a Local Planning Policy.</p> <p>23. Noted. Agree that this statement requires qualification.</p> <p>24. Amendment 18 to the Local Planning Scheme is before the Minister for Planning for determination.</p> <p>25. Noted. However it would be assumed that any such facilities would likely draw from a catchment greater than the immediate locality.</p> <p>26. Incorrect. Residential Bushland is an existing zone under Local Planning Scheme No.3.</p>
--	--	--	--

		<p>estimated 220 dwellings will erode the rural landscape.</p> <p>19. Lot 500 Gavour Road is inconsistent with the Shire of Kalamunda's Aged Accommodation Strategy which states that there is a need to plan for accessible and affordable facilities which are integrated and not separated from existing and planned future surrounding development and infrastructure.</p> <p>20. The DLPS states that there should be a demonstrated commitment to urbanisation of the surrounding area and a structure plan to create a quality urban environment, but our client finds that Lot 500 does not exhibit these requirements.</p> <p>21. The Aged Accommodation Strategy states the need for a Local Planning Policy to establish locational and design criteria for the planning and assessment of such proposals. The DLPS does not contain the locational and design criteria the Shire advised to contain into the DLPS in lieu of the preparation of a local planning policy.</p> <p>22. Point 14 on page 143 of the DLPS states that the identification and rezoning of properties for aged accommodation as one of the main opportunities within the Shire. This statement needs to be qualified by concluding with the words 'which are locationally suitable and able to be appropriately serviced'.</p> <p>23. Point 16 on page 143 of the DLPS refers to the use of all land available for aged accommodation as another main opportunity within the Shire, but not all land is suitable for such use and accordingly this statement should be removed.</p> <p>24. The Aged Accommodation Strategy concluded that the real demand was for high care places, not low care as is predominantly proposed for Lot 500 Gavour Road, Wattle Grove and the DLPS fails to provide a requirement for such places.</p> <p>25. The identification of two (2) potential aged person accommodation sites in Wattle Grove seems at odds</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>with the Aged Accommodation Strategy, which states that Wattle Grove currently has one of the lowest percentages of population over the age of 55 years.</p> <p>26. Page 118 of the DLPS states that LPS 3 controls and manages subdivision and development through the rural zones. This statement is incorrect given that the Residential Bushland is a proposed, rather than existing zone under LPS 3.</p>	
219.	<p>██████████ ██████████</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I act on behalf of the owners of 92 Gavour Road, Wattle Grove. 2. My client is generally in agreement with being located in the proposed Residential Bushland area. 3. The property is materially the same as nearby lots which have been developed with areas of 2,000m². As such support is sought for the subject lot to be considered for lots of 2,000m². 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. Residential Bushland may allow for lots of 2,000m²; however this will be established for individual lots at the structure plan stage.
220.	<p>██████████ ██████████</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We act for the owners of Lots 32 & 36 Brook Road, Wattle Grove. 2. Subject sites are currently reserved for Parks & Recreation under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) and are adjacent to an existing soil processing plant. 3. Botanical surveys reveal that the current designation for "Bush Forever" is not appropriate. 4. Potentially due to the current Reservation, the sites were not identified under the LPS for having any development potential. The LPS should however set out the long term strategic vision for the locality. 5. The sites are located in close proximity to the area under consideration for industrial purposes in the City of Gosnells "Maddington-Kenwick Strategic Employment Area". 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted. 2. Noted. 3. Noted. 4. The sites are adjacent to land identified in the LPS for potential development for industrial purposes. Subject to the WA Planning Commission removing the Reservation under the MRS and further investigation of potential environmental constraints, it is considered that the subject land could be included in the proposed industrial area.

Copies of submissions may be made available to Councillors.