

**Submission Table**

No.	Assess No.	Submission	Officer Comments
1.	A45387	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Examined the plans put out to the public and feel this is an excellent multi-faceted approach to the Reserve which will address a number of needs and will benefit all age groups.</li> <li>2. I commend the Council for taking a pro-active initiative which addresses a number of community needs including but not restricted to aged care.</li> <li>3. The proposal will ensure that older and elderly citizens are not isolated but carefully integrated into this planned community in an enhanced environment which looks at conservation issues as well.</li> <li>4. Well done! Let's get on with it as soon as possible.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> <li>2. Noted.</li> <li>3. Noted.</li> <li>4. Noted.</li> </ol>
2.	NA / Mundaring Resident	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) I am concerned about the type of vegetation featured in your concept plan.</li> <li>2) Although it is not clear exactly what species have been used, some of the feature plants look like introduced and weedy grasses. In particular Fountain Grass, possibly Elephant Grass or African Feather Grass.</li> <li>3) These three grasses were in the genus *Pennisetum, but this has been merged with *Cenchrus.</li> <li>4) Further information is available in Western Weeds (Hussey et al) as *Pennisetum. The fact they are in a weed book, says it all.</li> <li>5) Some years ago Landcare grant funding was obtained to control African Feather Grass at Lesmurdie Falls.</li> <li>6) Fountain Grass is a highly invasive weed from Africa which is spreading at an alarming rate along the Darling Scarp. It is particularly bad at Swan View near John Forrest National Park, and at Boya along the road verges. In short, these</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The vegetation used in the Concept Plan is simply a concept and used for design purposes. The intention for the site is to utilise species that are native and feature in the reserve specifically and to protect the existing native vegetation on site. There is no intention to use weed species. Any existing weed species will be subject to weed management. The vegetation utilised for landscaping will be subject to detailed design.</li> <li>2. See comment 1 above.</li> <li>3. See comment 1 above.</li> <li>4. Noted.</li> <li>5. See comment 1 above.</li> <li>6. See comment 1 above.</li> <li>7. See comment 1 above.</li> </ol>

		<p>are highly invasive weeds, and much time and money had already been spent trying to control them. Eradication at this stage is probably not possible.</p> <p>7) In doing Landcare designs, it is better to use local native species that are not a fire hazard.</p> <p>8) For strappy leaf plants, Dianella and similar are often use.</p> <p>9) If you wish to use local native grassed, Feather Speargrass is a good choice, and has been available at Zanthorrea Nursery.</p> <p>10) A landscape design of low strappy leaf plants such as Purple Flag and low spreading shrubs and scramblers would make a heathland type garden which would be very attractive and waterwise. It would also provide shelter for bandicoots.</p> <p>11) Please do not use invasive weedy plants, grasses or otherwise, in your landscaping. There are better options. We have enough weeds.</p>	<p>8. See comment 1 above.</p> <p>9. See comment 1 above.</p> <p>10. See comment 1 above.</p> <p>11. See comment 1 above.</p>
3.	A61329	<p>1) In regards to the Cambridge redevelopment, I am all for doing more revegetation but I do not want anymore parks being developed.</p> <p>2) We don't need them there are alot of parks in Forrestfield.</p> <p>3) I am a rate payer and I don't want my rates wasted on this"</p> <p>4) I do not want anymore over 55 homes I am a rate payer and I don't want my rates wasted on this.</p> <p>5) I moved to Forrestfield because I liked the area and I don't want it ruin by more homes for elderly and over 55 or any more parks the elderly can live elsewhere.</p> <p>6) I have very shocked, disappointed and saddened by the council wanting to sell native land in Cambridge reserve to provided more houses for over 55s</p>	<p>1. The intention of the project is to transfer a portion of the reserve to fund the improvement of the reserve for recreational and conservation purposes. The Public Open Space Strategy identified Cambridge Reserve as a site of low quality. The Public Open Space Strategy 2018 aims to improve the quality of low grade POS, the project provides the opportunity to improve the quality of the reserve. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the</p>

		<p>7) I currently enjoy the land as it is what is the point of building another dog facility as there is also a big dog park in Forrestfield near the Cambridge reserve.</p> <p>8) I enjoy walking and exercising in the area and I also enjoy my connection with nature if I knew about this development I wouldn't of moved to Forrestfield.</p> <p>9) I may have to consider selling and I recently bought in the near by area as a minimum council should of advised me of the attack to native land this project reminds me of the roe 8 highway construction and I hope people protests against this project.</p>	<p>opportunity to achieve the recommendations of both Strategies.</p> <p>2. See comment 1 above.</p> <p>3. The improvement of the reserve will not utilise rates funds, it will be funded by the sale of the residential/aged care portion of the site.</p> <p>4. See comment 3 above.</p> <p>5. See comment 1 above.</p> <p>6. See comment 1 above.</p> <p>7. The dog park has been removed from the final Concept Plan and has been replaced by bush re-vegetation.</p> <p>8. The ability to walk and exercise at the site will still be possible through the proposed improved pathway network in the Concept Plan.</p> <p>9. The Concept Plan was advertised to surrounding landowners and the community.</p>
4.	A48955 (Submission 1)	<p>1) I think it is an excellent proposal.</p> <p>2) The balance of building to retention of the bush is well thought out with the buildings being placed on the worst degraded areas of bushland.</p> <p>3) If it is approved as a care facility I would be in full agreement with the proposal for aged care to be situated in this area. This is a much-needed facility in the City.</p>	<p>1. Noted.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p>

5.	Rise – Great Northern Highway, Middle Swan	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Rise is a provider of aged care services to a significant number of residents within the City of Kalamunda (880 individuals)</li> <li>2) Many of these aged people are experiencing memory loss and will very likely require residential aged care at some point into the future.</li> <li>3) Evidence demonstrates that better outcomes are achieved when people are supported in proximity to their natural community of friends and family and therefore I applaud the City's decision to locate a Residential Aged Care facility on this site.</li> <li>4) Rise operate a social centre for older people residing in the Forrestfield and surrounding community.</li> <li>5) The provision of a well maintained accessible open space in such close proximity to this centre will provide significant opportunity for these local citizens to access and enjoy the reserve on a regular basis. Social contact and access to natural surroundings are two of the safeguards against depression in later life.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> <li>2. Noted.</li> <li>3. Noted.</li> <li>4. Noted.</li> <li>5. Noted.</li> </ol>
6.	A63945 (Submission 1)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) I am writing to you to express my concerns regarding the City of Kalamunda's proposal for the development of Cambridge Street Reserve in Forrestfield.</li> <li>2) Overall, I disagree with the City of Kalamunda's proposal for Cambridge Street Reserve.</li> <li>3) I care for the environment and would like to see the little urban bush land remaining in Forrestfield protected.</li> <li>4) As reported online and in the workshop, the initial feedback that the City of Kalamunda received from residents clearly indicated that the majority of residents value this piece of bushland and desire its preservation, with remarks including: 'don't cut down trees', 'we appreciate the quietness', 'love the bush feel'.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> <li>2. Noted.</li> <li>3. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</li> </ol>

		<p>5) Feedback also suggested that the main facilities that residents desired to see in the reserve were a BBQ, improved playground, seating and shelter. This feedback from residents has not been reflected in the proposal that the City of Kalamunda has put forward for Cambridge Street Reserve, which displays development far beyond what residents indicated they desire.</p> <p>6) The aforementioned facilities identified by residents as desirable could easily be integrated into the already cleared park land on the western side of the reserve, accessible by Cambridge Street.</p> <p>7) Furthermore, the destruction of significant amounts of the reserve to create the parkland outlined in the City of Kalamunda's proposal will fundamentally change the structure of the reserve from a bush land to a park, which completely fails to reflect the values of the local community, who have stated that they appreciate the 'bush feel'.</p> <p>8) I urge the City of Kalamunda to reconsider their proposal for Cambridge Street Reserve.</p> <p>9) Please consider an alternative location for an aged care development. I understand that there is a need for further aged care facilities within the City of Kalamunda, however I do not believe that selling off a third of Cambridge Reserve for this purpose is the best/only option.</p> <p>10). I am curious to know what other options the City of Kalamunda has considered for aged care?</p> <p>11) There are a range of other, largely cleared, though admittedly privately owned lots located throughout the City of Kalamunda. For example, the Seventh Day Adventist Church runs an accommodation centre on Kalamunda Road in Maida Vale; this facility appears to be largely unused for the majority of the year. Has the City of</p>	<p>If endorsed by Council and the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and the Minister for Lands, the proposed Scheme Amendment will be referred to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions for comment.</p> <p>4. The protection of vegetation was a key value that came through community engagement, as a result the Concept Plan proposes to protect and manage bushland and to revegetate areas on the site. The proposed dog park was replaced from the final Concept Plan with bush re-vegetation to reflect the feedback received from the community.</p> <p>5. The Concept Plan includes an Activity Hub which would likely include features such as BBQs, seating, shelter and an improved playground.</p> <p>6. See comment 5 above. The proposed Activity Hub is located on the western side of the reserve.</p> <p>7. See comment 3 above.</p> <p>8. Noted.</p> <p>9. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project allows for the objectives of the Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 to be achieved.</p> <p>10. The City has investigated multiple options for aged care and will continue to</p>
--	--	--	---

		<p>Kalamunda considered making an offer to buy the land off of this group?</p> <p>12) Please ensure true preservation of the bushland in the areas to be retained and rehabilitated.</p> <p>13) Residents did not ask for 'managed park land', with areas of grass and paving, but indicated an appreciated for the natural bushland.</p> <p>14) The City of Kalamunda needs to make a better effort to preserve a larger area of the bushland.</p> <p>15) Please consider drawing inspiration from Kensington Bushland within the Town of Victoria Park; this reserve contains an area of previously degraded bushland that has now been fenced off and rehabilitated and contains sturdy paths that are lined with waist high fences as well as signs describing the importance of the native flora.</p> <p>16) I note that Cambridge St Reserve was previously listed as a Federal Threatened Ecological Community as well as a State Priority Ecological Community. I would be interested to know why this is no longer the case. This bushland is an important island of biodiversity within an urban area, and provides an important refuge for native plants and animals. Clearing at any level will result in a decrease in habitat for native fauna.</p> <p>17) Present within the area are a large number of jarrah and marri trees used as habitat by black cockatoos and other fauna. Significant habitat trees must be conserved within Cambridge Street Reserve.</p> <p>18) Please consider relocating the proposed dog park to Lincoln Road Reserve. Lincoln Road Reserve is situated nearby, so would service the same sector of the neighbourhood, and is a largely cleared, grassed parkland that sees little use (except for dog training groups on weekends). Locating the dog park at Lincoln Road Reserve</p>	<p>investigate further opportunities to provide for the ageing community.</p> <p>11. These are private landholdings which the City has no control over the future operation of these sites. The City often liaises with the private industry to advocate for aged care facilities.</p> <p>12. See comment 3 above.</p> <p>13. See comment 3 above. The proposed managed park land is to allow for appropriate bush fire separation, improved amenity and is generally proposed in areas where bushland is identified as degraded.</p> <p>14. See comment 3 above.</p> <p>15. See comment 3 above. The managed bushland and areas of bush re-vegetation are proposed to be fenced.</p> <p>16. See comment 3 above. If endorsed by Council and the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and the Minister for Lands, the proposed Scheme Amendment will be referred to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions for comment.</p> <p>17. See comment 3 above.</p> <p>18. The proposed dog park has been replaced by bush re-vegetation in the Concept Plan. The City is investigating other sites for a fenced dog park.</p> <p>19. See comment 18 above.</p> <p>20. Noted.</p>
--	--	---	--

		<p>would also have the added benefit of promoting the flow of business to the adjacent Forrestfield Shopping Centre, which could do with increased business and vibrancy.</p> <p>19) The land that has been set aside for the dog park in the current proposal should be rehabilitated/revegetated with local native flora. The bushland in this area is highly degraded, and the land will not be built on due to its location within the powerline easement, thus it could see high value as an area of conservation.</p> <p>20) In addition to my above comments on the City of Kalamunda's proposal for Cambridge Street Reserve, I would also like to make a few comments on the workshop itself. The staff representing the City of Kalamunda and the councillors present were overall polite, considerate, and willing to listen to the residents and encouraged them to write down their view points. It was clearly stated at the start of the workshop that the staff and councillors were not there to express their opinions, but to listen to the community and facilitate discussion- a statement that was true for the majority of staff and councillors present. However, I would like it to be known that Councillor Margaret Thomas, who was seated at our table, behaved in a way that I do not see as befitting an elected representative of the City of Kalamunda. Councillor Thomas became emotional and agitated when discussing the proposal, aggressively defending the idea of the aged care facility, including it on a 'positive list' despite other members of the table not agreeing, and even stating that my wife and I are selfish for opposing the development, as our own home was likely built on land that was once cleared for development. If Councillor Thomas cannot attend a community meeting without becoming emotional and agitated, then she should not attend. Furthermore, I note</p>	
--	--	---	--

		that two of the Councillors present at the workshop are members of the Kalamunda Aged care Advisory Committee, while no members of the Kalamunda Environmental Advisory Committee were present.	
7.	A26533	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) My interest in this project is mainly in the area where the reserve meets Anderson Road.</li> <li>2) I am interested to find out why the concept plan for the reserve does not include the area along the length of Anderson Road (between Lewis Road and Holmes Road).</li> <li>3) I understand that the strip along Anderson Road may be under the control of Western Power, and may not be part of the Cambridge Reserve, but it does seem sensible to consider the land use there in conjunction with the land use within the reserve.</li> <li>4) In my view, the current appearance along Anderson Road leaves much to be desired, and much could be done to enhance it. Can you let me know whether any consideration has been given to extending the scope of the project in this way, or whether there are other avenues available.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> <li>2. The Concept Plan does include a portion of the area along Anderson Road (the Power Easement).</li> <li>3. See comment 2 above.</li> <li>4. This portion of the reserve is identified for bush re-vegetation.</li> </ol>
8.	Property not provided (Forrestfield resident)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Please follow through with the idea of a fenced exercise area for dogs.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Due to a significant amount of feedback seeking greater bushland retention and revegetation, the fence dog park has been replaced by bush re-vegetation. The City is currently in the process of investigating opportunities for a fenced dog park at other locations.</li> </ol>

9.	A66086	1) I do not think we should allow the "clear felling " of all the big trees in a development site. As many as possible of the large trees should be retained! I hope that plants and trees that will be removed, are replanted in the revegetation plan. Having an "off set" area of bush somewhere else doesn't help the local fauna, who will lose some food sources!	1. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development. The Concept Plan protects a significant portion of the vegetation rated good or very good condition.
10.	A48856	1) Please do carefully consider issues of noise, residential security and public safety. As the owner of one of the blocks backing onto this planned improvement, I hope to be very pleasantly surprised that 'locals' are happy to have this facility over the long term. I do commend the Government for the initiative and thank them for making Forrestfield a suburb we can be proud of.	1. Noise, security and safety will be taken into more detailed consideration during the subdivision stage and park detailed design utilising passive surveillance principles and crime prevention through environmental design (CPTED) principles.
11.	A166000	1) The design removes many of the current uses the land provides that are a great benefit. I like that it is a place that kids hack around on their bikes in the bush that is also close. It is a great place for dogs and walking around that has not been sanitised to within an inch of its life.	1. There is no intention to remove the ability for people to walk their dogs through the park and kids to be able to ride their bikes through the park.
12.	A56007	1) Keep it as natural as possible.	1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil,

			seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.
13.	A249206 (Submission 1)	1) I like almost every aspect except for the housing and the cutting down of so many trees.	1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.
14.	A249206 Submission 2	1) I am disappointed that such a high percentage of the space has proposed property developments on it.	1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.
15.	A244995	1) This whole process seems to have been decided before the meeting on the reserve but when I asked the question	1. The Concept Plan was developed in response to the feedback received during

		<p>on the day both the Shire staff and elected members no one would give a straight answer.</p> <p>2) Talking to other residents on the day most did not want any changes as they felt it would bring more problems to the area and play equipment there not being used anyway. Aged facility has been problem in Shire for years with many places being turned down either by shire or government.</p> <p>3) DONT RUIN THE RESERVE The grass was cut on the day for the first time in over a year so the upkeep would not be maintained. Only local residents adjacent and around the reserve should have a say.</p>	<p>the pre-consultation phase. The Concept Plan was then modified in response to community feedback during the public advertising phase.</p> <p>2. The intention of the project is to transfer a portion of the reserve to fund the improvement of the reserve for recreational and conservation purposes. The Public Open Space Strategy identified Cambridge Reserve as a site of low quality. The Public Open Space Strategy 2018 aims to improve the quality of low grade POS, the project provides the opportunity to improve the quality of the reserve. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the opportunity to achieve the recommendations of both Strategies.</p> <p>3. Noted.</p>
16.	A65200	<p>1) Very rare to have a natural piece of bush land in a residential area. Local residents are happy with the way it is and it seems pointless to be spending ratepayer money on a project that is certainly not supported.</p> <p>2) The placement of fences would certainly be useful as this would reduce the use of the area by motorbikes and 4-wheel drives.</p>	<p>1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development. The improvement of the reserve will not</p>

			<p>utilise rates funds, it will be funded by the sale of the residential/aged care portion of the site. During the public advertising period there was a majority of support from the surveys received from the community. There was a mix of opinions during the community workshop and there is slightly more submissions objecting to the project than supporting the project.</p> <p>2. Noted.</p>
17.	A166050	1) Add some exercise equipment around the park.	1. This will be considered during the detailed design phase.
18.	A63945 (Submission 2)	1) Any move to clear bush for housing should not be considered under any circumstances. The bush is a rare example of what would have be commonplace in this area. It almost looks like remnant wheatbelt vegetation. Shame on you for proposing to clear so much of this for housing.	1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.

19.	Property not provided (Maida Vale resident)	1) Do we really need these areas in all suburbs?	1. The Public Open Space Strategy identified Cambridge Reserve as a site of low quality. The Public Open Space Strategy 2018 aims to improve the quality of low grade POS, the project provides the opportunity to improve the quality of the reserve. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the opportunity to achieve the recommendations of both Strategies.
20.	Property not provided (Forrestfield resident)	1) Looks great	1. Noted.
21.	A61478	1) I'd love to see this project take place. The area is unattractive and unused. My family would benefit greatly and I'd love my daughter to enjoy the suburb she lives in. I'd like to see the shire fund something like this even if funding doesn't come from the older people's units. I think this this side of Forrestfield deserves this.	1. Noted.
22.	A51057	1) Have appreciated being able to enjoy bushland among an urban environment.	1. Noted.
23.	A56007 (Submission 1)	1) This little bit of bushland is actually a little oasis within the suburb and I urge you to keep the majority of it as that.	1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the

			vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.
24.	Property not provided (Forrestfield resident)	1) I would recommend making this park a real draw card to the area not only for those who live local but outer area also. Such as Woodbridge Park in Guildford.	1. The intention of the project is to make the park attractive for visitors and residents whilst also protecting the environmental values on the site.
25.	A228408	1) I don't believe this land should be developed. But the money into something that really needs it like improving the facilities we already have.	1. The Public Open Space Strategy identified Cambridge Reserve as a site of low quality. The Public Open Space Strategy 2018 aims to improve the quality of low grade POS, the project provides the opportunity to improve the quality of the reserve. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the opportunity to achieve the recommendations of both Strategies.
26.	A127	1) Integrated aged care development incorporated into the Cambridge Reserve Concept Design may help alleviate the chronic shortage of residential aged care in the City of Kalamunda.	1. Noted.

27.	A63945 (Submission 3)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) This reads as blackmail; a proposition to 'do up' a currently neglected park in exchange for the selling off of a community asset. Residents of Forrestfield have been starved of anything from the CoK and likely will jump at the chance for something new, without realising what they are losing.</li> <li>2) Why not spend some time and money actually doing up the smaller reserves that already exist in Forrestfield that are currently neglected by the CoK? E.g Off of Palm Terrace, Blackbutt Way, Almond Way- all could use some native plantings, weed removal, seating, BBQs, shelter and maintenance. Encourage people to use facilities near them.</li> <li>3) This type of development is not welcome in this area, local residents appreciate the 'natural feel' of the area in which they live, this type of development is more akin to what is taking place in the newer areas of Forrestfield (e.g. The Hales).</li> <li>4) I feel that the appeal of Forrestfield is being lost.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Public Open Space Strategy identified Cambridge Reserve as a site of low quality. The Public Open Space Strategy 2018 aims to improve the quality of low grade POS, the project provides the opportunity to improve the quality of the reserve. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the opportunity to achieve the recommendations of both Strategies.</li> <li>2. The transfer of the portion of the reserve identified for development will fund the improvements of Cambridge Reserve. Any surplus funds will be utilised on improving community facilities and other public open space reserves in the locality.</li> <li>3. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</li> <li>4. Noted.</li> </ol>
28.	A45670	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The plan has not considered the community living close by.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The feedback received from the community has been used to develop the Concept Plan.</li> </ol>

<p>29.</p>	<p>A63814</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The area being developed is home to a State listed PEC (Priority 3) &amp; a Federally listed TEC (Endangered).</li> <li>2) Have the appropriate surveys and approvals been granted from relevant authorities for the lands development and for the current design?</li> <li>3) Is the City, through this design, doing its best to preserve, rehabilitate and protect the conservation significant ecological communities?</li> <li>4) We need to use this opportunity to ensure the design of the park and the location of any proposed aged care facilities/over 55s take into account this vegetation (regardless of its condition).</li> <li>5) Conserving this vegetation as required as per any state/federal conditions and for the benefit of our environment and community should be our first priority.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> <li>2. The Concept Plan has been referred to the City's environment team for comment. A 2012 and a 2017 Flora, Vegetation and Fauna Survey were utilised to inform the outcomes of the Concept Plan. At the Scheme Amendment phase the project will be referred to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions for comment. The Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage will determine whether the Scheme Amendment is approved.</li> <li>3. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</li> <li>4. Noted.</li> <li>5. Noted.</li> </ol>
<p>30.</p>	<p>A49010</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) How did a requirement for aged care (and a handful of public submissions, if that, suggesting aged care) mean over half of the reserve becoming residential and over 55s (which is just residential, btw)?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the opportunity to achieve the recommendations of the Strategy. In order</li> </ol>

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2) The 'Top Ten' submissions listed in the Technical Note did not include any residential, and yet a good portion of the reserve is becoming residential in some form or other.</li> <li>3) Aged care appeared to be the dominant factor mentioned for the need to develop Cambridge Reserve but there are nearly 40 standard residences included in the design, 50+ if you include the over 55s which are not aged care.</li> <li>4) Few residents advocate for more housing over parkland, unless they have a vested interest.</li> <li>5) What is the council's justification for converting so much of the reserve to non-aged care residences?</li> <li>6) And will all future monies collected from developing Cambridge Reserve be directly invested into the reserve and surrounding open space, or is it just those monies raised from the developing itself?</li> </ol>	<p>for the project to be viable for a developer, the development of residential and over 55's is required to supplement the aged care component and provide enough funds to improve the reserve and other reserves and community facilities.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. See comment 1 above.</li> <li>3. See comment 1 above.</li> <li>4. See comment 1 above.</li> <li>5. See comment 1 above. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</li> <li>6. The funds raised from transferring a portion of the reserve will be utilised to improve Cambridge Reserve and any surplus funds will be utilised to improve community facilities and other public open space reserves in the locality.</li> </ol>
--	--	--	---

31.	A166000	1) I am concerned about what this development will do to the existing flora and fauna. There is a lot of bird life (including kookaburra's), snakes and bees that live in the reserve. The existing water reservoir attracts ducks and frogs. The Shire of Kalamunda have been hosting night nature walks through the reserve yearly which shows how rich this area is in native animals. Development will bring extra traffic congestion, pollution, dogs barking and general noise.	1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.
32.	A78861 (Submission 1)	1) DO NOT tear down our natural vegetation and make it like everywhere else. You have approved the Hales, which ruined beautiful natural vegetation already and we will be very resistant to plans to take away more of our natural vegetation in our area. We already have a family friendly space at Hartfield Park and a dog park, why do we need another park just a few blocks over? We don't. Leave it alone.	1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.
33.	A65183	1) Remove the dead branches from the area on a regular basis.	1. Noted.

34.	A90049	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Adequate provision of residential aged care facilities in the City is essential and a vital part of ensuring provision of services for our elderly residents.</li> <li>2) The City has a responsibility for facilitating development of these services and siting them in desirable environments to allow the residents to experience the open, bushland living they have chosen to enjoy in the City of Kalamunda. This project sets a good example of this ideal.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> </ol>
35.	Property not provided (Forrestfield resident)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Design could be a lot better. So many more things they could do. I have to look at it from my house so I have a big interest in this.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The design of the final Concept Plan has incorporated the feedback from the community.</li> </ol>
36.	Property not provided (Forrestfield resident)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) A lot of Forrestfield bushland has gone you have a chance to save some before its too late.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</li> </ol>
37.	A223971	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) I for one would prefer just a clean-up of the area.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> </ol>
38.	A61513	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Love the idea. Hope it gets the go ahead.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> </ol>
39.	Property not provided	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) I attended the local meeting about this matter (1/Aug/18) and I was very surprised at how it was run.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> <li>2. The City staff contacted this submitter to discuss concerns.</li> </ol>

	(Forrestfield resident)	<p>2) This was my first experience getting involved in the community and it will be my last!</p> <p>3) As someone who has an extreme anxiety and depression disorder it was a huge deal for me to try and get involved in the community. (One of the suggestions of the act, belong, commit advert aimed at people like myself) I and the local people of Forrestfield have never been so disrespected.</p> <p>4) That meeting was 100% pointless and I'm extremely disappointed with some of the people there who represent the council.</p> <p>5) The woman running the session was extremely rude and condescending. Forcing peoples opinions to be put on hold until the end for an open discussion. As a logical and respectful person I would have been fine with hearing their side and then opening the floor to the community. A fair discussion and open conversation of the positives and negatives of the idea. But that did not happen.</p>	<p>3. See comment 2 above.</p> <p>4. See comment 2 above.</p> <p>5. See comment 2 above. The City's staff have taken the feedback on board and will endeavour to improve community engagement sessions in the future.</p>
40.	A56007 (Submission 2)	<p>1) This is a carnarys cockatoo nesting site and therefore valuable.</p>	<p>1. The environmental reports undertaken for the project identified cockatoo habitat on the reserve. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. These areas generally consist a high portion of the cockatoo habitat. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</p>

			If endorsed by Council and the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and the Minister for Lands, the proposed Scheme Amendment will be referred to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions for comment.
41.	A48955 (Submission 2)	1) As a business woman I understand the need to finance areas outside of the budget and find the concept of some residential areas have been placed in areas of the highest bush degradation and the retained areas are good. After 40 years living near this park I am very grateful that something is being done. Great job!"	1. Noted.
42.	Property not provided (Forrestfield resident)	1) Been here all my life and I don't feel safe in the park by myself the way it exists now.	1. Noted.
43.	A231645	1) I think go ahead with the plan. It will put a lot of smiles on children's faces. my children are not safe to go there on their own.	1. Noted.
44.	A231645 (Submission 2)	1) If the plan was to go ahead with the mini soccer pitch it will put a lot of smiles on people's faces.	1. The Concept Plan proposes half-court basketball. At the detailed design phase, a mini soccer pitch will be considered.
45.	A83070	1) Cater for youth and elderly as youth badly need suitable areas to play. I used to live on Laurel Street and the area is full of kids all trying to find things to do. Make sure the park is well lit for night use.	1. The Concept Plan caters for both youth and elderly needs. Parkland lighting will be determined during the detail design phase.

46.	A65214	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The shire has made Forrestfield super dense housing not a home in the forest as advertised.</li> <li>2) Plant banksias and grevilleas as food trees for the 28 Carnaby cockatoos and black cockatoos they come to my home across the road all the time because I have a permanent water supply for them (lily ponds) and I have grevilleas for food supply.</li> <li>3) Shire destroyed the land I have witnessed the steady destruction of environment (still no signage).</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</li> <li>2. Re-vegetation at the reserve will only include native species, and more specifically species found at the reserve.</li> <li>3. See comment 1 above.</li> </ol>
47.	Property not provided (Forrestfield resident)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Why do we need to change one of the last natural Bush areas of Forrestfield? Greed, thank you for not listening again.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Public Open Space Strategy identified Cambridge Reserve as a site of low quality. The Public Open Space Strategy 2018 aims to improve the quality of low grade POS, the project provides the opportunity to improve the quality of the reserve. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the opportunity to achieve the recommendations of both Strategies. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development</li> </ol>

			<p>is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</p>
<p>48.</p>	<p>Property not provided (Forrestfield resident)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Why was the residential / over 55 / aged care plans never discussed with residence in the surrounding streets (Cambridge / York) when invited to the open day / presentation that took place in the park in early 2018 (when Kenya Wildlife Park was present).</li> <li>2) Clearly the preservation of the bushland is not a priority, as originally discussed in the above mentioned open day / presentation that took place. If you had been upfront with residences at this time you would have a very clear understanding that it is not wanted for various reasons.</li> <li>3) As per your minutes, the aged care facility was rejected by Satterley, at the Hales development, it now seems that the Shire is scrambling to find any suitable land, be it Crown land, to offload this project.</li> <li>4) Open and honest communication from the Shire of Kalamunda to the local residence who would be immediately effected by this "Concept" Design has been extremely disappointing and poor.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The workshop at Cambridge Reserve in early 2018 was pre-consultation and the City was seeking feedback on what the community wanted to see at the reserve, leaving everything open for discussion. During this phase the City received feedback on the desire for aged care at the site.</li> <li>2. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</li> <li>3. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the opportunity to achieve the recommendations of the Strategy.</li> <li>4. The City undertook pre-consultation in early 2018, notifying surrounding landowners and the community. In mid-2018 public</li> </ol>

			advertisement was undertaken with surrounding landowners and the community notified.
49.	Property not provided (Forrestfield resident)	1) Add a few Crossfit stations along the path.	1. This will be considered at the detailed design phase.
50.	A26399	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Its time the Shire made an inventory of the limited open space which exists within many areas of the Shire and make a firm commitment not to diminish them in any way.</li> <li>2) This is a trade-off between retaining an undeveloped public area for a much smaller unnatural, constructed and intensively managed public area which will saddle the ratepayers with costs into eternity. A one-off gain for future pain?</li> <li>3) Come up with a passive development plan which enhances the environment with very little ongoing cost and the whole community will benefit.</li> <li>4) This is not town planning for a communities benefit its selling out to the short sighted developers.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Public Open Space Strategy 2018 audits the provision of POS throughout the City. Forrestfield has 11.12% POS, which 1.12% above the 10% requirements. The Public Open Space Strategy identified Cambridge Reserve as a site of low quality. The Public Open Space Strategy 2018 aims to improve the quality of low grade POS, the project provides the opportunity to improve the quality of the reserve.</li> <li>2. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation,</li> </ol>

			<p>revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</p> <p>3. See comment 1 above. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the opportunity to achieve the recommendations of the Strategy.</p> <p>4. See comments 1-3 above.</p>
51.	A177572	1) If you have a pet nowadays there is nowhere they can be in their natural environment. Man and dog interaction.	1. Noted.
52.	A4909 (Submission 1)	1) A lot of thought has been put into this design and I think it could and should be used elsewhere in the City.	1. Noted.
53.	A74061	1) Include interpretive plaques for plants / animals / noongar.	1. This will be considered at the detailed design phase.
54.	A4909 (Submission 2)	1) Given the poor track record within the City on developing aged care facilities, this development needs to be fast-tracked.	1. If Council endorses the Concept Plan, the City will then be required to forward the Plans and supporting documents to the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and the Minister for Lands for endorsement of the project. Once endorsed the City will initiate the Scheme Amendment process to rezone a portion of the site to urban development to allow for the development of residential and aged care / over 55's. Once the Scheme

			Amendment is approved the City will commence the subdivision process and associated works and subsequently the sale of land and the public open space improvements.
55.	A47949	1) The future of this area is in your hands. Please protect it, embrace it and keep it green as much as possible, it is going to make you proud one day. With the existing rate that the bush land is demolished in Perth we believe 20 years from now green and native areas will be more 'valuable' to Perth residents than any building or facility you could possibly build.	1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.
56.	A199269	1) My main interest is in the enclosed dog exercise area so that we don't need to travel 20 to 30 minutes to a different area.	1. Due to a significant amount of feedback seeking greater bushland retention and revegetation, the fence dog park has been replaced by bush re-vegetation. The City is currently in the process of investigating opportunities for a fenced dog park at other locations.

57.	A78861 (Submission 2)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) I wish to express my objection to the development of the land in the Reserve as part of this proposal.</li> <li>2) As a resident and ratepayer for the last 25 years, I have used and enjoyed this Reserve with its natural setting. To see it cut up and sold off, trees cut down and natural bush cleared would be heartbreaking. So little bush land is left for generations to come and going forward with this proposal will result in even less.</li> <li>3) Manicured parks, skateparks and enclosed dog exercise areas are already available in Forrestfield; not so the natural bush areas.</li> <li>4) Please don't ruin this small piece of bush area by making it even smaller and developing it into the kind of park that we just don't need."</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> <li>2. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</li> <li>3. The project does not propose a skatepark. Due to a significant amount of feedback seeking greater bushland retention and revegetation, the fence dog park has been replaced by bush re-vegetation. It is important to have a variety of different scale parks servicing the community. The recreational aspects of the reserve are of a smaller scale compared to the conservation component and will likely cater for a more localise catchment.</li> <li>4. See comment 2 above.</li> </ol>
58.	A179639	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Any expose fence will be a magnet to graffiti, especially the fence behind the houses on Moira Avenue.</li> <li>2) I would like to see these old, mismatched fences replaced with one that is uniform throughout the reserve. Perhaps some artwork from local school kids could be incorporated into the design to give the reserve a sense of ownership to the community.</li> <li>3) Take the painted murals on the wall at the Tonkin/Dunreath interchange and the PSP underpass at</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Anti-social behaviour concerns will be taken into more detailed consideration during the subdivision stage and park detailed design utilising passive surveillance principles and crime prevention through environmental design (CPTED) principles. With an increased population and greater usage of the park, this help will discourage anti-social behaviour.</li> </ol>

		<p>Roe/Willeri interchange for example. Whilst other areas are targeted by graffiti, these two spots remain untouched.</p> <p>4) The community could also be involved by making tile mosaics that can then be laid into the footpaths around the reserve."</p>	<p>2. This will be considered at the detailed design phase.</p> <p>3. See comment 2 above.</p> <p>4. See comment 2 above.</p>
59.	Property not provided (Lesmurdie resident)	1) First class initiative by City of Kalamunda.	1. Noted.
60.	A54855	<p>1) I like it the way it is with historical walking paths through the area. maintain original trees (habitat of many birds) manage the existing open area for play and activities (no 7) no residential in this area.</p> <p>2) More seats around the lake (stormwater compensating basin).</p>	<p>1. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</p> <p>2. This will be considered at the detailed design phase.</p>
61.	A55073	<p>1) I would like to see an exercise area such as the one at mills park and other parks, which will encourage people to stretch and use all their muscles.</p> <p>2) I would like it to be larger than on the smaller size so that it will be of benefit to the exercising of muscles.</p>	<p>1. This will be considered at the detailed design phase.</p> <p>2. See comment 1 above.</p>

62.	NRPG	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Since this is a concept plan and, as such, is “indicative only and subject to detailed design”, this submission, on behalf of NRPG, will be brief. Should the proposal progress, we look forward to making a more detailed submission at the later stages, when more details are available.</li> <li>2. In any development proposal, the main concern of NRPG is the retention and management of as much native vegetation as possible. We maintain the starting point for any development should be the existing natural assets of the location. Once these have been established, by comprehensive environmental assessments, the development should be designed around these assets.</li> <li>3. Whilst this is rarely the case, comparing this indicative plan with the Google Earth image, we may see an attempt being made to incorporate portions of more vegetated areas into the plan. If this is indeed the case, we would urge the City staff and councillors to continue the process, in an attempt to retain as much as possible of the native vegetation.</li> <li>4. We see the main challenge being that of accommodating so many different activities within the plan. Given the proposed inclusion of an activity hub/playground, dog park, cycle paths, pedestrian paths, over-55 independent living units and an aged care facility, this will be extremely challenging. Add to this the prospect of “option for additional parking” areas and, pressures on retention of the natural assets will only increase. In the past, a perceived need for more parking spaces has invariably resulted in the loss of natural vegetation.</li> <li>5. The natural features to be retained and/or enhanced, include: bushland (fenced), bushland (managed), bush re-vegetation and, open managed parkland. Environmental</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted.</li> <li>2. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</li> <li>3. See comment 2 above.</li> <li>4. See comment 2 above. The City has utilised the feedback received during community consultation and the technical inputs to develop the concept plan.</li> <li>5. See comment 2 above. It is envisaged the City may undertake more technical studies, such as water and environment during the Scheme Amendment phase.</li> <li>6. This will be considered during detailed design phase.</li> <li>7. This will be considered in greater detail during detailed design phase. Managed bushland takes into consideration bushfire separation and managed requirements, whilst also aiming to protect environmental assets.</li> <li>8. See comment 2 above.</li> <li>9. Noted.</li> <li>10. Noted.</li> </ol>
-----	------	--	---

		<p>features to be constructed include stormwater catchment basin and associated wetland and the "living stream". Until details of these are released for comment, we can do no more than express concerns, which will need to be addressed before the plan is finalised.</p> <p>6. Fenced Bushland - The method of fencing the bushland will need careful consideration but, since other developments within the metropolitan area have done this successfully (as the illustration used shows), this should prove no problem. Ensuring sufficient funding is made available for repair and maintenance of the chosen fencing will be essential.</p> <p>7. Managed bushland - Details of how these areas are to be "managed", is needed, before any constructive comments can be made. Ensuring adequate funding for such management is essential. Given this funding, City of Kalamunda environmental and parks staff have the capacity to carry out this task and, there is the opportunity to use groups like the Green Army to carry out some of this work (as they have done with great success in the past).</p> <p>8. Bush re-vegetation - Long-term funding will be essential for any revegetation projects. Adequate funding for preparatory and ongoing weed suppression should also be part of this. The comment "revegetation is to be carried out using endemic and/or native species" needs clarification. We would suggest the term "endemic species" or simply "native species" be used.</p> <p>9. Open managed parkland - These areas should be seen primarily, in the context of their usefulness as green linkages, wildlife corridors and ecological stepping stones and, defined accordingly. The intention to limit irrigation to certain areas is supported. South of the proposed over 55s</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>site, there is a mixture of fenced bushland, managed bushland and bush re-vegetation. Whilst the landscape plan may look attractive, these areas need to be clearly defined and the reasons for their categorization stated.</p> <p>10. We see this concept plan as presenting many threats to the biodiversity of this area, unless carried out with the utmost care. Before the project proceeds, the environmental values of the area must be assessed and the potential threats to those values gauged and guarded against. Should this project receive wide community support and continue, we also see it as an opportunity for the City to produce and implement a design reflecting community environmental values.</p>	
63.	A78861 (Submission 3)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The purpose of the workshop was to 'share more information on the concept design and to gather feedback'. Before receiving the City's flyer dated 06 July, 2018, I was unaware of the proposal to 'enhance' the Reserve so, I attended the workshop anticipating that I would gain more information about what I understood to be a proposal of change to the Reserve. However, it quickly became evident that this was not primarily a proposal of enhancing the Reserve. Rather, as it was stated at the beginning of the session, the only way that the enhancement could be funded was to reclaim a large portion of the Reserve, subdivide it and sell it off and, build an Aged Care Facility as well as an over 55's facility.</li> <li>2. It became very clear to me that the primary goal of the project was to find a location where these facilities could be built, and the 'sweetener' was the offer of upgrading the Reserve and turning it into a mini Hartfield Park with skate boarding facilities, barbecues, a very small fenced dog exercise area and manicured lawns.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The City has utilised feedback from the community during pre-consultation and public advertising and technical inputs to develop the Concept Plan. The Public Open Space Strategy identified Cambridge Reserve as a site of low quality. The Public Open Space Strategy 2018 aims to improve the quality of low grade POS, the project provides the opportunity to improve the quality of the reserve. The Aged Accommodation Strategy 2016 recommends looking at government assets to provide much need aged care development. The project provides the opportunity to achieve the recommendations of both Strategies. In order to improve the reserve and for aged care to be viable, residential is required to supplement the development.</li> </ol>

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. The ability to give feedback about this proposal, was extremely limited. Sitting around a table with other Community members, we were told that we had to come to a general consensus and place stickers on a map showing what we liked and didn't like about the project. This was a patronising and condescending activity, making grown adults feel like they were back at school! It also did not give an accurate picture of the community's attitude as the responses were limited to just 3 selections and these were dominated by people who refused to allow the opinions of others to be heard. The whole process was actually embarrassing.</li> <li>4. The presenter of the workshop spoke in a condescending way when questions were asked, telling people to wait until the end of the session when there would be ample time to ask questions. In actual fact, the session ended without an open forum of discussion and time to ask the many questions that we had. Instead, we were told to go and talk to the City's representatives that were present.</li> <li>5. I was able to speak with a Planning officer who answered some of my questions to the best of his ability and was polite. Others of my family did not experience the same courtesy. One City staff member was rude and appeared completely disinterested when one of my family members tried to discuss their concerns. Overall the workshop was ineffective and added to the distraction from the real issue: the loss of natural bush land in order to build an aged care facility etc.</li> <li>6. I have no objection to such facilities being built in the City of Kalamunda. However, to reclaim what is already a small area of natural bushland in order to do so, is heartbreaking and in my opinion, unnecessary.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. See comment 1 above. There is no skatepark proposed. Due to a significant amount of feedback seeking greater bushland retention and revegetation, the fence dog park has been replaced by bush re-vegetation.</li> <li>3. The City held pre-consultation in early 2018 allowing the public to comment on what they would like to see at the reserve. This included a workshop at Cambridge Reserve. The feedback received during this period assisted in developing the draft Concept Plan. The public advertising period included a workshop, survey and the ability to provide a submission. The workshop format was similar to the format used at most of the City's community engagement with the aim to give all attendees a say. The City will take the feedback on board for future engagement.</li> <li>4. See comment 3 above.</li> <li>5. See comment 3 above.</li> <li>6. See comment 1 above.</li> <li>7. See comment 1 above. The City is actively seeking opportunities for aged care throughout the City to meet the demands of an ageing community.</li> <li>8. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development</li> </ol>
--	--	---	--

		<p>7. I have so many questions that remain unanswered. I want to know what other options there are, what other sites are available for this building project; what other sites have been proposed and rejected and why; do we even have a say as to whether or not this 'proposal' will go ahead or is it as it seems, a given that this WILL happen and if we're lucky, we might have our opinion heard just on what will be done with the remaining 'crumb' of land.</p> <p>8. I categorically reject the building, subdivision and development of this land. If it means that the area won't be enhanced at all if the development doesn't go ahead, so be it, wonderful! Once that land is reclaimed and built on, it can never be returned.</p> <p>9. I ask, as a ratepayer who has lived in the same position, close to the Cambridge Reserve for over 25 years, that the City listen to the opinions and desires of those who live here, who use the Reserve, who value the small amount of natural beauty still present and don't destroy that which it is impossible to regain.</p>	<p>is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil, seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</p> <p>9. Noted.</p>
<p>64.</p>	<p>A98310</p>	<p>1. Thank you for the opportunity to have a say on the future development of this site, which could impact on all sections of our community, not only residents in the Forrestfield area. This is an opportunity for the City to balance community needs with conservation expectations whilst catering for all sections of our community in a specially designed integrated development.</p> <p>2. Whilst sitting as a South West Ward Councillor, I proposed that this reserve be considered for aged care development on the eastern degraded side of the reserve together with the upgrading of the compensating basin and surrounds with gardens, barbecues and picnic seating.</p> <p>3. I still believe this is a great location for all and introduces an intergenerational site for the City and the community.</p>	<p>1. Noted.                  2. Noted.                  3. Noted.                  4. Noted.                  5. The Concept Plan proposes to protect a significant portion of the vegetation rated as good and very good. The majority of the proposed development is located where the vegetation is rated as poor, degraded or completely degraded. Where development is proposed on very good rated vegetation, revegetation is proposed in areas retained that are of a lower quality utilising the soil,</p>

		<p>This site is close to bus routes, shops and doctors and would be ideal for some form of aged care facility.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. I still support the upgrading of this reserve which is under-utilised, over grown with non-indigenous species extremely neglected and provides a haven for undesirable activities such as drugs, drinking etc; and provides a venue for the dumping of cars, fridges etc in the "lake" which also presents a safety water issues in winter. The area has huge potential for a City project which could offer much to all aged groups, from the very young to the very old and infirmed.</li> <li>5. Conservation issues and potential issues - These could and should be addressed. Non-natives and weeds could be removed in favour of indigenous plantings in specific areas. The jarrah-marri woodland in particular can be enhanced offering more bird and native animal habitats. Barriers could be used to minimise adverse impacts to the native vegetation, should future development of the site be considered.</li> <li>6. Aged Care Potentials in an Integrated Environment - Any project on land which is under the jurisdiction of the City of Kalamunda needs to include the potential for facilities for the elderly. It has been acknowledged that the City faces a crisis in aged care. The development of this reserves has the potential for such an inclusion and there is a unique opportunity here to ensure that all sections of the community are serviced.</li> <li>7. This site could be designed to cater for an integrated approach, providing intergenerational activities and facilities for the community. It could include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Nature based play areas for children.</li> <li>b. Upgrading of the compensating basin to a lake standard with appropriate landscaping.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	<p>seeds and vegetation from the vegetation proposed to be removed for development.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. Noted.</li> <li>7. The Concept Plan includes all these items subject to more detailed design.</li> <li>8. Noted.</li> <li>9. See comment 5 above.</li> <li>10. Noted.</li> </ol>
--	--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c. Barbecues and picnic areas with seating</li> <li>d. Specially designed walk trails and pathways through the landscape areas and the woody areas that are retained.</li> <li>e. A nursing home with Respite facilities</li> </ul> <p>8. The elderly are part of our social network and their needs need to be included in any initiatives which address community needs overall. In all conscience, they cannot be left out, and in this case it is possible to address all the social/community needs on one site and to different degrees, depending on the financials.</p> <p>9. Conservation issues raised, can certainly be addressed in good faith, and the environment could then be enhanced in specific areas to the satisfaction of all.</p> <p>10. It is appreciated that the above will be a costly exercise and that the City will have to find ways of paying for these integrated community facilities. However, all options should be put on the table for consideration so that all sections of the community, including the elderly, are catered for in a well-planned initiative which will benefit everybody, and of which the City of Kalamunda will feel justly proud.</p>	
--	--	---	--